Dear Orest.

As you know, I look for things that are in your interest for you to have. This time, instead of using a copy, I am typing it for you because I am running out of the special paper my machine requires and I simply haven't the \$35.00 a new supply costs.

There is another item in a supply of documents I have just obtained. Together with this, it indicates pratty clearly that you were the object of special attention. I hope you can find it possible to communicate to me why, if there is anything you know besides what you have told me.

When I can afford to get another supply of paper, or when I run out entirely and go further into debt, I will make exact copies and send them to you. To this moment, you have an exact copy of every paper I have found on you. There will, I am certain, be more. The question will be of finding them, which takes much time in such an enormous set of files.

One is headed "Schedule of Witnesses -New Orleans - Jult 21, 1964" There are seven listings plus the time of departure of the lawyer's plane for Dallas. I give those that will interest you:

8:30 A.M. FEI office the latest developments on Pena (need I say that this was not part of a "schedule of witnesses"? There were checking on you until the very last minute, for the very next item, the first witness, is)

9:30 A.M. Orest Pena Habana Ber, 117 Decatur

11:00 A.H. Ruperto Pena

1: P.M. Evaristo Rodriguez, 1239 Vhartres Street

3:45 Mi Carlos Bringuier (out of town).

Taken by itself. I think this means the FBI was engaged in checking on you pretty carefully to the last minute before Liebeler questioned you. And, close as the FBI of sice was, this means he gave himself plenty of time to listen.

The other is two sheets of paper headed with your name and various breakdowns, of which I'll give you a summary and where more important, the exact words.

- After 1) is Background, and under this Bringuier, Rodriguez and Ruperto.

  3) Produce papers (whatever this means). 4) Review travel (you remember what they were trying to pin on you. I think Gar icon believed it until long after I strated to try and tell him better. Under this there are 5 breakdowns, includinging your schedule, part from Tamberella.
  - 5) Review previous contacts with FBI

a. 12/5/63 b. 6/3/64 - declined to be interviewed without attorney present.

- c. 6/5/64 Agents said they were willing to interview him in presence of his attorney. Pena said send a letter setting forth time date time and place of interview. (Orest, I have seen no manual such reports as these, and they were made, not have I seen such a letter, which means one of two things: the FBI did not give them to the Com ission, or my friends and I have not been able to find them. Because there is supposed to be a special file on each person named and none of this is in the one on you, which has practically nothing in it, I do not think it is our fault. We have found much more than they madmit having.)
  - d.6/9/64 Went to New Orleans FBI office and was interviewed.

    e. 6/16/64 FBI called Tamberella and set up re-interview at Pena's convenience.

    f. 6/17/64 FBI called Tamberella at home about re-interview. (Strange, HM)

un phone

g. 6/18/64 - Tamberella said no inconsistency between two statements, i.d.

(1) 12/5/63 - Oswald was in his bar, and (2) 6/9/64 - He never told chyone including Carlos Bringuler that he saw Oswald in the bar, because the 6/9/63 statement refers only to "lay people" and would not include the FBI

I skip. Under 9, did you ever tell anyone that you had ever seen Oswald, anywhere. Here is a very interesting one I wish you would explain. There has to be some reason for that debeler to have left if out in questioning your And, there is a mark right above it:

13. What about the doctors visit with Rodriguez when they saw Bringuier talkingto the policemen and the white men getting into the other police car.

I understant part of it now. It means when you were taking Evvie to the doctor. But what is that business about "the white man"?

14) What about the Carcia incodent - who were the merchant seamen-develop.

As we both know, these were not your oul / contacts with the FBI. There has to be some reason they were hiding them from the Commission. Have you any ideas along this line?

Orest, I am as busy as I am broke. I started work this morning about the time you go to bod, and I'm getting too old for it.

I just can't possibly get down there now. You know if I didn't have the money to get to New York when you were there, I can't get to N.O. I am somewhat concerned by this. It shows too much interest in you. It can be for a number of reasons. Two are that you know things and they know a meaning these things can have thatyou do not; and that they know you do understand. If you do not feel otherwise, I think it would be a good idea for you to do what you once said you would. "enumber, you went to kell when they had a special on tape recorders and got one so you could communicate with me that way? I think it would be a good iden if you would do this, when you are all alone. Package the casettes well if you do, mark them on the outside "Tapes-Handle With Care" so they will not be pu t near anything electronic, and send them to me. This, of course, is up to you. I would especially like this for another reason, not only your protection. It is possible that I will soon be writing about what - learned in New Orleans in a special, limited way and washes wax here the help you have already given me will be important.

Best regards.