Chief Executive Officer Pelican Publishing Company 1101 Honroe St., Gretna, LA 70054 Dear CEO,

Harold Weisberg 7627 Old Receiver Rd. Frederick, MD 21702 10/24/96

I wrote the first book on the Warren Commission and the assassination of President Kennedy and since then another nine books. In them I restricted myself to the office evidence. In this I am unique. I also made extensive use of the recedom of Information act, obtaining about a third of a million pages of previously withheld official records that way. As a matter of principal I have given all writing in the field unsupervised access to all those records and to our copier. Serious health problems which restrict my access to my own records have changed the nature of my work. (The problems account for my poor typing and writing, for which I apologise.) So, for more than a decade and a half I have been attempting to perfect the record for history to the degree that is possible for me.

I read your La Fontaine fairy tale when it appeared. Earlier I read and wrote critically of their version of their fiction in the Washington Post. It with my permission sent them what I wrote. I also promised to respond in writing to any comment the La Fontaines made about what I wrote. I never heard from them.

In the course of my work have not to the best of my recollection even written the publisher of any of the innumerable faulty works claimed to be on the assassination. It has been a long time since I read your contribution to coffusing and misleading the people about that most major and tragic crime and I did not write you. However, certain aspects of the La Fontaine frivolity withour history have been called to my attention recently so I ask you a few questions. Your answers or your failure to answer will contribute to the to me sad history of publishing on and supposedly on the subject of the assassination.

From my knowledge of the subject matter it is apparent that you had no real peer review of this controversial subject, if you had any peer review at all. Those reviews were once considered essential for responsible publication of nonfiction, particularly what is controversial. It is not only that the content of the La Fonaine book could not possibly get any authentic peer review. I believe it is unlikely that there could have been any without my having heard of it. (In my C.A.75-226 against the Department of Justice and the FBI they stated to that court that I knew more about the JFK assassination and its investigations than anyone working for the FBI. If you want a copy I'll send it. That suit was the fiftst file under the FOIA as amended in 1974. The legislative history is quite specific in stating that the amending of the investigatory files exemption of the Act was required by

Carlier FOIA awsuits. I do qualify as a subject-matter expert.) So, I would like to know why you published this book without any peer review and, clearly, without any meaningful checking of its contents.

Particularly its content that defames people. That appears to be to La Fontaine specialty when they do not like someone or cannot refute their criticisms.

Consistent with this the book's title and its subtitle state what is not true.

The title is Oswald Talked. He did not and the book holds no proof that he did.

The subtitle is The New Evidence in the JFK Assassination. There is not a single word in the book that justifies this deceptive, misleading and untrue subtitle.

The book, in fact, is not about the assassination at all. It assume's Oswald's said and never addresses any evidence relating to the killing.

If you disagree with this I ask that you send me what you believe is evidence that does relate to the assassination rather than the La Fontaine flary tales. Which is what your proclaimed their books does not hold.

You headed your annoucement of it, "No More Consumacy Theories, Just Consumacy Facts!" The first sentence underneath this establishes that it is supposed to relate to the assassination of the Mennedy you do not identify. (Two were assassinated.) I find nothing in the book that is any better than a "conspiracy theory" and much that is not even a theory but has no real basis at all. If you believe I all wrong I ask that you tell me what you see in the book that is Nother than at best a theory. Similarly, when you refer to "conspiract facts" about the JFK assassination and I find not a single on in so long a book, I asked that you tell me what you regard as facts rather than inventions relating to the assassination itself.

Similarly, you having claimed the book holds o"The New Evidence in the JFK Assassination," not a word of which I saw in this book, that you tell me what you regard as "new evidence in the JFK Assassination," not what is imagined about what does not relate to the killing.

There is, of course, much a pyblisher cannot know about such as book. This is one of the reasons repronsible publishers considering what controversial, particularly on a subject so important to the nation, have peer reviews.

It might have interested you to know, whether or not it would have had any influence on your decision to publish so disgracefully bad and dishonest a book by a couple who are authentic subject-matter ignoramuses even after they finish it, that heir bragged-off "Silicon Valley cavalry" did not even have a child's riskig rocking horse. They boast extensively about his use of the Freedom of Information

with or without imaginary horses, would have known.

3

All that nonsense so important in the La Fontaine, "conspircy theory" relating to Elrod was in the FBI's public reading room available to all there or by request with a simple letter for many years before the La Fontaine hero wasted all the effort he did to obtain copies. Those records wre placed in the FBI's public reading room once I compelled the FBI to dislose them to me in several FOIA lawsuits. (The la Fontaines do not claim that their guru went to the cost and trouble of filing a single one.) They are identified in the court records as CAs 75-1996 and 78-0322. In fact, the disclosed records are explicit in stating that I caused the investigation of the so-called Dealey Plaza tramps about whom the La Fontaines have their own fantasies they enjoy and that the very records they claim for their horseless cavalry were disclosed to me in the litigation cited above- many years before the La Fontaines or theits guru got bitten by the assassination but that leads people to believe there is cheap and easy fame or fortune in it.

, The La Fontaines say they had 33 cassettes of intermews before they aired they story on Hard Capy. Their book says that their "fired said what he did not say. The book does not even quite him personally or directly. Did you check on whichh Ekrod did not say what in their book that claim he said.

There is much more I'd like to know the paswers to but I believe the foregoing will reflect an adequate response. This "more" relates to the honesty or lack of it in criticism of others. For example, if you check what they claim they quote from my one book they cite you'll find that I was saying the exact opposite of what they profess, and had they not been subject-matter ignoramuses, they'd have known that was my third book in which I did that. If you bother to check their claimed source you will find that even the chapter title describes what i was writing about. "The False Oswald." I was writing about the character of the official investigations, not making up any conspiracy theory.

Publishers do read mansucripts before publishing then and to decide whether to publish them. Did you now have any questions about the La Fontaine manuscript? Did you make any effort to learn from those they defame whether or not what the La Fontaines told the truth about them I assume your lawyers told you all you wanted to know about the likelihood of having suits filed where the La Dontaines claimed they had sources. But how about simple fairness and decency? Did you now ok was read? Hauldblusserg have any questions about this when the book was read?



## PELICAN PUBLISHING COMPANY

1101 MONROE STREET . P.O. BOX 3110 . GRETNA, LOUISIANA 70054 . 504-368-1175 . FAX 504-368-1195

## No More Conspiracy Theories, Just Conspiracy Facts!

Put aside all of the speculations and suspicions. This is the Kennedy book that names the players in the cover-up and how they did it. *The New Evidence in the Kennedy Assassination* brings to the forefront documented records that substantiate a number of conspiracy claims, refute others, and unlock new portions of the scenario that have not been written about before.

The La Fontaines examine overlooked clues and present the following pieces of evidence, which support the existence of a conspiracy and establish the crucial link between Oswald and Ruby, the CIA, and other government agencies:

• A Department of Defense card showing that Oswald was employed by the U.S. government after his 1959 discharge from the Marines. The same kind of card was carried by known CIA agent and U2 pilot Gary Powers.

• Copies of two matted prints which may have been used to create the incriminating backyard photograph of Oswald with the supposed murder weapon. Plus this book contains testimony by the man who altered the photos for the investigation.

 Never-before-published records of the burglary of a nearby military armory just one week before the assassination. Associates of Jack Ruby were implicated for the theft but not all of the weapons were recovered by investigators.

• Arrest records and names of the three enigmatic vagrants who have been at the heart of several conspiracy theories. The evidence suggests their anonymity was a smoke screen to take emphasis off of others who were arrested that day, including one man who was in an adjoining cell to Oswald following his arrest.

These few points just scratch the surface of unearthed information presented in this book. Ray and Mary La Fontaine are not conspiracy theorists. They are front-page investigative journalists and producers of PBS and other nationally broadcast programming. Researching police files, legal memoranda from the Warren Commission investigation, and numerous other documented sources, they have attacked the holes of speculation left behind from theorists and filled them in with indisputable facts on the case.

## OSWALD TALKED:

## The New Evidence in the JFK Assassination

By Ray and Mary La Fontaine PUBLICATION DATE: May 1995 5 1/2 x 8 1/2 Photos ISBN: 1-56554-029-8 \$25.00

507

ask for review

Readers may order toll-free from Pelican at 1-800-843-1724 For More Information, Call 504/368-1175 Please send two (2) copies of any review or mention.