Don r Jim and Mark,

1/9/85

The third batch of Mark's Hesty, etc. records case today and because I am not up to current suuff, instead of cothinning on what $\overline{1}$ was doing re on banc petition I just sat and skinned them. I'll be writing further about them. This batch has doc. nos. through 81.

Pure IllSA Toels

I don't know if I did too much yesterday or could not take my brief exposure to today's cold but my walking theraphy was the poorest I can recall and by the time I was home from the post office I was week, weary and a little fummy in the bead. So, I may have mimsed things, particularly because of the boring nature of come and the difficulty of reading more of the copies.

Several things bear on my allegations of lying in the field offices case. For example, my attestation that there is a FCI file on Huby in the DL office. Marrett confirmed this.

You are getting copies of neo-record copies, probably from a special tickler of considerable size. The first batch did have serial numbers, but that does not mean that they cans from the sorialized, record copies. They can still have cous from a tickler set. As I now recall, there was, as I atteated, a Hosty 67 file in Dellas. This is in an earlier batch and I think 141 has already mide a separate copy for me and about which I'll write later. (I'm hoping that this will wear off and that I can recurs what I should be doing. Otherwise I'll make an appointment with the doctor because the first signs of ecohymosis were visible last night. I've a obset full of junk that is coming up slowly, with such hard coughing at night, thus the signs of rupture of scall blood vessels on the abdomen.)

There is a reference to an 34 Joe R. Pearce. Ay recollection is that at the time of the assessmination he was an investigative cleak. So, if true, like some we are furtiliar with, he earned his spins and became an SA. I do not recall seeing in the very large Hosty flap files I've gone over this statement, of LHO as an informur, but I could have forgetten. I did make a duplicate file for subject filing and it would be in there if disclosed to see. This reminds no of consthing from the past of which I then informed you, with a King assessmination overlap. But first a comment on the internal investigation. They didn't comm bother to hide its shallowness and perfunctory nature, and this also is clear in ay subject file. I an cortain I annotated as I read and if I did-I sent you a copy. The FAI's KGs did all they could to discover as little as possible and make the result as uncertain as possible, thus aliminating the proposects of any original charges. By recollection that make relation the destruction appears to be confirmed, and one of the samiler-batch records states unequivocally that FRENC did know about the whole thing at the time, something the KGs tried to leave funzy.

There was a trained gay I've never not and who thereafter moved around much who wrote me from I think Scattle, and after some time he told me that his landlost was a former SA zoned C, later identified as "arter, that he had been in the KC office with Hosty, was black, and that Hosty had talked to him. He ence told we that Hosty had laft a note for Oswald, which mude me wonder. But I did some chooking and Carter had been an SA, listed in the organization of SA's book. The import os his Hauty story is that had been an informant, guit, and Hesty was heasiling him to get his book. I have no reason to believe it, such as this gay said that was straight.

One of the earlier batches has a record indicating that Hoever praised Hosty for his WC testimony. This t ind batch has anrowes of it as printed containing Hosty's perjury, the same pages I used in appeals and US22. I used more. Hosty states that LHO gave no indication of sky tendency toward violence.

Sectinds me, on Pearce, the internal record states that the WC h d investigated

and found it not to be true. The "investigation" consisted of Hoover's pro forma denial and Pearce was not a consistent witness.

In connection with witholding the name of FBI employees: three lists in this batch along.

bhat may be of interest to Garys Shaw and Mack re the pix of a man with Waltharm on the grassy strip routh of Elm, picking something aps while he does not give a time, Earrott states he was there early and another SA was, name aligned my wind.

Also, I do not recall any earlier account of the FMI seeking footage than Berrett's, of boing sent 11/23 to KRID-FV.

for do I recall that the Schucker subconsittee reported that it was told by Dallas SAs that they user instructed to avoid a real investigation and not to investigate any conspiring possibilities, in questioning of Barrett.

Have you related any question about the withholding as outside scope, which I take it "scope" means, if the info is not in itself exampt? Why withhold without needs which dealys and indresses costs and requires subjective juigments? The AG did order maximum possible disclosure. Why not try to get these to stop such withholdings on that baris, that they are supposed to by the AG's order disclose all they can?

It would be good, in correspondence and in the future, if a sories of records identified by document numbers only, with duplications of the numbers, had some other identification with them. Den't you get one?

After I along up what I want to do with the patition and feel better I'll write you further about some of the records in these batches.

ALLER .

化合成合金机 机制成 经财产管理的承担行任