11/27/93

Mr. Les Payne <u>Newsday</u> Long Island, NY 11747 Dear Les,

I don't move very much, or very far, and most of what 1 have on the JFK assassination anniversary is sent to me. Today I got a xerox of your 11/21 column and of Klotz's article. You are the only one who reflected any understallding of the man JFK and why the people love him so much today. Including a large percentage of the letters I get from those not then born or those then infants of children.

It was his boldness and what he said. He gave hope and spirit long lacking. Of all I've seen from all the professional pontificators not one suggested anything like the truth. It is not alone his death and how he died. This seems to be reflected in the letters I get more than ever, and it was always in them. I've gotten, and answered, more than 20,000 - from strangers when it was not easy to learn how to write me.

Despite my many disabilities I've continued working. I have two books coming out next year. Despite the media attitude that all is conspiracy theorizing, I've dane noon of that. It is all factual, official refords and evidence.

One on Posner, if not emasculated in editing, due in April or May, should create a hell of a scandal. The other one, <u>NEVER AGAIN</u>! in the sense that this should never happen here again, could and I think should have been out for this anniversary. It is being saved for the coming one, due 9/94 I'm told.

The thrust of my work, as I may have told you, is that in those times of great crisis and ever since the institutions of out society failed. In both of these coming book, most of all the failed and failing institution is the media.

If you know Klotz, please tell him he was full of organic fertilzer in writing about me, unnamed and uninformed save with Oliver Stone's propaganda, if that I am not and have not been disgruntled. I'm making a record for history. And I wrote Stone two months before he started shooting that he could not keep his promise of what his movie would be, that it would write their history for the people, telling them who killed their President, why and how, from Garrison's fiction. I was there and ¹ know. Lardner's story was fair and accurate. And I made the record for history that I wanted to make, powerful as it was that movie was fiction, not fact or history.

Best to all,

Hart

IDEAS John Klotz

Why the Media Want

The media return to the Kennedy assassination again and again but the story won't stand still. The case will never close.

OR THREE decades the major organs of the American media have been as one voice in their support for the report of the Warren commission and its conclu-sion that Lee Harvey Oewald was the lone, unassisted assassin of President John F. Kennedy. In the face of ever deepening public akepticism toward the official version, The New York Times, CBS News, the Waahington Post and other powerful and prestigious news organiza-tions continued to charge reporters who had ori-ginally affirmed the soundness of the report with evaluating later challenges to it — the most noto-

ginally attirmed the southness of the report with evaluating lister challenges to it... the most noto-rious being Oliver Stone's 1991 movie "JFK." To the immense frustration of the media elite, the public flocked to "JFK." A new generation of movie patrons was seduced by a dark tale of assassination compires. The empire struck back earlier this fall, Ger-del Becomerge struck of the assessination. "Came

ald Poener's study of the assassination, "Case Closed," was widely trumpeted as finally prov-ing that Oswaid acted alone. In September, Tom Brokaw and the NBC News staff hailed the book Brokaw and the NBC News stall named the book for finally resolving the Kennedy mystery. U.S. News and World Report ran a cover story claim-ing that Posner had made an "unshakable" case against Oswaid, Random House, the book's pub-lisher, took the highly unusual step of placing its own credibility behind the book's findings. It also invested heavily in the book's promotion, mines no fee as to place an advertisement in The also invested heavily in the book's promotion, going so far as to place an advertisement in The Times eerily echoing handbills that dogged Ken-nedy in Texas: Robert Groden and other critics were "GUILITY" of misleading the American public. And last week Posner's research figured prominently in the PES Frontline documentary, "Who Waa Lee Harvey Oswald?" Appearing in today's issue of The Times is a review of recent assassination literature, includ-ing the Posner book, which it singles out for praise. An unsigned editorial note on the cover of the Book Review characterizes the fact that over 2,000 books have been published on the

over 2,000 books have been published on the assassination as "a devastating record of the length to which sensationalists have gone to sow suspicion, and editors and publishers have

sow suspicion, and editors and publishers have gone to profit from their wares." The major organs of the media have tried des-perately to reconstitute the moral authority of the Warren commission under the guise of "Case Closed." It has been a hopelees task. When CBS News polled the public earlier thin month, a rec-ord 90 percent said they believed that Kennedy had been the victim of a conspiracy. Given the maddening ambiguity of the forensic evidence, not to mention the elusiveness of a distant milieu populated by hoddlums, spies and seelots, the proliferation of possible answers to the assansination and the lack of definitive ones should not surprise. What does surprise, in light of all this uncertainty, is the media's haste to foreclose further discussion and the search for firmer prof. ner proof.

By concentrating on the issue of Oswald's guilt, By concentrating on the issue of Oswald's guilt, Warren commission defenders beg profoundly important questions raised by the commission's cover-up, Much more than Oswald's culpability is at atake in the collapse of the Warren report. Swirling around Kennedy and Oswald was a whirlpool of sinister personalities and institu-tions intent on dominating the course of Ameri-can policy in the Cold War. It was these forces that the Warren report hid from public view. The struggle between the official myth and the cour-

John Klotz is editor of the John Kiotz is eathor of the City Sierran, the quarter-ly journal of the New York City Group of the – Sierra Club, and former counsel to the Committee on Ethics and Guidance of the State Assembly.

termyth inevitably leads to the question of govtermyth interitably leads to the question of gov-ernmental legitimacy and media culpability for the horrors that have befallen the nation in the decades since Kennedy's death. By comparison, who killed Kennedy is almost an academic ques-

The network of the second seco

It didn't work. The Warren report, the public decided, was a coverup. Oswald was the first critic of the lone-gunman theory. "I am a patay," he responded when asked if he had murdered the president. After his desth, other critics emerged. Mark Lane sought to represent Oswald's interest at the Warren commission hearings. After the report was issued, he published "Rush to Judgment," a best-selling critique of the report and its lone-gunman conclusion. Lane paid a price for his effrontery — "ghoul" was among the kinder epi-thets. theta

In 1967, CBS News sought to answer the ques-In 1967, CISS News sought to answer the ques-tions again with a four-part study of the Warren report; The last program of the series ended with the normally sober Eric Sevareid compar-ing Warren commission critics to advocates of the "The Protocols of the Elders of Zion," a vi-cious anti-Semitic plot." The '70s more than vindicated the critics. Sev-

cious anti-Semitic plot. The '70e more than vindicated the critics. Sev-eral Watergate participants were linked to both the CIA and an amalgam of organized-crime fig-jures and anti-Castro Cubans that swirled around the events of Dealey Plaza. Successive government investigations attunningly con-firmed some of the worst suspicions of the inde-pendent investigations atomingly con-firmed some of the worst suspicions of the inde-pendent investigations atomingly con-firmed some of the worst suspicions of the inde-pendent investigators concerning the govern-ment's potential for duplicity and violence. Barely noted by the media establishment were equally important revelations by reporter Carl Bernstein of Watergate fame. Writing in the Oct. 20, 1977, edition of Rolling Stone, Bern-stein documented the CIA's use of major media organizations to provide information and cover for wide-ranging espionage. In 1991, montha before the release of "JFK," a disgruntled Warren commission critic who dis-script to George Lardner, a Washington Post re-porter who covered the Kennedy assassination. Pouncing on Stone even as he was directing the filming of "JFK," Tardner launched a scathing critique challenging Stone's right to produce a movie memorializing New Orlease' District At-

numing of JrA. Laturer induction a scalaring critique challenging Slow's right to produce a movie memorializing New Orleans' District At-torney Jim Garrison's version of the counter-myth. By the time of the movie's release, it had - ditria

In '63, Kennedy Lit Rights Lamp

ES

PAYNE

54

CURRENTS

T HAS BEEN 30 years since that bullet rang out from the Texas Book Depository, or wherever, and dramatically began to change America. The 1960s were nasty times, and on Nov. 22, 1963,

The 1960s were nasty times, and on Nov. 22, 1963, they got infinitely nastier. It was the first in a long series of assassinations. For years to come, Americans would be able to recall just what they were doing when the alugh hit home. Feb. 21, 1965, was the second hit. The third and fourth came on April 4 and June 5, 1968. On that bleak November day 30 years ago, I was a newly minted second lieutenant awaggering to lunch at the Fort Billss officers' club at the moment the rifle slug in Dallas struck. Starched and pressed in my tropical worsted uni-form, I had defended the human rights of strangers that neither I nor my forebears had ever fully enjoyed in our own country. Such cruel contradictions are the birthright of those born into the oppressed classes of this republic.

After graduating from college in Connecticut, I had sought a job as a reporter, but that possibility for me, in those days, was as remote as the Oval Office. There

eought a job as a reporter, but that possibility for me, in those days, was as remote as the Oval Office. There were two newspapers in Hartford, the Times and the Courant. Neither had ever hired a black reporter and would no sooner have hired me than the Klam would have taken me on as its imperial wizard. Not many of us, two decades ago, got out of the wretched conditions of the ghetto. Some took refuge in the church, others in the bottle, still others made their stand in the pool hall, on the street corner, behind the switchblade — candidates, as Richard Wright wrote, "for the chinics, morgues, prisons, reformatories and the electric chair of the state's death house." Those of us who escaped had to find a lever. I took my English degree into the Army to hone my leader-ship skills and learn how to shoot down enemy bomb-ers with Nike Hercules missiles. My commander-in-chief was John F. Kennedy. The Irish had never struck me as racially enlight-ened. But this Irish-Catholic Kennedy, this president, many African-Americans said, seemed different. He spoke eloquently enough, but when pressed, he showed something more. What the downtrodden asw in him was a quality rare in white males and absent in every president since, save Jimmy Carter and per-haps Bill Clinton. African-Americans gazed upon Kennedy and saw every president aps Bill Clinton.

African-Americans gazed upon Kennedy and saw not so much what he was but what, if worked on, he could become

could become. Coming out of the Eisenhower years, blacks were afforded a new, though exaggerated, acnse of the pos-sible humanness of their enemy. As Malcolm X and the Rev. Martin Luther King Jr. heightened blackd sense of dignity, Kennedy, in word at least, hiked their expectations of the federal government. It was not so much what Kennedy did during his short sojourn; it was his style, his boldness, the things he said. On Feb. 28, 1963, in a message to Congress on civil rights, Kennedy diagnosed the effects of racial illness in America:

on civil rights, Kennedy diagnosed the effects of racial illness in America: "Through these long 100 years, while slavery has vanished, progress for the Negro has been too often blocked and delayed . Equal treatment and opportunity. And the harmful, wasteful and wrongful results of racial discrimination and segregation still appear in virtually every aspect of national life, in virtually every aspect of national life, in virtually every aspect of national his way. Kennedy went on lay out a blueprint for making things more equal the arts of education, employment, public accommodation, housing and civil rights overall. In his introduction, Kennedy cited the Emancipation Proclamation as a "first step." He praised Lincoln but noted that he "unhappily did not live to follow up." Nor, of course, did Kennedy.

0061 'n, NOVEMBER

YNDNUR

1 w -4

الاستنباطة العلمي المستعد المستند المعام الإلاياتين من المن مع من من من المالي المالي المالي المالي المالي الم منه المستقدمة المستقدمة المالية المستقد المعام المالي المالي المالية المستقد المعام المالي المالي المالية المست

and a second second