20734

y 19, 1966

Hr. Mark Lane 178 Spring St., Yew York, N.Y. 10018

LAP T. TREE,

The easential point of my letter of May 11 and that of May 17, which hope bolt will the forward to you is that there is enough from for all of us, and that all of us should have better things to do then fight each other and make claims in print that downgs each other. There is nothing representable in having an income from work, pur is having assistance. Tress are norms 1 our society. Having been entirely fithout income myself for two and a helf years, I sincerely regret that yours was so little better.

her you do reed my book, I suggest that beginning on page 115 you will find I took up the sudgels for you.

ith helf of each of your two lest the pers I do disagree. There can be no doubt you are right in seying, "for only through fair treatment of the acquised not it would that the democratic society functions in the area of a minel jurisprudence. I believe this so strongly that one of the three with of my be his devoted and them in the his of public authority. Properly, your statement is his indicated to "the area of original jurisprudence." The street of my the area of original jurisprudence. The area of original jurisprudence.

Tith your belief the Report was credite! by many only because ustice erren's name was on it I am in estire second. Tou do not quote Trever-Reper's sords that I believe unfair, that the Shief Justice did the "bulk of the work", and not biame you, for it is inscented also. You shift to "beer the burden of rescibility". I do not and samet agree with this formulation, either and I report it also as unfair and largely self-defeating. In the ned, I hope, when the later ait of the emotions subside, you will see this lierersionately. By then, also, the damage I do not believe you intend will have been done. Seer in mind that the because from my bo k to which I referred you is one of a musher in which is confident you will scree I pull no punches on No. Farren. We wan trong. We have all been, though few if any with such magnitude. Yet I still regard him as one at the great men and better influences in our society, even though I am also not in accord with all his decisions.

I note those things in my letter that you ignored, and I am content to let it rut there. I also note your gratuitous insult and I will ignore the very obvious responses, including references to the eminent historian, for it is not my intention or de ire to engage in an exchange of insults. I have more constructive surposes to which to put my time and cortainly you must. I will be satisfied if you will restrain the public insocuracies:

Sincerely,