One of the records of the FHI's 12/7/77 EQ JFK records release should have been provided on discovery in 75-226. It is 62-109060-2845, from Section 58, R.H.Jevons to Conrad (drafted by JFGallagher) of 3/27/64.

It recounts the 3/26 meeting with Melvin Misenberg "to discuss spectrographic examinations conducted on materials relating to the assessmation of President Kennedy."

The memo is in typically vague and inconclusive FRI language, to such an extent that believe my interpretations that follow are justified and fair.

Of the Walker bullet Gallagher stated ""the lead alloy" was different them that of the "large fragment recovered from the car." He had to spell this out no more.

Host of the rest is on paraffin tests but Gallagher is evasive on "the spectrographic examinations of the bullet fragments recovered from the wound in "resident "commedy's head and from the vehicle..." Of these he said no more than can be said for an appreciable percentage of all bullets ever manufactured: "were composed of the same chamical elements." The capability of the tests paraitted a more definitive statement.

On the testing of the casts, all rests on assumptions not even stated, that the muchant residues are from powder. There is no discussion of any other source, no statement that the tests established powder as the source and no reference at all the check casts.

Gallagher mays "it was not possible to distinguish iminume the powder residues of the rifle cartridges." Only in a quest for evidence of guilt only is this the objective. The first objectives should have been to identify the residues as of powder and then what kind or source. This is all assumption, that Oswald fixed both rifle and revolver and that the residues came from powder only.

Of the Asbersold lette:, the most significant part of which I believe dealt with the wifle and shooting, Gallagher represents that Risemberg read only what relates to the paraffin casts. Of this Gallagher states "No elements were found during these tests to distinguish between the wifle and revolver deposits" and "Nothing has once to our attention and to our knowledge nothing has been done subsequent to those examinations which would assist further in the interpretation of the data obtained..."

One question about the first quote is, I think, are the element different in the two kinds of some primary? I think they are the same elemens, his word.

One question about the second is the absence of any effort to duplicate the Dallas conditions. Gallagher pretends that the washing of the casts rained them for these tests. They had ween the chemical analysis of the Dallas water, etc. I believe they could have weaked the test casts close enough to identical with the Dallas casts for a determination. I believe the reason this was not done is the negative parawin results on the cheek, indicative of no rifle having been fixed by LHD. (Perhaus more indicative if the presence of recides on his hands means he had not washed them.)

UNITED STATES CO NMENT

Memorandum

TO Mr. Conrad

B. H. Jevons

SUBJECT: ASSASSINATION OF
PRESIDENT JOHN F. KENNEDY,
11/22/63, DALLAS, TEXAS

In accordance with the verbal request of Mr. Melvin Eisenberg
of The President's Commission and with prior approval, SAS Henry B. Heiberger
and John F. Gallagher met with Mr. Eisenberg on 3/26/64, to discuss spectro—:
graphic examinations conducted on materials relating to the assassination of
President Kennedy.

SA Heiberger discussed the spectrographic comparison examinations

SA Heiberger discussed the spectrographic comparison examinations which he conducted in the Laboratory. SA Heiberger advised that the lead alloy of the bullet recovered from the attempted shooting of General Walker was different from the lead alloy of a large bullet fragment recovered from the car in which President Kennedy was shot. SA Gallagher advised Wr. Eisenberg the spectrographic examinations of the bullet fragments recovered from the wound in President Kennedy's head and from the vehicle in which President Kennedy was riding at the time of his assassination were composed of the same chemical elements.

As reported in my memorandum of March 17, 1964 (Jevons to Conrad, LEE HARVEY OSWALD, IS - R), SA Gallagher discussed with Mr. Eisenberg the neutron activation analyses of the paraffin casts from Oswald. This technique you will recall revealed deposits on the casts characteristic of powder residues. However, it was not possible to distinguish the powder residues of the rifle cartridges from the powder residues of the revolver cartridges. It was in connection with this examination that Mr. Eisenberg then discussed with SA Gallagher a letter of Dr. Paul C. Aebersold, Director, Division of Isotopes Development, Atomic Energy Commission, to Assistant Attorney General Herbert J. Miller, which was dated December 11, 1963, and subsequently forwarded to The Commission. He read from this letter the following:

l = Mr. Belmont

1 - Mr. Rosen

l - Mr. Sullivan

1 - Mr. Malley

62-109060

JFG: 81 (11) 55 APR 13 1964 7

APR 8 1964

REC 7 62-109060 - 2845

EX-103

25 APR 7 1704

- A CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY

Memorandum to Mr. Conrad

Be: ASSASSINATION OF
PRESIDENT JOHN F. KENNEDY,
11/22/63, DALIAS, TEXAS
62-109060

"We would hope soon to have more details on whether the method (neutron activation analyses) will give useful information to distinguish between smoke deposits from revolvers and those from rifles—by means of their distribution on the body of the person firing them and by the levels of antimony and barium (and perhaps other elements) deposited."

Mr. Eisenberg then asked if more detailed background was available at this date. It was pointed out by SA Gallagher that Dr. Aebersold's letter was written prior to the actual activation analyses examinations of Oswald's casts. No elements were found during these tests to distinguish between rifle and revolver deposits. Mr. Gallagher further pointed out that the data in the present instance were further complicated by Oswald's firing of the revolver subsequent to the firing of the rifle and by the fact that the casts have been chemically treated and washed prior to receipt for neutron activation analyses. Nothing has come to our attention and to our knowledge nothing has been done subsequent to these examinations which would assist further in the interpretation of the data obtained from the activation analyses of the paraffin casts from Oswald.

ACTION: None, for information.

W--