Mr. H. Richards Rolapp, Special Assistant to the Deputy Attorney General Department of Justice Resignation, D.C.

Dear My. Relapp.

Sec.

in,

Your letter of February 2 is hard for me to credit and is any event does not meet the clear requirement of the Attorney General's "Memorandum on the Fublic Information Section of the Administrative Procedure Act."

The material I meek is not alone the basis of a "eport made by, for and/or on bakelf of the Atterney General of the United States, which would seen to require that it, in fact, be "in the files of"the Department of Justice. It is also part of a brief presented in court by the Department. It is only a year sime use by your department. Are you talking me the Department usually eleanses its files in less than a year?

Or are you telling me the United States government has destroyed all such records? If they are not in the files of our Department, your Department certainly knows what it did with them, and this you are required to de something about, I quote from page 24 of the memorandum cited:

"...Every effort should be made to avoid encumbering the applicant's path with procedural obstacles when these essentially internal Government problems arise. Agencies generally should treat a referred request as if it had been filed with the spansy to which the matter is ultimately referred". Now I would presume that the Department of Justice is the "spansy" of "exclusive concern" when something by or for the Atterney General is involved, when a court record is involved, a court setion and the presentation of evidence in court. This same persgraph also says, however, "Where a record is requested which is af concern to more than one sgensy, the request should be referred to the agency these interest in the record is parements", It is then expected to "consult" with "the other interested species".

In short, if the Department of Justice is not the "agency whose interest in the record is paremount", as a laymon would presume to be the case with Department of Justice business, you are still required to refer this matter to other interested spincies.

Should you persist in denying me these records to wich " believe I am entitled, I sak that the refusal be signed by the Atterney Ceneral himslef so I can proceed forthwith under the law without added, unnecessary procedurel obstacles being placed in my path.

Sincerely.

Herold Weisberg

2/4/70