

1/9/95

Dear "alm"

1/13/95

Re those I regard as the nuts and your belief there should be a new investigation, they are too ignorant to know that they have no leads and could not follow them if they did. They regard all of this as they would a novel in reading but that believe their craziness is real. They and all like them have been hurtful in undermining all credibility and misleading the people who care and who trust and believe them.

Any investigation of the assassination inevitably means an investigation of the FBI and no politician can hope to survive that.

So you can with the Commission and with HSCA.

The best we can hope to do now is keep the people accurately and honestly informed and to give them to understand that their government phoned it all up and did not investigate the crime itself.

But all the contradictory stories not one of which is factual keeps them confused.

As all such stories in the past did.

Thanks for the try with "argynn."

Best,

I'm getting a little busy with some creative projects, but I should have more time to do the research in the next few weeks.

Harold

I found it interesting that Judge has "never interested in the fact of the assassination." I recently got into a debate w/ Judge's friend Bill Kelly over the same subject. The debate started when I tried to steer our conversation toward what I also called the "important" aspect, i.e. the fact that Kelly dismissed me out of hand by saying "nobody is interested in that anymore because we all know there was a conspiracy, and now the important is find out who the nuts behind it. But the system is the

1/9/95

Dear Harold,
I spoke to Maryann about typing for you and unfortunately she's unable to take on any extra work at this time. I know a few people here in N.Y.C. who might be amenable, although my sense is that they might be too expensive to make the effort worthwhile. I will, nonetheless, ask around.

As for the stuff you need researched, I'm getting a little busy with some theatre projects, but I expect to have some time to do the research in the next few weeks.

I found it interesting that you see Judge as "never interested in the fact of the assassination." I recently got into a debate w/Judge's friend Bill Kelly over the same subject. The debate started when I tried to steer our (assassination) conversation toward what I also consider the "important" aspect, i.e. "the fact" of it. Kelly dismissed me out of hand by saying "nobody's interested in that anymore because we all know there was a conspiracy, and now it's important to find out who was behind it." But the problem, as I see

it, is convince enough of the citizenry,
but particularly the powers-that-be
that a new investigation is in order.
As I've said before, I don't disparage
the efforts of those who seek the
planners & executors of the assassination
but until some sort of official (i.e. gov't
sanctioned) investigation is launched, then
looking for the plotters is essentially
a form of masturbation. Simply put,
I do not believe there is any
researcher, or any group which has
the financial and legal firepower
to get anything substantial done.

Which is one reason why I think
Summers' piece (the LaFontaine's not-
withstanding) is ultimately helpful to
the search for truth. And that is precisely
because not much is offered in the way
of who-dunnit. Just the fact that a
reasonable, intelligent case is made for
the Official Story's lack of truth, and this
case is presented to a wide audience, is
cause for hope. And right now, hope is
about all I have left.

Regards,
Jim