

6-19-74

DEAR MR. WEISBERG

I LAST WROTE TO YOU, IN FEBRUARY 1973. AT THAT TIME YOU STATED, YOU HAD OTHER MATERIAL TO BE PUBLISHED, AND YOU WOULD PUT ME ON YOUR MAILING LIST. I WAS WONDERING IF YOU PUBLISHED, OR HAVE ANY PLANS TO PUBLISH ANYTHING IN THE NEAR FUTURE. PLEASE KEEP ME ON YOUR MAILING LIST. I HOPE YOU WILL BE ABLE TO PUBLISH MORE BOOKS. YOU SEEM TO BE ABLE TO DIG DEEPER THAN ANYONE ELSE.

DO YOU KNOW WHAT GARIBOLDI IS DOING NOW. IS HE STILL WORKING ON THE CASE? IS HE PLANNING ON WRITING ANY BOOKS OTHER THAN HIS FIRST ONE. IS IT POSSIBLE FOR HIM TO RELEASE ALL OF THE INFORMATION HE HAD GATHERED AS D.A.

WHAT DO YOU THINK OF THE JAMES EARL RAY CASE? DO YOU KNOW WHO THE MEN WERE WHO DID THE SHOOTING AND WHO HIRED THEM?

SOME OF THE SMALL PAPERS HAVE RUN SERIES STATING THEY HAVE PICTURES OF E. HOWARD HUNT, AND FRANK STURGIS BEING

ARRESTED IN DALLAS AFTER THE
ASSASSINATION. THESE PICTURES, TO ME, DO
NOT LOOK LIKE EITHER OF THEM. HAVE
YOU DEVELOPED ANY CONNECTIONS WITH
THE WATERGATE PEOPLE AND THE
KENNEDY ASSASSINATION OR THE WALLACE
SHOOTING? I UNDERSTAND THAT A
JAMES HICKS AND EMILIO SANTANA
CONFESSED TO TAKING PART IN THE
ASSASSINATION. DID THEY EVER SAY
WHO THE OTHER MEMBERS OF THE
GROUP WERE, OR WHO HIRED THEM.
DO YOU BELIEVE THEM?

KEEP UP THE GOOD WORK.

THANK YOU

SINCERELY YOURS

Bob Phillips

6/22/74

Dear Mr. Phillips,

I get many concerned letters like yours of the 19th. I can't make adequate response and still do any work. And being honest may be mistaken, may be taken for other than what it is, simple honesty without diplomatic phrasing, because I just do not have the time. I still work a longer day than anyone I know.

(Sven Nixon's lawyers, and I don't know them.)

I am broke so I have not been able to publish anything new. If and when we can and do we will let you know, as we will everyone on our mailing list.

The people who bring you the garbage about the Hickses and the Sanatars belong in isolation after confinement. They are rabid. Also sincere, which does not reduce the insanity. Do you suppose that if Garrison had legitimate confessions he would not have put the live witnesses on the stand? Or is he had anything he was not ashamed of he would have held it back? The tragic truth is he was a loud-talking, big-talking disasser who made no real investigation of his own and destroyed the credibility of those who did, simultaneously turning all the major media off and convincing it that everyone had as little and spoke as exaggeratedly.

I haven't heard from him in years. Last I heard he was back in private practise. He could be a very good lawyer. He has an exceptional mind when he isn't chasing wild geese.

I don't subscribe to any of what you call the "small" papers because it is my experience that they use nonsense to attract readers, thereby imposing on the concern and trust of decent people. It does help circulation and makes the writers and editors feel important. (In every meaningful way it hurts real work and destroys more credibility.) I know of what it prints only that of which I am sent copies. I recall no single worthwhile, responsible article. Those insanities you cite about Watergaters in Dealey Plaza, the nineteenth version of the same pictures, is a case in point.

It is my investigation, largely in Frame-Up, of which I suppose I sent you excerpts from a review and an order blank, that underlies the Ray defense. I have no idea who the real killers were or for whom they worked. Except for those involved, I don't know anyone who has a basis for claiming he knows. The Ray case is on its way to an evidentiary hearing. If we win there the next step is a trial, unless the State stalls more with other appeals based on nothing but the need to stall. If we get into court I don't see how he can be convicted. Not even how the case can go to the jury, there is that little, none of which stacks up.

But don't be discouraged. We'll yet overcome the self-advertisin nuts and the officialy dishonest.

Best regards,