David Bark 3456 17 St., San Francisco, CA 94110

Dear David,

Your sending me your copy of the Cakkaghan book is a fine, thoughtful, generous and appreciated offer but if by the time you get this you have not send it, please do not.

I know enough about the trash. It is one of the minor, and I mean this, exploitations and exploitations whatever Callahan may believe or have talked himself in believing and it is beyond my capabilities to give all of them the attention that a decade ago I would have. I know enough about it from my friend Dave Wrone, Wisconsin (Stevens Point) historian. Anything to promote it, of course, I would like for file.

It does distress me that Paul Hock would have anything to do with it but then 2 when he agreed to he probably had no way of knowing What would evolves is shabby, careless, ignorand, uninformed relic of the dim past that is tainted by the more carelss of crude inaccuracies.

An extra one, for file purposes only, would be welcome. But I will not waste the time to read it. The few samples I have are enough for the book to describe itself. It is not even current on the assussination nuttiness. For Callahan not to know even that is his own self-pcharacterization to me.

If as you say that it is so cheaps and rude (you do not use these words) was not his intention tehn he is arrangement beyond meaningful description to have undertaken such a project for what I presume is a decent advance and then not do the work required to make it even a decent compendium of all the misleading, misinformation and generally crongheaded disgraces readily available to him. And of which Scott at the least should have known about.

Most of the covert-action seenarios of which I know range from amateur childish condepts of them to utter silliness if they are alleged to relate to the ssassination.

You are fortunate not be have been redited in the book as you were to Publishers Weekly.

Qne Scott, and this is intended to address his work, not him, I made detailed analyses and commentaries on all the abortions in defense of Cliver Stone's travest erg again for history only. In the course I did that with the sillines TIKKUN printed. I sent Lerner a copy, with no restrictions and as a challenge to those who penned that swill for him, Not a word from any of them, none from Scott. These guys just do not know what they are talking and writing about and their invent reality often in justification of the sickness they put on paper. I can think of litted writing ostensibly on the subject that defames writers more or serves any national interest less. In short, however they conceive themselves, they are disinformationists. Thet confuse the prople even more and individually and collectively they tend to protect the official miscreants. But you have been thoughtful and helpful and once again thanks for it. (I hope you were braced!) Thanks again, factor

David Park Books 3456 17th Street San Francisco 94110 8/15/93

Harold Weisberg 7627 Old Receiver Rd. Frederick, MD 21702

Dear Mr Weisberg,

I am sending for your inspection my own copy of Bob Callahan's forthcoming book, and a publisher's trade brochure that, on one of its many leaves, announces the terms of The its introduction into the market—the format of its display stand and so forth.

I will want to get them back because this may be the only copy I ever lay my hands on, and was inscribed to me, however frivolously, by the author.

Should copies of either item fall into my hands, I will ship to you gratis, to keep.

Your worst expectations are appropriate; I dont think that exploitation was precisely Bob's intention, although making a living doesnt fit the case either \*\* And I dont know where my intentions come in; I would like to feel that I as a person, bookseller, and writer, are represented by my book catalogs only,

Brace yourself.

\*by this I mean to indicate that Bob has been independently interested in covert action scenarios for many years, it is of a piece with previous interests. And yes, he does make his living as a writer.