ELENSON SUMMER PROVIDENT CONTRACTORY OF STREET 

Dear Dick,

What it was that impalled you to get the Overstreet book I do not know, but if you argedted any kind of an honest appressed - any kind of homesty at sal- you have thrown your money away. For any such surpose you will also throw away the time you spend reading it. - have never read a book so entirely dishanest, beginning with concept. Toward the and - merely skimmed, reading parts cerefully, hestening through others. I ended with the conclusion that this book was designed as a particle answer to Hoover's conspicuous dishensities and futures in the assessidetion investigations.

3/9/69

Why they have this servile at titude toward such a man I cannot say, paless it is the red phobis that so obviously dominates their every thought. They famint speak of the klen, for example, except by brackstting with the Corructions. "heir servility is shoundant in the smallest ways. While the great and important are referred to, after first mention, without "Mr." shoust without exception he is "Mr. Howser".

TheRe is one "fuctual" enswer to every charge: Howver's word. He is right simply because he says as is right. No other sufforty is needed or cited. Except at the very end, when his charging about 70 percent more people with disloyalty than the species boards would substain is the closing delification, their absolute proof of their faith is his unerring good judgement.

This is p thops the most incredible trash I have ever read, cartainly of actions, protendedly scholarly works. Hawing read it, I wonded if there is a single thing in it that can betrusted. In many cases, despite their smoothness, their selectivity in quotation and reference is without need of proving, it that is that obvious. They are devotees of the non sequetur.

Unlike Jeaus, whorwas mertal and orgal in trusting Judas, this is

It is so openly argumentative, it will be credited by only those beginning with no other intent or capability. If Hoover can be embarrased, this book will do it.

Only becaus. it some impossible that they can be completely wrong have I interest in a single pert. The conclusion I draw is that their major single source was Hoover and hile fikas. I think he had it all done and when they wanted it, delivered it. However, because of Bill Turner's undeviating very bed influence of Gerrison, I have interest in him. So, if copying that chapter presents no great difficulty for you, and no cost, when you can I'd like that to have on han' in cose there ever develops need to check it out. Most of Gerrison's errors origingte with Turner, much of him snormous wests of time and money. I know of nothing, /mct a single good thing, for which he was responsible.

I will now return the book, insured. What an experience!

Sincerely,