Dear George,

10/21/83

After we last spoke I was asked, rather tentatively, if I would be willing to address Davison's book on the anniversary so I decided to finish reading and annotating it. So, if this eventuates, I'll be ready.

I've found additional errors and dishonesties, if they interest you. I'll be making a simple file of them.

It happens that the one on top has her saying (74), "On the same day (he got to England) he flew to Helsinki." She knows very well that the published WCmaterial includes the CIA's checking which shows that it was impossible by commercial carrier. You should remember this from what I gave you on Epstein's misrepresentation of this.

She states, without addressing the evidence, that Oswald took his trusty M-C rifle and did this shooting. In fact the Dallas police identified the Walker bullet as 30 caliber and the FBI's disclosed records states that spectrographic examination establishes that the Walker bullet was not one of Oswald's.

You appeared not to be aware that the solution to the Cuba missle crisis was the the USSR would remove the missles because, as I told you, we guaranteed castro against any invasion. On 104 she gives this version, which is carefully doctored to make it consistent with Castro wanting JFK killed and Oswald wanting to do it for Castro: "...the confrontation ended on October 28, when the Soviet Union agreed to withdraw the missles - over the strong protest of Fidel Castro." Getler's story in today's Post (A25) refers to our ""no-invasion" pledge" (graf 5) and 3 grafs later says "the United States would 'neither initiate nor permit aggression in this hemisphere.""

If she were not so determined to support the official account she'd not have missed what should have suited her own theorizing (225). Even though the 26 volumes contain FBI reports stating that it was not Oswald who picked up the literature dume by Jones Printing Co, she ignores this and says it was he. Actually, the field reports were rewritten and turned completely around at FBIHQ, which is what the Report used. In fact, I interviewed both of those with knowledge, ^Jones and an assistant, and both not only insisted it was not Oswald but independently picked a number of different pictures of one person, independently, as most closely resembling this person.

She twists De gado's testimony about Oswald's pror marksmanship to make it appear that ordinarily Oswald was a work-beating sharpshooter but on that day just didn't care. Actually, Delgado was talking about a bet on shooting. But when Delgado spoke for himself after the HSCA's report was out, in Egland, he was quoted by the Manchester Guardian as saying that he "has always maintained that Oswald was an unlikely assassin and too poor a shot..." ...In your own interest, please be careful in what you write and not as uncritical in analysis and in speaking to me. Sincerely,