Capt. T. K. Woods, Jr Deputy Assistant JAG (Investigations) Office of the JAG 200 Stovall St. Alexandria, Va. 22332

Harold Weisberg 7627 Old Receiver Rd. Frederick, MD 21701

2/7/84

Dear Captain Woods,

JAG 21.2: KM:tdr CF-31-84

Your letter of the 2d is consistent with the reply to my earlier request the first page of which I attach for your convenience. It also reflects that in 1977 I made and inclusive request, not limited to the records I requested again in the letter to which you respond.

What the NIS sent me is itemized in the attached first page. It does not state that the records I requested again were not located or do not exist. Rather it states that what was sent is what then "was deemed responsive to" my request.

It not only is obvious that the investigation the results of which I swek had to be made, there is abundant evidence that it was made. This evidence ranges from testimony before the Warren Commission to the published statements of some of those who were interviewed. Moreover, I understand that such records were disclosed to another requester, who used them in a book he published, one I add was quite critical of this investigation.

For your information, it was the Navy's testimony that after Oswald defected all the codes had to be changed and that he possessed highly classified defense information.

I do not know how the information in question is filed or by whom but I believe it is obvious that it must exist somewhere and that its destruction is prohibited.

I also believe that a less circumscribed search ought djsclose it.

Perhaps initially NIS deemed that my request for all information in any way related to the assassination and its investigation did not include all information melating to the alleged assassin. If so, then \bar{I} hereby amend my request to include all such information.

I believe that search should include all counterintelligence components.

If you desire a copy of the testimony of the commissioned officer under whom Oswald last worked as a Marine or of Oswald's Marine associates engaged in similar work, please let me know and I will provide it. This testimony leaves it without doubt that Oswald possessed significant secrets relating to our defenses which, after his defection and statement that he would tell the Russians all he knew had to have caused deep and proper concern and required investigation.

Sincerely. Harold Weisberg



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY OFFICE OF THE JUDGE ADVOCATE GENERAL WASHINGTON, D. C. 20370

IN REPLY REFER TO JAG:141.1L:SKW:pmm 14L Serial/15810

JUL 5 1977

Mr. Harold Weisberg Route 12, Old Receiver Road Frederick, Maryland 21701

Re: Freedom of Information Act Request

Dear Mr. Weisberg:

This is in response to your letter of May 21, 1977, to Captain Connell, USN, Director, Naval Investigative Service, which was referred to this Office on June 16, 1977, for response to your request for records held by this Office concerning the assassination of President Kennedy and Lee Harvey Oswald. Your letter is considered a request for an initial determination under 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(A)(i).

The only records held by the Judge Advocate General relevant to your request are identical to those forwarded to this Office by Captain Connell. Those records consist of the records of Oswald's summary court-martial in 1958, the investigation into the circumstances surrounding the death of Private Martin D. Schrand, USMC, in 1958, and the Judge Advocate General letter JAG:33:pm, Bnd. #5919-58, dated April 30, 1964, with the first endorsement by the Commandant of the Marine Corps on May 7, 1964. After a careful review, it has been determined that these records are releasable to you in their entirety.

The names of the personnel other than Private Schrand listed on the Judge Advocate General letter JAG:34.1:nl, Ser. 145 dated June 13, 1958, which is essentially the sixth endorsement to the investigation of Private Schrand's death, have been deleted from the letter. These deletions are not considered to be a partial denial of your request because the names of these individuals have no relevance to the matter on which you seek information.

For your information, it is noted that the investigating officer's report in the Schrand investigation was Exhibit 2586 to the Warren Commission.



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY OFFICE OF THE JUDGE ADVOCATE GENERAL 200 STOVALL STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22332

IN REPLY REFER TO JAG:21.2:KM:tdr CF-31-84 FEB 37 (-04

Mr. Harold Weisberg 7627 Old Receiver Road Frederick, Maryland 21701

Dear Mr. Weisberg:

This is in response to your December 13, 1983, request, addressed to this Office, for "the records relating to the investigation by the Navy after [Lee Harvey] Oswald turned up at the Moscow embassy and said he was defecting."

The Office of the Judge Advocate General of the Navy is the custodian of reports of investigation conducted in accordance with the <u>Manual of the Judge Advocate General</u> (JAG Manual). We have conducted a thorough and diligent search of the system of records maintained by this Office and are unable to locate a record concerning this incident.

Liaison with the Naval Investigative Service indicates that they have already provided you with material under their cognizance which was deemed responsive to your request. Liaison with the Naval Intelligence Command indicates that they have no material under their cognizance which is relative to your request.

Sincerely

T. K. WOODS, Jr. CAPT, JAGC, USN Deputy Assistant Judge Advocate General (Investigations)