BARTHE AND LE By Priscilla Johnson McMillan New York Illustrated 527 pp Barper & Row, \$15

THE COWALD

By Michael Eddowes. Illustrated. 242 pp. New York: Clarkson N. Potter. \$10.

BY THOMAS POWERS

Things begin to go wrong whom John F. Kannedy was murdered in November 1963, but not

in the way you might think. We secovered from Kennedy's loss quickly enough, but we're still suffering from the questions left open by his death. Everybody has his own theory about the murder, some of them, baroque in their conspiratorial complexity, some pugnaciously dismissive. My own theory is that Kennedy's murder marked the moment when we stopped thinking about what we might become as a nation, and start looking for whom to blame.

The Heart

Of the Stor

It is not just easy, but almost irresistible, to make fun of the Kennedy assassination skeptics, with their Oswald doubles and triples, the ectoplasmic gunmen on the grassy knoll, the phantom C.L.A. agents hovering over Oswald's shoulder, the logical proof that Oswald, the so-so. Marine sharpshoeter, could not have fired the fatal shots. They remind me of these arguments that Mariowe or Bacon must have written "Hamlet," for no better reason, when you got down to it, than that writing "Hamlet" must have been beyond a bumpkin of no breeding from Stratford-oc-Avon.- But compiracy-spinning: in the eing - beesthe last cause it isn't a game. Doubt has been frontier of the American dissidents, the point they will not yield. Once upon a time they believed America might transcend racism, poverty, injustice and war; now they are bunting villains among the ectoplasm. If that strikes you as funny, well while it. doesn't me.

I realize this is a long preamble for another book about the Kennedy assassination, but I wish it werelonger still. If I had four or five issues of The Times Book Review to work with; I might lightly skim the evidence for conspiracy and give you a taste of the desert where the skeptics live. There is no water or life there, just the odd "fact" surrounded by thoms. If I could take you into that wilderness for a week or two, you might appreciate more, readily what a miraculous book Priscilla Johnson McMillan has written, miraculous because McMillan had the wit, courage and perseverance to go back to the heart of the story, and the art to give it life. The Oswald who emerges in Mchillan's book was a young man badly put together erratic, lonely, proud, impatient, and violent. His ambitions, were soaring, his abilities uncertain, his education limited to what he had picked up in public libraries despite a reading disability called dyslexia. From the age of 15 he considered himself a Marxint-Leminist. His "Ideas" were unsophisticated, bits and pieces of naive leftism, but he treasured them the way a lonely boy might treasure his collection of baseball cards.

Often unemployed, fired from the only job he ever liked and bored to distraction with the rest, Oswald spent hundreds of hours working on his "ideas," drawing up manifestoes and political programs, analyzing the failures of Soviet acciety as he saw them, working in a radio inctory in Minak after his defection to Russia in 1959. His dyalexia forced him to copy and recopy averything he wrote, and even them his letters and half-finished essays were riddled with what appear to be the spelling: errors of a nearilliterate.

In Russis Oswald had married Marina Pros kova. She was pretty enough, but it was her thinness that appears to have captured Oswald's heart. Fat women reminded him of his mother, a grasping, self-centered, at times hysterical woman, all jowl and self-pinging comple inter plac Oswaid and his two brothers in an orphanage for: reasons of convenience: Marina liked Oswald because: he was nest and polite, because he was an American if and made her girifriends envious and because he was: the only man she had ever known with an apartment: of his own. This was no small matter in overcrowded Russia." Marina's uncle, a colonel in the M.V.D. (Ministry of Internal Affairs), had already rejected one of Marina's suitors out of hand because he had no apartment; the colonel resented Marina's presence in his home and made it clear that he certainly didn't want a nephew-in-law moving in as well.

Looked at from the outside, the marriage was a disaster from the beginning. Oswald was secretive, overbearing and short-tempered. After he returned to the United States with his wife and young daughter in the summer of 1962; a streak of physical crueity emerged. He horrified the Russian community of Dallas, where they moved, by the ferocity with which he sometimes beat his wife, by his cruei refusal to let Marine lears English or make friends of her own, and later, in 1963, by his threat to send her back to Russia alone.

Life with Gewald was so had Marina frequently threatened to leave him for good, but at the same time she loved him, blamed herself for their arguments, pitled his loneliness, forgave his violence, hoped Oswald would outgrow the "Jdeas" that no one but he took seriously. Once, in the summer of 1963, when their relationship was strained to the snapping point, Marina found Oswald in the kitchen, sobbing inconsolably. Life defeated him at every turn; he didn't know what to do. She took him in her arms, comforted him, told him it would be all right, they would find a way. Twisted and painful as it was, Oswald's relationship with Marina was the closest to being normal of any throughout his life.

Marina was familiar enough with Oswald's "ideas" but she did not grasp his desperate readiness to act on them until April 1963. Earlier that year Oswald had ordered a pistol by mail, and later a rifle and four-power telescopic sight, in the name of "A. J. Hidell," apparently chosen because it rhymed with Fidel, the name he wanted to give the son he expected.

Thomas Powers, a Pulitzer Prize-winning reporter, is completing a book on the C.I.A. On Wednesday, April 10, 1963, Oswald confessed to Continued on Page 46

The New York Times Book Review/October 30, 1977

The Story

Continued from Page 10

Marina with tears in his eyes that he had lost his job in g photo studio, the only one he had ever liked. That night he failed to come home at the usual time. Marina found a note in Russian on his desk, giving meticulous instructions about how she was to live in his absence. "If I am alive end, taken prisoner," the note concluded, "the city jail is at the end of the bridge we always used to cross when we went to town...."

"At 11:30," McMillan writes, "Lee walked in, white, covered with sweat, his eyes glittering."

"'What's happened?" Marina . asked.

"I shot Walker." He was out of breath and could hardly get out the words.

"'Did you kill him?"-

"'I don't know.'"

The next day-half relieved, half disappointed - Oswald learned he had missed. Typically, he blamed his target. At the last moment, he told Marina, Maj. Gen. Edwin A. Walker, U.S.A. (Ret.), a champion of the John Birch Society, had moved his head. There was a flurry of notices in the press, but no evidence turned up to implicate Oswald. Later he showed Marina the elaborate plan he'd drawn up for Walker's murder, complete with maps and photographs and a: statement of Oswald's political "ideas." Marina made him burn the incriminating documents, but she kept his note of instructions and made him swear never to do such a thing again.

McMillan's description description this episode is characteristic of her book, rich in brilliant detail, passionate and compelling. Oswald's desperate personal unhappiness before his attempt, the emotional aftershock (for one whole night he was literally in convulsions); the calm that followed, are all of a piece. They describe a man with a capacity - not reasons - for murder. McMillan's painstaking, intimate account of Oswald's last months prove one simple, important point: he was no phantom, but a man with an hour-by-hour existence like any other. If she does not know exactly why he wanted to kill Walker or Kennedy-how is



Marina, Lee and June Oswald, Dallas, November 1962.

one to extract a reason from the irrational?-she nevertheless demonstrates that nothing he is said to have done contradicts what we know he was McMillan's portrait. is very dense indeed. If the skeptics are to preserve their conspiracies, they will have to squeeze them into the corners of Oswald's life. McMillan achieves with art what the Warren Com= mission failed to do with its report and 26 volumes of lawverly analysis, testimony and supporting evidence. She makes us see. िक्षान्त्रक Or made me see, at any rate. The skeptics, I suspect, are inno mood to be convinced. The word is already out on Mc-Millan in buff circles: Her

book can be dismissed. She is unreliable, not to be trusted. She may have been working for the "State Department"or worse when she had aninterview with Oswald in Moscow back in October 1959. On top of that, McMillan's principal source was Marina Oswald, who was the niece of a colonel in the M.V.D. (Marina believes he was in charge of convict labor working on timber projects in Belorussia.) How can you trust the work of someone working for the "State Department," based on information from the niece of a colonei in the M.V.D.? At best, the buffs say, "Marina and Lee" is a fantasy; at worst,

part of the cover-up.

The people who are taking this position ought to be ashamed of themseives; they are accusing McMillan of the same failings-either secret motives or ad hominem argubrought ments—so often against themselves. The argument is confusingly circular: you can't trust the book because you can't trust McMillan, and you can't trust McMillan because you can't trust Marina. That follows only if you assume-Marina was a witting party to a conspiracy to kill Kennedy. If you don't believe that-and very few assassination buffs do; they look for the villains elsewhere then her testimony is as good as anyone's else.

One skeptic who does include Marina in the conspiracy. is the British solicitor Michael Eddowes, whose book, "The Oswald File," is typical in that it depends heavily on existing documents (admittedly voluminous) and offers a tortured: and intricate rationale for what might be explained more: simply. Eddowes believes that Oswald was actually "Oswald" -a Russian agent who impersonated Oswald in order to killi Kennedy. He offers exactly one piece of evidence for this bold conjecture the fact that Os-I wald's height is given as 5 feet 9 inches on some documents.3 and 5 feet 11 inches on others. That's it. For the rest, hesimply marches his straw "Os- reasons for thinking so are conscurity and compotence to wald" through the familiar severely particular, and any which fate was inexorably con-story, occasionally pausing to, book dealing with the whole fining him. A failure in every reinterpret the known facts in body of evidence and conject job he held in danger of drive light of his theory. (E.g. Mar- ture in a sober, analytical way, ing away, his wife and child, ina and "Oswald" only pre-2 will necessarily include more ignored or condescended to tended to fight imorder to dis footnotes than there are stars whenever he brought up his courage suspicion they were in the heavenue. really in cahoots.)

fact that Oswald's mother, spirit From the moment she brothers and other relatives heard of Oswald's arrest-"My protners and other relatives head of cavata start start my with only a whimper. He killer never doubted that Oswaid was. Godi" she told a friend, "T Kennedy for the same reason Oswald, and even copes with know that boy!" McMillan he fired a shot at Walkar: to the fact that Oswaid's finger. wented to know why Oswaid" prove her was there, and prints taken while he was in had killed Kennedy Beginning counted. the Marines in 1956 match in August 1964, she spent those of Oswald" after Ken a seven months talking to Marthose of Oswald after Kenned in then wrote her book in fits Lee." Its texture is rich and nedy's murder in 1963. A Russian in then wrote her book in fits Lee." Its texture is rich and sian agent, he says switched and starts over the following convincing, as painful as the files in the FBL The only ressi 13 years it is very much Mar events it describes. It is far son Eddowes thinks "Oswald" in a's story there was appare better than any book about antis wathing the was in will known better than any book about son Eddowes tinner. Uswain, ently nothing she was unwill-was a Russian so far as F can ently nothing she was unwill-tell, is that logic demands the ing to discuss—but McMillan imposture take place after the also conducted numerous interreal Oswald left his family for views with people who had end. McMillan follows Oswald's Russia in 1959, and before that known both of them. If with such fidelity and per phony "Oswald' married Marrie McMillan never seems ever to ception that it is his death

One might raise any number. Subation as a salutary shock for you can find the heart to ready of objections to this theory subation as a salutary shock for you can find the heart to ready Why would "Oswald's" with an opposite the philo-but his it, you may finally begin to for-and co-conspirator tell the control motive amarges as a des get the phantom gummen on Warren Commission about the perste desire to transcend the the grassy knoll. attempt on Walker's life? Why would "Oswaid" deliver " threatening letter to the F.B.E only days before Kennedy's murder? Why did "Oswald" and Marina both write to the Soviet embassy, when the K.G.B. surely knew their letters would be routinely intercepted by the F.B.I.? Why would the Russian go to such trouble to have "Out wald" spend two years hanging around Texas in a succession of blue collar jobs? And so one ad infinitum. But there is only of question that really matters why would the Russians de liberately choose to imperson ate a man with a known Rus sian connection, who was of American intelligence serve ices? The idea behind impostur is to hide connections, not reveal them.

Eddowes's book is so-breath takingly bad-woodenly write ten, implausible, contradictory lacking in evidence-that a real conspiracy theorist might darkly suspect it was intended to discredit the genre. The qual-ity of their work is generally a lot higher than that. But are the skeptics right Most of them now seems to believe that Oswald was at least involved in Kennedy"A murder-a quantum jumpe ine credence-but that others must have put him up to it. Their

Priscille McMillan approached Eddowes is untroubled by the her subject in quite a different phony Oswaid married Marrie monant never seems ever to ception that it is his death ina. It would be too much to have doubted for a moment which hurts in her final pages, ask us to believe that the their Convenie did it or that he not Kennedy's. Other books switch took place without Marrie did it for reasons of his own, about the Kennedy assassing ina having noticed. He had his "ideas"—he seems tion are all smoke and no fire, One might raise any number to have rationalized the assass "Marina and Lee" burns. If of objitter is the seems to have rationalized the assass "Marina and Lee" burns.

by the Russians in 1959 and re jected by the Cubans in 1963, Oswald refused to slip unde with only a whimper. He killed

It is not at all easy to des cribe the power of "Marina and Kennedy, with the unsettling result that the assassination is experienced from - the wrong

Hw - The missing progene to Rec 199 I pent your of lowers' for work of hisulta's book.