Dear Paul,

12/31/80

In your 2 #13, 530 is about an CEINQ serial, 657. Did we get that? I don't recall it. It can be important, inleuiding in my suit for the field office records. As you will see from the enclosed, I've won a major administrative victory and this can be among the files/records to be searcheed at DI and NO. I'd appreciate a copy, three if the copy is not clear, to use in an appeal. I have many pending on that literature distribution.

There were, from my investigations, quite a number of Oswald literature operations not included in the reports that went to the Commission or in the disclosed files.

After a careful reading of the Shenefield letter I'd ap reciate any comments and suggestions about searches to be made, etc., so I can get that stuff.

In 529 you ask about the Wrone-Gath biblio. I'm not impartial on it but suggest, from what he wrote me, that you may want to ask Ray "arcus.

Your lengthy discussion of the FBT and the HSCA on the acoustical tape lacks any reference to any collateral substantiation. This is one of the HSCA's problems, as it is of those who supported it, because the HSCA, before being hoist on its own petard, attmpted to prove that there was no other shooting and was extraordinarily dishonest in that makes endeavor, about which I recall no criticism from the critics.

For example, no comment by you on the FBI's silence about the Bronson film, or its untruthfulness in the claim that it can t do anything with the film without running copyright risks. Not true at all, and I won the precedent copyright case.

Anything else you have that goes with the Azn rez, thanks.

Not much new here. Hope you all have a good year. And thanks much for the good p cture of your beauty.

Best.