Deer Paul, your May 7 analysis of the FBI's reporting of Osweld's estivities is brilliant, particularly becase it depends so much on ressoning and you are so remote from the sources of hidden information. My I, in this context, review what I saked you to tell me about and that you referred to Hal, from whom I am anxious to hear' Why I have to be so circumspect will have tow a writ my next trip out there, but please believe me, there is gound for the belief that the New Orleans operation was messive and involved a large number of different and unusual types, some of whom I now know and cannot a disclose. No matter how tiny the shred, how picayune the trifle in this directify, please let we have it.

There are a few minor suggestions in the for your thinking.

With N) T 6, why not consider the last possibility, knowledge or presumed knowledge of Osweld or a false one, and that the informant could have been female, and not necessarily the kind of female an agent would call a woman. Possibly a girl, for example. There was a young female informatant. I cannot say she was No T 6. And, is my recollection wrong in telling me that one, parhaps this one, passed in public transportation Unfortunately, I've not had time to read 0 IN No since publication, and when I giance at parts I find rather heavy and unwise chopping, like with the camp and the Miemi tape. But this is my recollection.

Should you ever redo this memo, I suggest strengthening the second pera - graph, for the reality is more imporbable: that every left-winger agrested by the police ask to be interviewed by the FEI.

In fixing on Teach and d Brueys, I believe you have dastened on two of the more important. Recall my hendling of Keeck in C IN NO And deBrueys with Pena: I have since Learned more about deBrueys. There was an inner office at the CRC or the Frante, which Pens uses indistinguishably, or the more general term, "the organization". And those select few who entered it for private conversations were deBrueys, Ferrie, Arcacha, Bartes and many others. I have one long interview with him transcribed and now corrects. I expect to hand it to Jim this weekend or to take it with me. Ask Tom to send you a copy and tell him it is with my permission. I'll be there to confirm it. Let me tell you, in confidence, that Tom is a bitter disappointment to me because he is so incredibly lazy. He gets in 1);30-11, leaves not later than 5, and seems to do nothing after hours. It is simply because there is no one doing this work that I must go there, and someone living there would have an enormous advantage. If I ask him to send it to you, she do what he always has, tell me to Xerox it myself, and I just cannot go down there, put in the hours. I do, and then spend the time at a Merox machine. If there is a single-thing that he has or has come accross that would help in the sork that I am thing for that office, he has not once viluntegred it-ever. However, there had to be reason for a number of the strange things that happened, a side from this, involving deBrueys. Why did he so lose his cool and threaten Pena, especially because Tena was his informant' Of course, to say that Tene told him of pro-Cestros is to say nothing because there were none and there never was and is not now an kind of pro-Castro organization or activity. I cannot even find an active pro-Castro person to help me, though I did locate a 3--year old Sponish wer veteran, who confirms the above-and has done nothing and come up with nobody. Pena also complained that to the FBI and hired a lewyer to do it, so greas was the sbuse. Possibly this complaint was enough to trigger deB., nothing else needed. However, I regard it as rather unusual for an experienced FBI agent to threaten physical violence. Therefore, it is likely what Pena said or what he knows, in my opinion. He is now uncertain of the time. I believe he originally told me it was after his testimony. I think I have learne some of what Fena knows that the Feebees do not want known (when I use this term it will be in the sense some of my witnesses use it, all federals or federals whose origin is not certain. There is something new I have learned, and that is payroll distinctions ere not definitive. I know now of employees serving as many as three agencies, sometimes simultaneously. I cannot reveal more about this.

Nor, much as I'd like to, can I use as public a medium or the time to give you the detail of what I've learned. In summery, it is this: all those bestards down their lied or were carefully led awa from reality and essence by Liebeler, who knew precisely what he was doing. From what is public let me illustrate this, because it is important for you townderstand it and elso important that I withhold my other proofs: He has said, publicly, that the Commission knew (a popular evesion for the first person with him) that the CRC was getting CIA money and the Ferrie was connected with it. This is not in anything you can get in the archives, is not the proper subject of the suppressed Ferrie reports, in part, and there is nothing in any of the evidence about it. But he did know it, and in more detail. I have him saying it, on tape. Oswald, or "Oswald", was at Pena's at least three times and on the last occasion was not drunk! One of Pena's employees saw him regularly at another place in that block early in the morning, in the company -ith a Mexican not of Dean Andrews' description; His conversation(s) with Bringuier were other than Bringuier represented and where Bringuier did not. I have an "eyepbell " witness, and on tape. Two efforts were made to shoot or intimidate Evariato Rodriguez, and other successful intimidations were employed. However, I found him suffering from the mumps, befriended him, earned his confidence, and turned him on. he still held out and I didn't take him as far as I ultimately expect to. I stayed away from things I knew he'd likely get his back up about. I've also interviewed bermaids with knowledge (but not of English!) and from a combination of people is learnet some of what the now-dead Armanda knew, which was so much more than I've learned. Bringuier land rather celeberly to the Commission and Liebeler, who muxit must have known better, let him get away with it. He lied to the FRI, they lied about that he said, or both, If you compare "hilip Geraci"s testimony with Bringuier's, here alone, on the number and times of visits, you can see it. However, they had a longer and closer relationship, as , mong other things, reports I now have prove. Including Secret Service, and there is a receipt Philip signed in June, not August 2. This is quite significance, believe me, and a ything you have, suspect or deduce slong this line would be interesting to me. Philip is very important. Fescinating story-really incredible. He had a number of associates. many now known to me, and had a strange history, no less so since. He was suddenly drafted, after I got on the track of something, although he was and should have been permanent 4F (psycho-end what a history!). "e ic gatting special military treatment.

I wemder so, for the pressure of time is that great on this.

In your third paragraph, why not edd the Kaack and deBrueys omissions and ask yourself if this culd not be because a Feebee had it under observation, that Kaack knew why it should not be reported and deBrueys did not emke a simple oversight. Could deBrueys have said he saw it without explaining why he was there, or that Maack did; without drawing attention to the oversight, or that a CIA man known to him did Or a CIA contact ageny (their distinction is between employed by and working for. Ferrie was in the latter category, on 60-day contracts, thus eliminating the need for, among other things, security checks.). However, I am not inclined to think that any Maxx federal agency would have been there in observation of alleft-wing activity except by accident. am more than ever convinced this was part of the establishment of an intelligence cover and many people knew it. Skip in your thinking to the correct anomolies you so perceptively spot in Quirogs and Bringuier, to which I shall return. Does this also not make sense of the omissions cited in your maxt paragraph.

With regard to the fourth paragraph, I've not been able to look into Core. There was a tight ring of friendships and homosexuality in the old Trade Lart. I have no doubt whetsoever of Thaw's CIA connections. That os others in his circle is not unlikely, directly or through him.

Your question at the end of the next paragraph, top page two, is my belief, and I can get lots of hints but no proof.

Quorogs and Bringuier are among the most professional liers you will

encounter. Let me tell you that Suirogs was not alone when he went to Oswald's, and that Bringuier has misrepresented his relations with everyone in his part of the story that Liebeler did not suppress. Whyp indeed, did the FBI have no interest Only because it did not have to. By then they knew the nature of the operation, the one thing that makes sense of the demand for an FBI agent. Who was he going to ask for- the CIA' Does it not make sense that Suirogs was out looking for what he found the first time' And does it make sense that Bringuier would not go the second time if it is principle that sent him the first To think that Bringuier would voluntarily miss this is not to know or understand him at all.

P2, #4. Do you not regard 12 days as an inordinately long time to order further investigations of a defector to the USSR engaged in such activity as this one seems to have been emember, Oswald told them he wented to return, in the efficial accounting, b-causehe was disenchanted. Remember what the Mertello report says he said Fain's In N.O. "Cuban activities" and relate to the only kind there were, anti-Castro. Benister is an unlikely candidate, unless he were part of the establishment of the cover. There is every reason to presumene did know Cawald or "Oswald". Here note that there is a difference between not knowing and not knowing of. It is unlikely that any oen knowing anything at all about Cuban activities or any kind by that date did not know of Oswald.

Bartes was CIA, may still be, right now considers himself under their proection and is explicit about it. I believe it. "e told me. He casts himself and clothes hismelf like a Jame Bond type, even to the trench cost when it is not raining. He flew planes in the Congo in 1965. Need any more He is a Catholic-Royalist-fascist type, serious in these beliefs. I suggest that these less-than-minimal Bartes and similar reports were designed so that there could always be an affirmative answer to the question, had he (they) been interviewed and the raply eh (they knew nothing; want the report One obvious reason the FBT had to ask Bartes amout Oswald is O(s use of the 544 address, which is most likely either a nesty whimsy or a deliberate design, to attract opponents to the less than tender mercies of those anti-Castros. ... Whoever wrote the (you should remember, edited report) that is CD984h, knew better on all counts.

In your last paragraph, you understanding will be increased if you substanding that Liebeler for Commission, for that is the reality, and if you credit my assurance, that he damned well knew what he was doing. Another change that will helf is the substitution of "federal" for FBI. The CIA operation there is enormous, with limitless financing and a magnificent cover, now penetrated (I bow). There was oberlapping with at least Treasury, who I am certain of is customs and narcotics. Immigration, without doubt.

Paul, you are going the right way. Now you know why I asked "al, as soon as I returned from New Orleans the first time, to have you people concentrate on the Cuban end. Thenit was hunch. Now it is certainty. I regret that I cannot tell you more, but I have given my word and I cannot and will not in any way jeopardize my sources, which are mine alone and separated to the degree I can from others-all.

However, I am going to ask a great favor of you. I have been so involved with so many and some other things that I had completely forgotten the printed sources you cite. Also, I'd like a copy of the TINES clipping on the CRC for my files. Please Xerox all the documents you cite in this memo. I elfady must carry more than I cans and I haven't the time now. Further, please cut them apart by sheet and staple them together, each as an individual document. If this does not overburden you, please do the same thing with the Bringuier testimony and ashibits and that of Geraci and Blalock. I will went them to show witnesses. I haven't the time now and should not take it there. I expect to spend less time in the office, because I waste too much time there. In addition to the new and vital field, I have a very considerable backlog on other aspects when I am there, and I want to do all I can. This time going is an ever greater burden. I do not yet knowswhere I will

stay. The office is so broke they haven't peid the motel about \$1,000, so they cannot put me up there. I can probably find space in a private hime, but that will take time, and part of the time I will require public accommodations because of what I will be doing. I am having to pay my own fare, too, and I've just not got the money. I do not even have enough to pay upcoming obligations. But this I must do, as you will ultimately learn. Worst of all, the lack of security in the office is so great I can tell but little there, and none of onsequence to Jim or the lawyers. Only the outline. One of the great worries is that the successful wheres on our side will steal this, too, and with the by now too obvious unscrupulousness jeopardize everything, including the lives of people who are helping. As it is I am thoroughly bugged and shadowed. Let me tell you a fantastic story about this: I ar anged for two of Jim's men to meet me at the motel so I could introduce a witness to them. This was on Good Triday, when everything there is closed. The official shadowing report located, it says, two other Garrison men there at it the same time, unknown to me or the two who joined me!

This financial situation makes me bitter about things that need not have happened and should not, for example, the failure of the Forum to pay my guaranteed 1963 expenses. Hall has been silent since my rather pointed comment on this. He is the only one with whom I want you to sahre that I have said here, and I want neiher of you to let it go farthur. Please needle him about this for me. There is no possible excuse or justification for this continued imposition on me or on their imposition on Hal's word and integrity.

My present schedule it Minneapolis 5/14 am until 16 p.m., with Gary Schoener, Kansas City 16 p.m. until 17 a.m., with John Nichols (grand guy, magnificent work), thence New Orleans. I'll be there through the day of 28, may stay longer while I am down there if I have the money and can use the time on a holiday weekend. I must be back before 6/4 and in time to get all the backlog cleaned up byothen. I have given Mark half of a three-hour TV show I had lined up and a warmad-up sudience that I've appeared before for 18hours. How it will go will depend on him. But if you want to k ow how it can go, when you see his new book, read the pert about Klein's on page 14, study the footnote carefully (what he means but doesn't say is Doc 87 -there is no indox) and then tell me where this has ever appeared save in Chapter 6 of WVII, He steels even when it isn't necessary. However, you can send mything to me c'o Louis Ivon, marked to hold for arrival. He will and it will be more secure there. I'do hope you can Xerox these things for me. If you can think of mything else you'd like an inside source to go over, please include it with any note for me you think appropriate.

One thing before I epologize for new not having the time to read this for types (by now Hell should be able to anticipate them, with the great knowledge he has of my original spelling and awful typing), from time to time I have been saying that Liebeler is the most important thing of all. More than ever, I so assure you. Please, every scrap on him, every suspiction, every evidence. And there is nothing too minor about New Orleans, Cubans, the CIA, etc. Sincerely,