## KENNETH C. HOWE Washington affidavit of 9/8/75

In this five-page version he says he first knew about the note only after Oswald had been arrested.

Aside from knowledge and claiming silence he says nothing really relevant. He aays that when he found the note in Hosty's box and took it to Shanklin he had the impression Shanklin knew about it and wanted to hear no more.

His story contraducts or is contradicted by Hosty's 9/22 some of the content of which was indicated to him prior to this affidavit.

From San Diego on 9/12 he wrote "Dear Herold" a short note to which he attached a 3 pp. memo.

This is still a different version that seems to say his firt knowledge came from Nan Fenner and that before he knew anything else Hosty had "discarded" it.

Because of the other work the matter was dropped as of relative unimportance."
(With Osmald the only accused - and all the junk they wart for!)

Hentries to downplay note again ("no investigative importance") and says it was no more than an "administrative matter" for Shanklin zahandlesxx to handles

Claims not to recall telling two other to "forget" it but says he could have because those without "full knowledge of the facts" ought not discuss "administrative matters" that were in the SAC's hands.

He has no recollection of the content other than it was some kind of threat.

How could an FBI supervisor possibly be concerned about a prior threat by the alleged assassin? So they had to believe him.

9/16/75 FD302 by H.N.Bassett follows. e quotes letter and its covering note.

KENNETH C. HOWE Washington affidavit of 9/8/75

In this five-page version he says he first knew about the note only after Oswald had been arrested.

Acids from knowledge and claiming silence he says nothing really relevant. He says that when he found the note in Hosty's box and took it to Shanklin he had the impression Shanklin knew about it and wanted to hear no more.

His story contradicts or is contradicted by Hosty's 9/22 some of the content of which was indicated to him prior to this effidevit.

From Sam Diego on 9/12 he wrote "Dear Harold" a short note to which he attached a 5 pp. memo.

This is still a different version that means to say his firt knowledge came from Heat Feamer and that before he knew anything else Hosty had "discarded" it.

Because of the other work | the matter was dropped as of re-ative unimportance."

(With Oswald the only accoused - and all the junk they wart for!)

Later he same accross the note in Hosty box and took it right to Shanklings who was "definite" ind not wanting to see it, etc.

Hentries to downplay note again ("no investigative importance") and says it was no more than an "administrative matter" for Shanklin inhimableax to handle.

Claims not to recall telling two other to "forget" it but says he could have because those without "full knowledge of the facts" ought not discuss "administrative matters" that were in the SAC's hands.

He has no recollection of the content other than it was more kind of threat.

How could an FAT supervisor possibly be concerned about a infor threat by the alleged assessin? So they had to believe him.

9/16/75 FD302 by H.N.Bossett follows. e quotes letter and its covering note.

## MERICAN C. HOWE Washington efficient of 9/8/75

In this five-page version he says he first knew about the note only after Oswald had been arrested.

Acide from knowledge and claiming adlence he says nothing really relevant. He mays that when he found the note in Hesty's box and took it to Shanklin he had the impression Shanklin know about it and wanted to hear no more.

His story contradicts or is contradicted by Hosty's 9/22 some of the content of which was indicated to his prior to this affilerit.