

1/14/69

Dear Paul,

Those things enclosed before your 1/11/69 arrived are, I think, in need of no amplification. If, as Burton asked you, you learned anything when Lemarre was in Frisco, please let me know. Beginning when you get this, write me c/o Louis, for my schedule now calls for departure 9:35 a.m. Sunday.

Bill take each of your missives from the top, as I read them.

1/11; Kara-Patniak enclosed.

2) I have copies of these docs somewhere, 75:02703-4, but have not located them. I think the different initials you spotted and I do not remember may very well be significant for they indicate FBI got at different times. If you can identify initials, please let me know. This would not be consistent with B's testimony as I recall it. Anything in this area is important, for I am satisfied he is a perjurer and intend some day to prove it.

3) I now have more along this line, two others of unknown content that appear not to have been transcribed. Bud is checking for me. Thought I'd sent you copies sample pages. Here they are. If you are without the means of making the comparison or having it made (and I suggest that unless you know who does it and can trust) you cut up and remove identifications), send them to me in N.O. as a reminder to have Louis check it. No, keep them and remind me. I already had this file put aside to take there for this and other reasons.

4) This is 75. No time to check. in list appendix POST MORTEM, about page 159, the one about 8x pages long. I just looked for an have mislaid this a.m. If I find I'll send copies. Otherwise, it will be in copy book I plan send you from N.O. I intend publish very limited edition to protect myself. It will have everything in that appendix list and unchecked index. Confusion very bad as stuff to be copied stacks up sweating paper and I try and do more than is possible. Sorry. But that is what Cunningham referred Johnson to in my presence. If you have any doubt Comm never got spect. analysis, check index WW and you'll find Frazier's testimony, which, under the questioning, "this will always be in FBI files?" leaves no doubt in mind at all.

5) Unless it is in those withheld pages, what Guy Johnson told me he sent is not there.

6) no time check. Recollection descriptions of what film shows not in film. To me it is not conceivable FBI waited that long.

7) Can you get 06:186; 5:425? Chaney is James A. in test. They want to call as witness, as I recommended, have no address, cannot locate. Can you check through police exhibits and see if you can find then address? Let me know N.O. soon as possible. If they do not call Butler as witness, possibly no rush. I think we should have everything on him. I now have no time. If you spot anything when you get, please let me know when you send copies. He seems even more important now.

8) Glad to get Sylvia's memo for in time it will serve as an index to me and save me time in locating some of the things I recall. There is nothing new in it save a reflection of her unwillingness to quays me or O in NO. Those failures she attributes to Garrison are wishful thinking. Those interviews were conducted. She doesn't know Martello, and chose to ignore what I brought to light about him. She is silent, for example on this assault on Reisman, who was not even in N.O.

10 Identical or similar? I've had no response yet.

11) You and I read James' testimony differently. But please remember,

The very day we first met I showed you Humes' certification that he had turned his notes in and the Burkley-Bouck receipt for them, as well as Calloway's note in the form of a receipt in his file copy.

14) I think it worthwhile. Wish I could get Xeroxing done at that price. Costs me about 8¢ for just the paper on mine, and about every 1,000 times it needs service, min. chg. \$15.00.

15) 87:200 enclosed reverse side I have. Not identical with yours but from a different picture, possibly the blowup of Steele's face. See my earlier letter to Archives. This is not full page. No, it is Garner Ex. 1, about which there are other inconsistencies and improbabilities of which I've already written you. CD1518:55, Can you ask for "communication dated July 22, 1964"? McDervid: I will include separate memo. Again, I'd likely want to include in app. of AGENT OSWALD and would appreciate full-sized copies CD648pp. Letter to Steve: that is all I have. I sent to Patrick, with 961, which I thought would interest him. Separate memo also.

Have to leave and will mail this. Memos above in next. That Lamarre stuff is entirely inconsistent with his representations of himself. Important I get that tape. If one is sent Louis, send me another for he is too busy to listen to and will not even have time to dub. If only one, send me and I can make available him.

Hurriedly,

Harold Weisberg