I histe moread what is legible (nost) of Donland's $10 / 14 / 70$, it is, I bollave, only partol a boginning and it does deal uith what we coili assure. Thers ars a fow things I think are a little farout and a fow that ho could not then correlate, like the winuilence of Urowloy in ilexico when Hunt was, and apparent1y whar cover. It was an obvions asswaption that the Hoscow Cumoussy wail loadur with spowics. I have on Snyder what makes th it sufe to do notions else if the sole prapose is to ymderstend that hu was intollisonce. At the time he wrote this, Iial's posuidilities wore lidated. However, it he had had some direction be jiminn $6 / 17 / 72$, things could have been different. We started Loming things then but the CMLA dicin't evor Ioarn anything. I do not naed a more legible copy but I think it would be good, whim you have a. chance, to nake a sopy and send i.t to the Whites. I have astiod $H_{2: 2}$ to do certajn thinges ond to copy some of what he said he had. $4 l l$ we can 10 nou is wait and see if he does and if he does, if it surseets tuything to me as I'm sure some
 do. I failed in not romemering what he had told me in 1966-7

