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5/7/89

Dear Dick,
Time goes so find get so little work done. Itve dane no wit in for a while and am still behind it the other wort. Now we hove another and beautiful intrusion: we have a family of wild ducks in roci-nce snot they hove just hatched
 meme, who hes decided juat the place for them is the awiming pol, winch tegy cannot exit. They, agile the enl getting them on TV in the hope they would ?ind a welcome home in 4 elilären's con took tia whole dey ye ter cay, fair first.

They looked fine on TV. And it to $k$ :se until 10 per. to get them out of the pool, so they wouldn't drown (they cen) ow overchill. It was so daric then that when I turned the light off mans beaded down there tor the night. leaving briefly daylight and he vine the brood beck 15 minutes later. I matched them jump into the pool et her bidding. - he we built a contraption by hent of which they can get out and did, under compulsion, last nicht, 20 tint, wt pry lucas, ell I'll have th do today is keep from sketching on d photocus ming them tho much. Atc terse zone time this em. to find stele bread ant pet fins grains ? ? them. I ne: hay oulu ant get out, for they cannot fill for several months and carnot jump us they p-10 inches for e wale. First thine $I$ did ans ut some sorgos of boors in. inc those play sot them
 learn to eat some. Dumbest finite to sec, tais pretty duckings taking bo strides es the force of the circu tor sent take cords sailing. I put a leg bunk of painted plywood in, but trey shunned twat. "nelly, 1 fixed it es e ron g but hey refaced to go onto it. Ill or a neighbor's wife had the idea of putting a rung on that. I anchored m the rug with a couple of brinck, and they did ascend that.

Your 4/29 letter to John $\pi$ th two stteched pries end your letter of $5 / 2$ with enclosures have arrived, as has his $5 / 3$ to you. he sent me the picture on the onotested breechface but instead o: the ictures sent tin by the archives sent thee of the dents he took for you. Before getting this I had peaked him for conies of those ge got. I'll return them il he wants, but I mont to stull them, especially before going there. I have not seen the Arch letter. With the high state of or iciel semantics and my longer experience in int. rpretntion, It lite to see their exact words. Some of whet you cay I had read from whet Frazier's words end pictures really mean, $9 s$ my letter discloses. The other thine te can discuss when you gre here.

There ere woynof getting arcunch the awkeniness of oliogoily vituont wasting many words, ara I think it essentiol tor the uriniomed reader on know the all the evidence relating to the reactor on d bullets is dubious, for example, the perenthetcial phraselsaid to hive be n ordered of Oemeli but never placed in his possession, with, purheps, this further edition, never even proved to b eve been delivered to him. Ifkewise, it is not necesc ry to "treat the i sue of hims no fingerprints fou i on the shells". We: ely udo in parenthesis, ufter the first mention of the shells or et point where it man etui more appropriate, it paten, (magically,magicelly, flume with no fingerprints on them). This loomed important to the lawyers, who engaged in the wildest conjectures about them. I have dene work on this and cen probably find it for you. They surressed it. On other things I've got Eisenberg nailed with keeping quiet were on honest men coulis not.

You merely confirm to me the thompson emit be trusted, as I tola you, With emphasis. Iou have to make your own examination. You may see and/or understand whet I might not. I first spotioñ this sud reported it, is fy om elliptical way, knowing it had to have, if. general, the dicnilicunce you find. I iso then the state of my own knowledge was such tat + could better devote tim. wo other of te too-
mony thinge requiring it. When 1 had a chance $I$ got a duplicate rifle and, as you know, imediately had some rounds disarmed, for my suapicions ran that way. I still have them. I was steying with Fred Newcomb when I dit this. I could not get the buffs in Los ngeles interested. And, I only recently go the weapon back. nad I not carried the amo with se, I'd nct heve thet. That I did not carry, I did not get beck, including the slip. ' ook more then a year to recopture the rifle.

On Fred, $\mathcal{L}$ heve just learned you gre in touch. Until I see ycu, please Becopt my essurence thet you should tell hi nothing. He is or our isac, but he is sleo a strenge kind of comsrd, ia avery uncepenable in meny weys, snd he mode snd will makz more trouble. Also, he is o sieve. Dlease tell him nothing eni let ne know what ycu dic tell hin. You'll know why then you gre here, con see the evidence fer vourself. Do not believe any of his work until you see it shecked nut for yourself.

On Epsteink if you keep thet conclusion, I think it seoula bave e lttile editing. On the photos you vant: there sre two ways. I can ask this guy, and that it a heavy doze right off the bet, or wa can eat thom meae st cost, megnified tivicu 'or four times) on a fine offset camera in heshington. Lct tast lac wait until you are here. I gear asking Tom for this big oose of work richt off tbe bet. Lat bim get involved an maore interested first. His krorle ge of wesvons mey subplement yours. Ha may see smethins rem, etc. And some of the printec pictures mey be inadecuere. The FEI hod $\varepsilon$ nay of achieving instentorecus incorpetence when it served a purpose. ie cer olso use thet. (Your my i).
\#hat you sent me (may 2)fron Le ${ }^{\text {Konoyne }}$ Snyder, "Homicide Investigation",
 of my own + hsve for 399 , seve for onc thiris: ycur annotetions, vhici fre ctherwide indispensible. Can you plesse meke me an unennoted copy? And we can do thet saooting when we cio the testing ourcelves.

I may not ins. tinc to read and correct the typos before goine into town rith Iil this norring. Aope you arn nitke them out.

Harold:
I have your leters of 24 and 27 -pril.
Thanks for the advice on Ilayboy. I'il sit tifht until I have done a whole piece. You know, I oricinally conceived this for distribution live the piece on the scope-sipht-- what a difference now!

Re your suggestions on writing: In the interim before I received your letter I noticed that in my summary material I did not moxamexiax Kow note that dents occurred before firine primers. I made that change. It was a serious ommission. I have made some changes regarding the use of "allegediy" with "Oswald ordered"; I will leave it with "allegedly" for a while, but I find the term cumbersome and may therefore revise and get around the problem some other way. Frankly, I have no quarrel with the assertion tha IHO ordered the rifle-- I'don't believe that he ever received it (i.e. I think somebody else reveived it at his 10 . Box) or ever possessed it, but I do think that he ordered it. An independent study of the handwriting on those questione documents was made and reported in the Journal of Forensic Sciences in 1966, and the independent report supported the WCs evidence that IHO oredered it. I had planned to treat this later in the article, so as to reep the rifle out of IHO's hands and in somebody else's.

Except perhaps in a footnote, I don't think that I will treat the issue of no fincerprints found on the shells. It is significant, but contentious. I already have solid material, and don't plan to undermine it with slippery stuff that requires armment. I would like the evidence to speak for itself. There is danger in overproving the case. I am Eoing to do a section on how other thimps look in the light of thess (i.e. CT 399 , the frarments in the car, etc.) and may mention the lack of fincerprints there. Presently, however, I see it as a tempting trap-- something somebody can argure about-and I want to presint stuff about which there can be no argument.

I shall bring in the role of the Commission lawvers in connection with suppression of info on the dents (hinted on p. 3 paragraph 2). Comparison between photos of the rifle cases ( 3 evidence, and Hrazier's two tests) and photos of revolver eases is startling. I shall use that as a launching pad for other material on suppression.

Frazier did his dirty work long before the dommission was
even thourht of. The FBI is in deep-- Hoover himself, I think, for Frazier would not have acted without his approval. Surely Eisenberg lnew what to avoid, but it is hard to हrasp smoke. Still, I'll try.

I think that there was no switching of rifle bolts. It was not necessary.

Except as an appendix, my piece on the scope-sicht does not tie in directly with the cases. they are like rail tracks that run parallel, but they do not overlap. They belons in any piece dealing with $1 H O$ frame up Eeherally, but otherwise they are separate.

Archivist in letter to $H$ ichols indicates that there is a dent on the case mouth of one of Frazier's tests. Whomson does not mention this. Without photos or detailed descrintion I can't tell what the hell is coine on.

Frazier weighed bullets, but his testimony does not indicate whether they were fired or unfired (3IH30)-- I guess unfired. His weighings put the bullets between 160.5 and 161.5 grains. Difference of a frain or two has no effect on trajectory.

> Dick BERNADEI

 philes who regnlirly in mher sove to the cornse of the abrren Report. ( atc sib? a oritic of the Domission, althouph in that herverse but intrenid champion of the ommig"im ${ }^{\text {r }}$ s conchusion thst. Lee Hamvey Oswald was an assas:im the msacigsin, I shoula siy), Enstein and other decaitfrg soonhants of his saminfly respectable ilk aut ensofly racporsile for maintainine the دietion thet the eamnse lives. Lach time in the comnge of these minn yoaxc anen it was thoufht that the corpse tinnally was g*iled, that ve could at last bury the rutrid carcess, thecophiles nould swish forth onefrationd the ather an fa their thinew and they do it well, for the gornse mantis unvuried.

I shomid have emmestzes that these nomophites make love to the corrue, not with the corpse, for the Warren Report fives fien not even o wir-way of the tail in amorons resjonse to their lusty efforts; there is nothine from vhich to irqu anbstance-- it fast ties there.
 I presume tiat ther in vonsidarmile satisfaction in their toil, if not prom the comose itself, at least from thase tho pay them to roen the connse intact, looling flesh, smellinf nico.

So a nerson Iite the "ho ainires the textime of the Thentish lanmusfe man thri"us nt its ability even to expwess lies with immat and $\cdots i t h$ apt sucoinctneas, Enstein's whitirf is mpenline. Fustoin is most grues?ink vhen he utters thinms that? "ish I hod 3:id. For that reason, I quotr Jpstein without shame, fust as I would readily quote ang whore itt hn said something appropriate bbout love, and if he suid it vell.

Zo, from Edvard Jiy Epsteir, necrophile, comes the aphorisn thst I wish the renker now to consider in the lifht of all that has been suic woout the three corriridfe coses and two, and rlont Robewt + . irmaier, ahief of the Pirearms Identification nity in the waboratory of the Federnl Bureau of Inventifetion, who did the vorot nf assassins:


That means that it ve remandete the evidence, if we
 ve enll the inventir.torn - - It means that it fre


 Presidant, it wab intont at the invogtioritoro ammety



```
Do I read you wone, ...x. Instein sme other "friends" of the Warren Report, friends of assassins? Is that not what it means: "The credibility of evidence is inseparable from the oreifibiljty of inveqsieators"?
In the investifation that resulted in the "arren Report, as in no other investi, ation that existed before it, the evidence is the product not of the assassinntion, not of the assassins, but of the investifators. when evidence should have exposed the truth to blazing lieht, the evidence itself' was made the mournful shroud with which living truth was wrapped and buried in a deep, dark hole.
ife the people did not want the investipators to cast pepper in our eves, but somebody wanted it, and it happened, it really hapnened.
"e the people did not wish the memory of an innocent man to be vilified, hut somebody wished it, and the man was vilified, even by those viho arranced that the name of innocence be synonymous with infamy.
iie the people were not nrepared to lose a Iresident on November 2?, 1963, but somebody was nrepared, even eafer, and \({ }^{50}\) we lost him.
ive the people did not desire to lose a President, not that Iresident not that way, not in a shower of blood, a pudale of hrains oozing over the back of a car, over the lap of 1 列s vife, but somebody desired it, and got it in spades.
That of our shame, the endurine disfrece that we have incurred and compounded over these long years? hre we stronf enough to endure it finy longer, unseen, covered up like a gangrenous wound? Are we that strong? Are we brave enough to see what we have allowed others to do in our nome, in the name of the people? To see what we have done? To say what we are?
Can't we let Lee Harvey Oswald go: Can't we let his undamned soul rest?
This much is certain: if. we lack the courafe to love our idenls, to embrace htruth even though she may requite us with anquish, to take action afainst perversity, then we shall never be better than we are today, and today we are not good enough, we are not nearly pood enouph.
```


## Harold:

I made up a list of about $\$ 40$ worth of photos that I planned to order from the Archives, but it occurred to me that in addition to being very expensive, they would not serve my purpose at all well.

I intend to treat in detail the Commision's efforts to cover up frazier's good works. Hart of that will require comparisons between the way they photographed the three cartridge cases (CTS $543,544,545$ ) and the way they photographed other cases that came into evidence. Rather, I want reproductions of their presentation of the photos among the Exhibits, that is, the way they are printed in the Hearings.

What I want, then, is very good photos of pages that show pictures of the Exhibits. I could have that done here, but it would still involve me in much expense. So I thought that I would mention it to you, in case you had access to free photographic work. You mentioned a photographer who is willing to do work for you. If he charges nothing, or charges less than I might pay to have it done commercially here, then please asked him for good black and white photos of the pages that are listed on the attached sheet. I have also included other stuff that I can use, besides pictures of cartridge cases.

I don't need expensive Archives photos if I can get good pictures of the pictures in the Hearings. What is chiefly my interest now: how they present it, not what it is.

The enclosed two pages will be the end of my article. There will be more material that will be inserted before this, but I want it to end this way.

I am still marking exams and term papers, so I must stop.
Dick
Bernabei
P.S. If your can gat these photos, sud the the negatives.

WANTED: B/W NEGATIVES - as clear pictures
(IF SEVERAL PICTURES OCCUR ON ONE PAGE
OR ON FACING PAGES, ITS OIK. TO TAKE
THEM ALL IN ONE PHOTO)
Exhibits of interest
CE 518

