Dear Jick,
Your very interesting letter of $4 / 18$ arrived this norning. I ans:er it in haste to be able to mail this tonight, without having read the enclosed "Whe Oswald Frame".

First let ne tell you hat + have arrange and what ${ }^{\text {+ }}$ hate probably arranged, so you will know, so you cen utilize these facilities, so you can make sugeestions, etc.

I have located two NRA masters in the area who will likely be willing to help. The ecquaintance $I$ saked to be my photogrpsher (excellent still photogrepher who can also do fine closeup work end has 16 mm Behex movie svailable) happens to be a real and expert gun buff. I have not wanted to go too fest with him, but becouse he is very interested and is lining other tinings up for me, I expect to invite him to go to the Archives with me, Fie has also made some simple, practical suggestions (like cutting the fired end unflred shells lengthoise end taking pictures of the tasts). One of these masters is with the sureau of Standards. Another is so expert in handguns he was asked to join the Smithsonian. I heve also located two men with the Liemington 760. Femarkable, in this smell area, is it not? Real, genuine, suthentic experts, end on my sice!

I heve glso been checking on the ammo. - heve the Remington-Peters catelogus, where the amo is pictured on $p p 32-3$. Tinis catelogưe ia entitled, 1969 Sporting Firearms and Ammunition". In cross section the fllustrated bullets do not have two two "rooms", se you crll them. What you seem to have ilinhstrated © 6 r me is the Nosler "Pertition" bullet, on which I also have the spec sheet. $Y_{\text {Y }}$ correspondence course has really potton me interested: Not from cetalogues I le orn the 30.06 is available in 150,180 and 220 grain loods. I plan some incuiries. If I get to them todsy I'll enclose a copy. Aowever, I think with John unwilline to shere in 100 K , whould koep this to ourselves. I will not be telling Gary until everythine ia done for he can make no contribution. It elso is unfair to ohn to burden him "ith c~nfidences when be ney be a competitor (so far es + personally an concerned, be non is). Thia does not mean not to helphim. What help in what he is doinf. I can provide, I will. If I do not return to this remind me of my conversation with ay apent a month ago. If I write him today, I'll enclose a copy of thet, too.

With the rifle, 80 rounde of Testrn amo, six disarmed entirely and the bullets replaced, six disarmed ani tie bullet replaced but the primer intact, end a totel of five clips, plus a bor of Norma to use where the western is not essential, and wi th experts end an extremely proficient photogrepher, I think I can proceed to take the pictures we need from what you hive al ready sent. Homever, if you have the time, it might be god if you lay out a script. Inlcude Frazier ond thet 15 -yard range target and the projection. iere is pragt heve in mind with the li-8 (on the kemington 50-06 - have in mind a di LoNe cut of the bullet, firine various loods and bullets into various objects at tho exact distance, includine dead enimols nd possibly geletin, with pietures of esch).: Bettine the sight to be just 'razier's distonce oft at 15 and doint it for the richt diatences, neasuring the point hit from bullseye for arror; photogrephing the clip fallinf out (using blorsups of the movie if we do not get good stills); fired and unfirea ceses, cross-section as ebove; an. What you lsid out earlier end possiblyin more detail in "The Oswold Frame", on the maricin of the cases, the dent in the front, the blot-face nsrkige, etc. Cere we cen use my rifle to show what h ppens. - may be obl to get a competent police expert to do the lyb
as needed because two from an out－of－town department are coming ． week in connection with something unrelated，where I sm helping them． in get large negatives of the small objects．They，in turn，can be blown Ap considerably．${ }^{t} t$ is remarkable gondi fortune to have en excellent photo－ grapher who is interested and well equipped and whose knowledge of the tech－ nicer suspects seems to be at least es good as yours．No offense intended with the＂ta least＂．he has gone into man things with me you have not，which does not mean you are unsware of them．We have had personal conversation，he and $I$ ， while you and I are limited to letters．

Now，if I can get him to go to the Archives with me，their regule－ tions，unless changer，are that I cen toke the pictures but not touch the stuff or the they $w i l l$ take the pictures with my equipment，I do not recall which．I would like to take polaroids，which I cen take with me if they do not require also that they develon，and the regular film shote．Wy own polaroid is the professional model faith the Z他ss lens．${ }^{\text {t }}$ stops down to $\mathrm{fL5}$ ．Tom＇s equipment is the best．＂e is alvaye updating it sol do not know whet he nov hes．as soon as I get a definitive listing from you of what you want taken et the Archives，I will make arrangements with them and then speak to Tom to see if herd like to go．He is an inauronce men，so his time can be his own，but he may requires notice，－eenmhile，if＋let his interest continue to grow，I think it more likely that he will want to do this．Not that the Archives photogrpaher did not take excellent shots of 399 for me，exactly the way I wanted them．But or also knows something shout the technical aspects of whet interests de and this way we＇Il beys exclusive negatives，I think．I dike up your letter frail ht the top here．
＊on－tecinical publication：why not figure on both＇I＇ll return to one angle with $\mathbb{N i n} A$ and another with agent．I think it would be wrong to omit Frazier unless the prospective publication is afraid to tangle with the FBI．I know one tidal is not．Here I mean trajectories．

CE557：If 的 can get flash to show the inside，should we try or should me restrict to what＂plan，above？We know theirs ere fired，too，or they say they gre．You say tiny are important，such pictures，＂for other reasons＂．If amy of these require special knorioige，we shouli have it bs tore I EO to the Archives．

Duplicating UE 5j8：I presume this the ans we ask them to remove the bolt from the rifle．－f they refuse，cen we alone with the slight distortion？ Do we also want a print of their 55s．Kors than one？Can \＃e get the kind of extractor picture you went il tine bolt is not removed？，an me eliminate other possibilities of any marks on the rim of cil543 by covering the shell with so ae material，like a plastic：This we can do with my rille，which i beve now．

I think it $i s$ safe to assume $s 50.06$ west the murder \％erpon，for otherwise there＇d be no point in planting one，would there？Youli your explens－ timon of what I take to be the wosler be true of the Peters？cr does Peters also me＇se this ans＋beve not yet discovered proof＇I em still looking，or herring my gun shop look． 11 so，is not the rear section less likely to mushroom？

That sic on the windowsill bit has beenroi interest to ma si nee the forald fiction，with the boxes，here there ia ls a mart alleged to ave been of the rifle．You have expanded my monases on asiderably with the＂vie－ retion＂explenetion．I fid not know why，only that．I fine，he vine spoken to three experts since getting jour letter，the this is common knowledge，which mokes it even nome sifnifleant．His moses unpin：this ss evidence，with com－


So, in the pucture-takine, se'll have the expert shott $r$ sting the rifle on mood and prone or whatever other way he mants, at tho same target, maybe at different tergets that can then be overlaid. Both rifles.

By the wey, do you need a clip? They didn't mail the one I a sked be mailed to you. Or, is ohn's supely short? If it is on you want me to replece the one he let you have, I will. There is a good supply here, $25 \%$ each, military type. And becauge you are a nember of the NRA, mould you mind writing Peters for the, so the letter will not have a flag on it, and ask for specs on all the different tyres and losds for the 30.06 Gamemaster 760 ?

Do not bother ta dig $u$ - exact figures on the resting rifle but if you come accross it, fine. Eventually, I would want it. The nictures will serve immaiate purposes.

I do urge that you stay away from the NRi on thia subject or anything they can guess is tais subject becsua, elas, dear faithful member, they are also involved. I cennot tell you the entire story save face to face, but they did sone testing for the govermment and $t$ ey have been silent, kowing the truth. Why not Shelley Bravermen and "Gns". He is on omr side and they have published him of this-but only after all tie work is done. Here is tios "technical" market alluded to above. If you feel it important to have the NRY in on it, then I strongly recomend only after publication is assured.

Press conferance: wrong approach, lest resort. rublicetion, in connection with which a press conference, yes. Then there is sponsorship for the ress conference. Looking toward that day 1 had sent a message to a man I belleve I cen trust with foreign TV to see if I cou d interest him in teldng the pictures before I thought oi this friend, He wes never in ony of the times - phoned hin. I just eent this new messege Saturdsy, when enother correspoment, also from his country, was up for dinuer. But severel things may mitigete ageinet this, one is tie Nichols-LONK thing. First, we do not went to horn in on ohn. Uf couras, he want the work completed baiore we say snything to anyone. *o me, ond I nust point out I could be selfish suheonsciously, thet altnough I mey not thin it ay celiefa might be influenced, os with everythine, I am opposed to one-shot sensations it there is an alternetive. his is oniy pert of the atory of the Ireming o Oswald. - have the meteriel for a book on that and plan to linit it to a third oI a bonk, in AGEN? OSGRDD, And whet I heve is really sensational. I thints one appect fortifieg the othera. What imuld, Without considerine it at leneth, prefer is whot inymson did in Six seconds, a separate pert or parte by you, in your name, on those tiaines that you alone conceived and did, whether or not ynu did thern with help. Farticularly the enses cnd the trejectories. There is some time before you would be resdy for a press conference, so give me your offhend opinion and then thinik it over. Meanwhile, I am fairly certein Warty inger, of Segs, would go for that if I were to offer it to fam or you were to say I sucrested it. I could phone him at the rigat timewhich is not until after all the work ia done.

Now there ara posaibilities at twe larcer msegazines, Lut I do not gey probedilities. $\perp$ uve a contact st "eederfis Migest, to whir Luve ontruaded some of uy work, withcut resulte, ond i pi. Mup a runo: tioy grelooking for
 All the balistics framing (but th disadvantage is they are not for pictures). When I was in liew York e ronth Bco I apoke to two people wac cen reach LIFE. One is my seent and the ofter is the friend of efriend ind of concetion. I will write. - hed broached enother esvect. IIID will be tough, but with sometaing unessoiluble, they uight went it if only to keep it avay from others. Especially

is every resson to try and sell what is saleable before giving it away. in my case, it can mpon publication of a book that otherwise fight nevor be printed.

Then there is someting I have never broached to you and I do now, beasuse of the melium, elliptically. Suppose I can establish the precisely be\$t kind of political connection on this snd the related materiel I heve? Nould you be willine te have it firat used this way or, if it is poseible, by this way its use arranged? I isvex in mind certain peope oho may be damsged politically if and whan the truth comes out unless they are conected with the revelation of truth. - have a one-wey connection with one and atill another hes sent re word he is coming to ses te. mone the other elternatives glon; this line, I con take it to a nember of the comisoion who theve glready seen and who, have every reason to belicve, both trusts me and wes deeply disturbed by thet with which I entrusted him. There are meny possi bilities, dependinc, smon other thinge, on timins end luck. i heve been sitting beck, ewaiting the propitious moment end the compltine to neper. "his would mesn, at the moment, the completion of the eutopsy book and the Jritine of AGENT OSVALD. Lil has tad to continue working. When this is finished, phape week or two, my dey will be less broken up, she can do pert of whet $\bar{I}$ now $m$ st, I will not have to $b$. stopping to teke and to get her, end I can ream it out. After she cleans up the mess she got so she could clean it up, ske will be werkine but one dey a week plu: a littlehome bookkepping she does. The sctusl writing will not teke ton long. It would be eood if there were on editor present, however. Then, with the three, I think ove rwhelming books on the outonsy (I forgot obout CoUT, cut egain, once I am clear the time required is not great) books and their evidence and whet I have for AGENI CSWAD there will be e case of the "now" meteribl the finka have bean asking for thstis about unessailable. Not just one, if vsry dramatic, angle.

I think ynu are wron to consiaer that il the ceses had actuelly been fired it makea any difference. It reelly doesn't, for they wers plented end, noturelly, there mas no ottached bullet. Each had been in this or enother weapon et least once ( I heve forgotton the page of 7 , but I cited the over evidence there). Whether they were firec seems to to to be essentialiy immatorisi, but if they had not been and the FBI suppressed this, then it is more sienificant than othervise.

The whole dey will be taken un with correspondence!
Since:ely,

