Hr. Gene Vilson FOIA appeal POIA/PA Geordinator CIA Vashington, D.G. 20905 Dear to Wilson,

The official mountain has labored, after a super-elephentine period of gestation, delivered itself of a source of information and informed so of further administrative relief symilable from you.

On July 31,1975 Acting Archivist Albert H. Leininger, Jr., denied me access to cortain information absents the JFK assessination. I appealed. Under date of August 5,1976, Deputy Arcgivist James O'Weill responded to my appeal by released some of this withheld information. I presume he has sent you a copy of his five-page letter. His reference to you and further administrative relief is on page 5, the penultimate paragraph.

My appeal is from the dominal of "deletions on pages 17,20,77,86,92,93,97,98,100,101, 102, 104 and 106 od the Coloman-Slawson memorandsmydeleted portions of Cammissian Document 708; "Memorandsm for Record" by W. David Slawson drafted on April 21,196#;Commission Document 1551, and the face baset of this document."

This is not the first declassification of portion of the Coleman-Slasson meso at my request. Having skimmed the pages I did receive today I find no reasonable basis for any classification at any time. I also find what appears to be non-compliance with the previous of E.O. 11652. This is true of the previous releases, beginning with the entire and originally-withheld entire document.

originally by the Commission, which had no such authority. These records were classified excess I was told the CIA withheld them. In no case do I recall saything but conclusory statements. So I now ask, with the records available, for specifics. I want the records that show what was held to be properly classified or classifiable and what was subsequently held to be suitable for release, plus the basis in each case. I as aware of sequently held to be suitable for release, plus the basis in each case. I as aware of content the CIA might find embarrassing but I am not sware of any encaption under which this can be required as a basis for decial. In the past you have assigned all withheldings from me to Mr. Briggs. In this case I again ask for specific identification.

I find no citation of classification or withholding authority elsewhere in Mr. O'Heill's letter. A large if not exclusive CLA responsibility is appearent; I therefore appeal all of those denial to you herewith. With regard to these also I want/records having to do in any may him with the legality of original classification and subsequent decisions and acts in any may relevant thereto.

withheld. Elementation this he lists the Commission executive sension of heth 1/21 and 6/25/64, noting also that i "have a suit under may at the present time" for them, I makes a separate request with regard to those two transcripts for use in this litigation. By may of explanation, although I had been confident of it and had inquired, I was initially refused information that any transcript related to Hosenho. This was under outh and with the invocation of the national-security examplian. The record is now clear that with regard to the cation of the national-security examplian. The record is now clear in a multitude of cases lune 25 transcript this was spurious. The record is also clear in a multitude of cases that there has not been a single legithmate national-security claim. Now I am told that the January 21 transcript is also Mosenho-related. I therefore ask for any and all relevant the January 21 transcript is also Mosenho-related. I therefore ask for any and all relevant records with regard to these withheldings, including but not limited to the records made by ar relating to the classifying authority.