Dear Papal, (Jiva)
Tine binds more tightly. When - finish something, instead of that being past, two things have Medusaed. Jim Lesar has a clear xerox of the original of my responses in CA2569-70 should you want a copy fer reading. He can have it done for $4 \%$ per page, $x 22 x$ which may be batter and chepaer than sending it out and back. 110 pp. Suggest there is no rush and eveltually you can read first and see if you want.

Before hoping there will be time to atek each thing in your $2 / 14$, ailing, let me mention what I recall. Correct on Sprague. Ho retailing of which I know by sylvia. She is the second one to intention it to me, not the first. However, she did it as a question, not a statement, wo rush on any of the colying for ne. Suit your own convenience. I've written Whiten. Don't expect him to do a lot of work. They rarely have time. I was a mistake to make any mention of the unpublished and withheld, otherwise an excellent letter. What you show, in the future bear in mind is their awareness that they are being used which is, to them, offset by their need to fill a dials colum, wjich requires dapendance upon those who use. It is something like sex. For it to be good, it takes two. If he responds, I'll keep you posted. I haven't gotten 'im s's of $2 / 10$.

There was a tine: when those nasty cracks, in this case "turgid", got to me. Now I not only don't care but have come to realize they are professional prerequisites. They provide "balance" and "impartiality" for the reviewer. That one was so turned on he spent much of a lunch with the Random ouse p. $r_{0}$ ditiector raving about FRAME-UP.
N. 0 , clippings: we are all getting bogged down. I suggest you forget about making any for rae. If you do make such a list, I can later ask from that, Thanks. While I'd like to have a complete file, at this point I think the other things we can do with the time and cost seem to be more worth both... On the copying again, even if it takes months, if it will either save time for you or be pore meaningful for me to wait, do your notes first. I ain into much more than any of you know and there is never a problem or having priority work. The one exception would be what you might recall that is either medical or autopsy or relevant. As soon as I can I want to detour to that writing. I don t know when that can be. I express hope only. Here it would be very helpful if Jim could take a crack at the Doyle for me. Sr. promised to make two copies for se in 12/68. If Jim can arrange this, I now have excellent facilities available, and I do not know how long that will last. I'd then feed back stills, etc, to them if they want and to you.

Before getting into your other enclosures, let me again try and imprees something on you in a different way. My recollection is fialing more daily as the number of involvement multiply and their complexities proliferate. In addition, with only slight reduction in my pace, this is inevitable, more at my age than at the age most or you enjoy. So, I' ll have forgotten the details of some of your perceptive notes almost as soon as I comment. There are other and pressing things I must concentarate upon, and worries you neither have nor share (give thanks). I'll be filing your $2 / 14$ on the subject under Oswald, "mexico. If you write about it and I should refer to it, please remind me. I have a dozen filed into which it could properly go.

As a general practise, particularly now that i have formally charged thoads with perjury and worse, proven it, in court documents, I am the worst person to ask the A for anything. If Jim will nominate himself, I'd recommend him for handling all of this with which you deal, and I strongly concur in restricting it to the three of us. I'll add cominent when you ask or if you do not if it seems called for. We should get all the things you say, of most of which I'd forgotten.

However, I'm willing to do the bugging of State is: you prepare all I should know for mes, with copies. And, perhaps instead of going to coirt, which is burdensome, I might be able to smoke it out other ways. But put me in a position to make the "identifiable" request and to know all that must. The one time I leaned on State hard they delivered beautifully
and they may remember that I paid them back by leaving them entirely alone and putting fuli steam on Justice only. I'l, write the erily who responded to the letter to Rusk,

When you say "burnt up", do you mean original and copies or just the original, in Themofaxing? Por it to have burned, leterally, somebody had to be exceptinnally careless. I have such a machone and have always been able to retriewe the papers that wrap up on the reel, if sometimes damaged by the heat. This is a mahcone that requires hand attention. I know of no automatic model.

Don't be ashamed os missing things. You've just joined a non-exclusive fraternity, Here you could subsyest another translation: an associate, Yours is more likely, but others should not be excluded. In addition to your reasons, why should LHO ask about Odessa? But, does the date entire exclude Mr. 237? Need you assume a single visit by him?

What is Pascinating is"The wiviet indicated that he did not know what to do with the American". The most obvious things would have been to suggest indulgence in sexual self-gratification, and they seem not ine to be reluctant to express thenselves bluntly. Why, then, in this case, have any concern? And why should Suran have worriad about his "problem"? She wasn't Dorothy Dix or liother Goose. you should know and not repeat that "oberts is interested in this stuff. I can't inagine hith doing the work necessary to learn about it, hence I presume he was primed. By whom, make you own guesses. There may be a Newsweek story some of these days.

Your 2/14 Anderson nemo (filed here under hin rathes than plots)
Never assume these gays, any of thom, will ever be caruful. Always assume they will not or cannot be and be happy if they are. They jus hacen't time and few have the disposition. I recogrize the possibility the.t it was HiO at ODIOs but believe it wasn't. I can help Jim on the Odio rundown, when he has put together what he has, and I'll do it as fast as I can once I get it..... I see now that in my haste to rite Whitten, I did not distioguish in iay own crind between your mamo and your letter. Brat I think the letter is one that would lead one like tiu to so tnterpret. I will kecp you posted. I knew Pearson but don't know Andersoin (Bud does and he has bsun spoken to about re by other correspondents) or Whitten.山il knew anderson before I men her, and didn't like his drunken-bum, Hechtian carriage.

Re 622943 : the copy rpinted was edited to renove Liebeler's notations. I also agree Jin is right, but the strangest thing is that whensimmons went over my basic list to make what was still withheld, this was still included. It did little good to continue to withhold after I published it in some detail, but they did. I remember raising this with Kellay on our first meting but have no recall of anything he said. I presume he said it amounted to nothing in their opinion. There is an excellent collateral point on this, the frivolity of the withholding. I discussed it with Machann, who confirmed my interpretation ( 0 in NO, I thinik). Tell me whitever more you'd like me to find out, on separate piece of paper, ani when I see him again I'll ask, On Herwing, it was a casual mention on his part, beginning with his asking for Sylvia's description and he indicated the refuge was for a brief period only. It is this sort of thing for which they were jailed, wasn't it? And with the thom-existing comnections, you can see how Hall could have known all about the parents and pretend to be from them. Patrick is one of the more undependable sources. The formulation "second" Oswald is wrong. It is, really, as I put it, false. The firspart of your conjecture is Wi, ch 11 , the second inferred there and in WWII and 0 in NO. No argument cowing from me. What is also interesting is that there are other stories of the passing oi mon=y, two that come to mind are Armold Lewis Kessier and Dean Andrews to me. quustion: are they all fakes, so many poople spontaneously makine up the identifal or similar stories or is cotuething beine cloaked by discrediting?

Hall-Masforer. Sylvia was runsing around with the trother of the present governor of Puerto Rico, then its Republican leader and leader of the Statehood mevoment. His name is Ferre. (both are weulthy). Hall had to hev known something about syivia, intimate things, to have known this. The DisI masked it by bringing in Nasferer. Need more? The reports son't begin to show it, but they rumy investi-gated and tested Sylvia. Does this explain Rowley's writing of Kelley's report? Hurriedly,

Tos 3土フos，EV，JHE
Troin：RLa


## －

 to JHE ．．）
 Lattor of $2 / 14 / 71$ authorizing tha daclassiptaation．I mava esked foz the MBI／vD letter of dov 3，1970，concernim；theis ant ralos，nes．
 17（2）wtating that it is z highlymclassified CTa cocument which must be wencoud Goun tho illa bofors the Commisaion fynishas up．I gueas it is imporetant． In ecmaction wibl the other tten，the diapatco of Oct．it：note that the State Dent．＂ave the WC 3 211os which had It：IX（SCTi），TII（S－amarently
 Is comsistant with the d4stribution shown on the zlity in CT 2075．There Fere

 the＇Ham cale＇retemed to as＇controverstal＇in the isetsled romtins slip I Round at tho Arohives，The lattor is＇Anerican Rapublics Zolitical 0iviciom（Ses 13m22）． Someong should bug the Gtata Bept．for thaco ti土les． $73 y$ one atbarypt to use dze tha $F$ of I bill with State dtan＇t get vary far．fo should try fors those filas whan wo have gome over all the nov stuet，and sone of the old，and gathenod all the repermaces to rhat is in tham．

I asaune that the＂dismate datod $10 / 11^{\circ}$ ，which is a from－page itcon，fn the same as the one－page routing ratach slip（as tin CE 2075）find the attadaed onampara talagran dated Oot， 10 （Sae 1P1188）．Apparantiy the copy in the pre－ssasasination PIT fila（KYKZ File 10）is tat the one that was burnt up．

I see now that I could easily spend all he day digeting uy and coordinating the various reterenges to the CTA telegrem and its combents．Thatn are quite a few in the 26, mostily An reports fxam other azencies；I found sarssal ab the Archivas in Hay（including a zeferonce that appapently slipped by the censor－＂CTA Telotype
 time－I Wish I found ay physics work nearly so Antowosting．Jin：tould you 隹 Itho to take this on as a projact？

CD 1084 d hess just been released．I an sending a copy of pages the6 to Jlls 1 nonio to Warold，who should be getting it soon from Oud＇s obfice．This is as cleer a description of CTA taps and／or bugs at the embaestas es ore cortd ask for，（And I missed that the sirst tine throught）It is an FRI roport， $3 \int$ Itins out with
＂Andeblher U．S，Goverwment agonoy（trenslationmOTA） 43 In possosatom of the folloring infomation，which coinetdes with the the when oghoull was in＇Keacieo Gity and Which is beliaved to have somig bearing，on bis activitics in xertoo city，＂fly Ltalios．Tranglations we ava not convinced the pareson involvad was xreally Cswald．b）
 Soviet Embasa耳 about visas Ios Udessar Thore is no appronts areessary connection With the phher items，although the next one is in the same paragreaph．Did Lito do this on the 27th？I thinic not．If I dicn＇t lonoz that CD 237 wes taken on Oot，4， I tronid speorlate a connection．

The nort sections cover Sap．23，and the detailsd reprocuction o？what happensed sugzoata a bug or tap，Reads like the old third－pansca transomipts of preas conforemoos－ ＂The Soviet Ancifonted that he due not tmon what to do with the American．Duren indicatod tho tmerdean had a problem，．，＂I vonder if the GIA atill hes tha tapea？

Beans to say 170 cnlllod tiag Sov．Trib．to toll they that ho liad gone to tho Gubon Mmb．


 tolograrr to Stata，ate．．．

 nayer pong ster envoruliy，Cormenta welcomed．



