Dear Jim, re Osuald/Mexico

## $7 / 5 / 84$

This will be incormlete in order for me to got it in the morning's rail and (1th luck have it to you in your Monday ${ }^{2}$ s mail. After weeks of delay a landscaper his called to tell me he is about to come to prepare a damage eatimate for an insurance company and that I must get done and when I went to the cellar to get and examine the appeals I found that my dehuradsfied was clogged and I hat to get it woridinge liook time I'd planned to use for this.

In the FBI Oswald-Niexico appenls file, which is thick, as I skimmed it I marked 14 items for copying for you if you do not have a seperate fyld of those particular appeals. I will want to go over them and avoid making copies of duplicates. Also, it is probable that I geve you the best copies, particularly where I uused carbons. So, before I melse any copies, please let me know if I should. If you have a seperate fitle it is probable that I should not because you'll have better copies than I can provid.f.

In whan shiming I noticod what I'd foreotton, that I have an FBI reconds roferning to the CLA's "gensitive" coverage of both the Russian and Cuban embassios in Mexcico City. And, meng other things, I sent shea copies of Sumers page $3 T / 8$ and the IA thimes use of the Ron Kessier story.

I must have a separate file for 237 a because I did not see it in this fi.2e.
"Sensthive" covergie need not refer to electronio, although I auspect it does.
Thic file do :s not inciule any zesponsa of any kind from Shee or the ITBL. I tharatom bolsave I woceived none.

I also appesled for a review of classification when the then new EO went into effect.

I'll be kooping thit file out of file and upstairs untill I hoar from you so please let me knov as soon as you cant.

I suppose but do not know that I have the 287a stuff under "classification," and if I. do it may taloe some tirse to locate it. I'I] thy to check tonomow.

