

NYTIMEL JOSEPH B. TREASTER WEBHINGTON Sept. 11 WASHINGTON Sept. 11 The New York State Attorney Constants officerement to cover by For Pederal Government's in-vervament in a death in an Arriggenous of the second drug experi-ment in Maniattan after the Federal Government arreed to pay half of an \$18,000 settle-ment to the widow, previously second counters reveal. The chart for \$9,000 was

The check for \$9,000 was sind to New York from the Department of Justice on June 9, 1955, while Jacob K. Javits was the state Attorney General, He had been in office less than eix months, and most of the negotiations had taken place in 1953 and 1954 during the tenure of Attorney General Nathaniel L. Goldstein, accord-ing to David Marcus, the As-sistant Attorney General who handled the case.

Both Mr. Javits and Mr. Goldstein, who is now in private law practice in Manhattan, said today that they had "no recollection" of the case.

Mr. Marcus, who is now in private law practice in West-chester County, said he thought Mr. Javits "probably would Mr. Javits "probably would have been aware of the final administrative trapsfer of funds, but I-don't think he would be aware of much more than this."

Says Goldstein Knew.

But he said that Mr. Goldstein was doing."

Mr. Marcus said in a tele phone interview that he had several discussions" with Mr. Goldstein in 1953 about the case,' in which Harvid Blane

nis player, was given a fatal dose of a mescaline derivative, supplied by the Army, in Janu-ary, 1953. Mr. Marcus added that Mr. Goldstein "war aware of the case, he knew about it."

Cf Am Case, no knew about it. "" years an Assistant Attorney General," he said. "My function was to insistant and "My functions to my boss, and he would make decisions based on my recom-mindations. I was not author-ized to make any decisions." "Term of the definition of the func-tion of the definition of the definition of the func-tion of the definition of the definition of the func-tion of the definition of the de

None of the documents that potnined the Army by:

Mr. Marcus

Argun ent Robutted

Argument Rubutted The Argument Rubutted The Argument argument that ne-tional septiment at this New York State Psychistric Insti-ture. However, lawyers in the civil division of the Justice De-performent said is a memoran-dum dated July 12, 1854, that "sourity is involved to some argunt, but more importantly out purpose is to avoid unfa-vormole or damaging publicity." is a letter to the office of the United States Attorney

the United States Attorney General dated March 28, 1955, General dated March 28, 1955, Mr. Marcus recalled "a number of aceteronces" held in Wash-ingnes "primerly for the pu-pole of discussing possible settlement of the above entitled claims (Bissay V. State of New York) and also for the purpose of determining the scatter to which the state of New York might go in revealing the ac-tivities of the Federal Govern-ment in producing and supply-ing the maccallen derivative that was used in the treatment of Harold Blaust."