He Tells Panel He Gave Drai. Order to Halt C.I.A. Job, but Did Not Follow Up 9-18-75

By NICHOLAS M. HORROCK

Special to The New York Times
WASHINGTON, Sept. 17-Richard Helms, the former Director of Central Intelligence told a Senate committee today that he had issued an oral command to halt the C.L.A.'s blochemical weapons program and to destroy its stockpiles. but that he had v never fol-lowed up to find out if his porder had been carried out. He also testified that he had hever issued a written order on the matter.

Mr. Helms, now the Ambassador to Iran, went before the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence accompanied by Thomas Karamessines, his for-mer deputy for covert opera-tions. Mr. Helms told the committee that when he learned in February, 1970, of President Nixon's order that all blochemical weapons be destroyed, he and Mr. Karamessines agreed that the C.I.A. "had no choice but to comply." "We agreed to terminate the program," he Mr. Karamessines told the committee that he and Mr. Helms discussed the matter with Dr. Sidney Gottlieb, the director of the Technical Services Division of the C.I.A., which lad over-all control of the pro-tram. Mr. Karamessines said that it was his "understanding with Gottlieb that all toxins in possession of the agency or returned to Fort Detrick for destruction."

2d Day of Hearings

2d Day of Hearings.

Mr. Helms and Mr. Karamessines appeared as witnesses in the second day of the Senate committee's public inquiry into why the C.I.A. failed to destroy two deadly biochemical poisons, a shellfish toxin and a poison derived from cobra ven-

wo deadly biochemical poisons, a shellfish toxin and a poison derived from cobra venom, after the Presidential order in 1970.

The committee's counsel, F.A.O. Schwarz 3d, said that the committee would question Dr. Gottlieb's about the poisons and others matters in a closed session on Tuesday. He said, however, that Dr. Gottlieb's lawyers had "indicated" that their client might invoke his constitutional right under the Fifth Amendment not to are swer questions that might lend to incriminate him.

If Dr. Gottlieb's dock nivers the amendment. Mr. The said, the committee may consider whether it all the said and the committee may consider whether it all the said the committee may consider whether it all the said the committee may consider whether it all the said the committee may consider whether it all the said the committee may consider whether it all the said the committee may consider whether it all the said the committee may consider the said the committee may consider the said.