

St. in Belmont Nat. Chr. ch
by April 14, 1956
 May 2, 1956
 Anthony Julian, Esquire
 United States Attorney
 Boston, Massachusetts

Mr. Tolson	
Mr. Boardman	
Mr. Belmont	
Mr. Mohr	
Mr. Parsons	
Mr. Rosen	
Mr. Tamm	
Mr. Nease	
Tele. Room	
Mr. [redacted]	
Miss Gandy	

**Re: Robbery of Brinks Incorporated
 Boston, Massachusetts
 January 17, 1950
 Bank Robbery; Robbery of Govern-
 ment Property; Conspiracy**



67C

Dear Mr. Julian: WARREN OLNEY

A copy of my memorandum dated April 17, 1956, to the Director of the Federal Bureau of Investigation, stating that the suggestion that the Attorney General make available to District Attorney Garrett Byrne for review on a confidential basis the minutes of the federal grand jury would, if carried out, be a gross impropriety in view of Judge Ford's refusal to grant permission, has been supplied to you. Enclosed herewith is a copy of a memorandum from the Director of the Federal Bureau of Investigation to the Attorney General, dated April 30, 1956 on the same subject.

The Director of the FBI is, of course, incorrect in assuming as he does in the last sentence of his memorandum to the Attorney General that there was any suggestion of impropriety on his part in the mere relaying of District Attorney Byrne's request to the Attorney General, but I make no point of this since it is so plainly apparent on its face that my memorandum contains no such suggestion.

Putting District Attorney Byrne's request to one side, I want to repeat that the Criminal Division is in accord with the FBI in desiring that everything possible be done that is consistent with the orders of the Court to assist District Attorney Byrne in the preparation and effective presentation of this case. I am aware that you have personally reviewed the entire federal grand

EXP. PROC. MAY 2 1956

CC: Director, Federal Bureau of Investigation

MAY 14 1956

63-3112
 NOT RECORDED
 102 MAY 10 1956

MAY 10 1956

jury transcript with great care and see no impropriety in your discussing the results of this review with District Attorney Byrne. It would seem to me that such a discussion might satisfy District Attorney Byrne of your own conclusion that there was little if anything of value to the presentation of his case to be found in the grand jury transcript, or that it might pinpoint the particular items which Mr. Byrne thinks would be of value. If these particulars can be pinpointed, it might be possible to find some proper way of supplying District Attorney Byrne with what he thinks he needs. It may be you have already done this, but, in any event, I would like to be advised.

Sincerely,

WARREN OLNEY III
Assistant Attorney General

Enclosure
No. 52131