



Dear Clay,

5/4/98

Since the 1973 energy crisis I've not wasted paper. Most of my manuscripts are on the clear side of used paper. This was done by one of two Ohio schoolteachers who paid Bill and me the honor of driving all the way here from Ohio just to take us to lunch for our birthdays which are 10 days apart in April. The sketch was made of me when I was in Walter Reed Hospital for about 4 months during World War II. So, I'm not wasting one of the few left.

Thanks to the absence from their offices of both physical therapists who are partners I can't use that referral by the orthopaedic surgeon we go to there being no local specialist in that area, who is not a surgeon. I think this is a return of the consequences of being broadsided in an incredible auto accident, a woman who backed out of her driveway without looking and when it was not possible to avoid her. When what Medicare would pay for expired before the insurance company paid up they had me continue without charge, just using some of their equipment. Fine men! And of course I appreciated it. Did that for several years, until the local cardiologist would not let me take the tests prerequisite to the cardiac rehab. program at the local hospital, referral from Johns Hopkins. So, I had time today I did not expect to have and the way you had it all put together it also went faster than I'd believed it could.

You are not the only one to tell me that the mail has been strange lately. I think there may be interest in me again and that it has washed off on some with whom I am in contact.

Thanks for the correction you indicate in your 4/25. The reason I had (correct) after Attwood is that the name is later misspelled in a transcript. With Halpern it is because that is an unusual spelling of that name.

On 256 I did not recall what I intended so it is assistance rather than assistances.

On 339 *Gimme a break* was in italics in the original newsstory.

On 386 it is awkward but this is not time for any rewriting.

I've put what you said about the internet aside for a night for when he can get here. I sure hope soon because he'll get what I need from the basement for me. They've overloaded him at college, where he heads the department and does not want to.

On the note to the agent, which I seem to have put in the stack for him, if you think the agent might get interested, fine, go ahead. The friend who said she'd show it to her agent has decided to wait so that it can be submitted to one

of two publishers who as I recall are considering a book of hers. This to be offered the one who does not do hers. I have no idea when that will be. What I had had in mind to begin with was an agent in NYU who had worked for Teddy Kennedy. But I've mislaid her address.

The interfacing was a great help, particularly now that any motion can cause pain.

If it is not too much trouble, if you can make an extra diskette I'll send that to the friend for her agent when and if that time comes. And the copy for me bound would be great, thanks.

If you have not heard from Bill Heichter by the time you have the diskettes, perhaps if you send one to him instead of me it may remind and nudge him on Waketh.

He may be a bit busier now because he was away most of the week, Louisville 40222  
 Sorry about the carelessness.

William L. Neichter  
 1313 Lyndon Lane #115  
 Louisville KY 40222

If that clean copy of Whitewash can be reduced to the size of the page in what I published that will be fine the next time we can get some made. A friend in California is getting that done for me now. When he is not too busy.

I've not yet look at those Twyman pages but there is nothing good in that book. He and those who support him do not know the subject matter, think they are Perry Masons and make serious mistakes in the areas of their own claimed expertise. I may never get back to that. I think his book is not worth it if there is something else that can use that time and I do expect more stuff that is not good.

Wrono is making slow progress on his JFK book because of all the other things he is into, especially as the expert he is on native Americans. He said he would do a chapter a week (which is much slower than I'd like!) of what I'm doing on Posner's latest whoring with our history. So, I sent him <sup>more</sup> chapters and he has started the first he told me yesterday. I doubt he'll return to that bibliography now if only because he hasn't the time. And most of it is awful stuff!

Long ago I decided that outlining would slow down what I could get on paper and decided that as long as what I got on paper was comprehensible to others, if any, in the future, that is more important.

Hope the auditing team went as you hoped!

On your PS I regret to say that I do not recall what I had or could have had for Fact v Fiction. And I do thank you for those labels. They help with typing and writing as poor as mine and when I can't sit at a desk as intended.

Not necessary to send me anything on the couple of enclosed corrections which are not that important anyway.

What I've been working on and will not return to is a manuscript on this

really dishonest Posner book. ~~He~~ is the kind of person who made Hitler possible, the kind who flourished under him and under Stalin. The media give ~~him~~ enormous attention in part because his line covers them but his book will not be a best-seller. Book how short a while Hersh's was. I wish there were a black book-publishing house to which I could submit what I'm doing on- and ~~to~~-Posner. In Case Open I referred to him as a shyster, a plagiarist and a man who has trouble telling the truth by ~~accident~~ <sup>even accident</sup>. He could not and did not address any of those tough criticisms. He did make a half-assed correction of <sup>one</sup> of those plagiarisms. And in his reprint he proved me right in saying he can't tell the truth by accident in the one crack he made at me, which did not address a word I'd said about him. It may be only a record for history but I do cuff him up a bit in what I've done. If I did not tell you, aside from Frame-Up and those FOIA lawsuits two of which were for King/Ray info., I was Ray's investigator for several years. If I had ~~had~~ access to all the stuff I have I'd be really clobbering him. Besides my own work I've about 80,000 pages of official records. In simplification, that rifle was not used in the assassination, did not fire the fatal bullet, and Ray was not at the scene of the crime at the time of the crime. They can't place him in Memphis, where he was, after breakfast. The chapter I read and corrected early this morning is a powerful indictment of him, personally and professionally, and of his book. Since the book appeared I've done about 175 pages.

To which I return,

With many ~~thank~~ thanks!

Harold