Yesterday you had Jim give mo copies of two of the three pictures you got in response to your suit for those parts of CD 955. I presume the third wa so heavily cropped it served no purpose to provide it. I glanced at thes e prints whin we were together, looked at them again bofore going to bed, and have examined them briefly in daylight this a.m.

My original work on the available pictures is so far in the past I hesttate to pretend my recollections, including of my thinking, are dependable after all this time. However, aftor initial interest, I think I decided that this man may have been an Oswald associate, in which event any inquiry involving the CIA would be more difficult thon most other things we could attempt; that the CIA had deliberately deceived the Commission and the FBI, which would not make inquiry easier; or that there was an error. I have never doubted that everyone enthring those kinds of embassies and consulates was photographed. Before your day in Washington it was hardly secret that the FBI did this there. I am confident that each tire I entered the Sowiet Embassy as a correspondent I was photographed by the FBI from the other side of 16 th St. And they wore then our allies. This was Vorld War II time. As an illumination of the workings of the intcilligencemoriented mind, let me add the seeningly extraneous, that on one occasion what my editor had asked me to get the press officer refused. He took me to lunch, but he refused it. So, I went to our own Department of Comerne and they promptly loaned me exactly what I'd asked, it was then unsedret.

Returning to these pictures, whether or not you pursue the others in that CD 'and if you do I think some consultation in advance, such as I have already had to a degree with Jim, might be holpful), I have a fen sugseations.

FIrst, I'd ask the CIA. now that you have the pictures, to make me a negative $4 \times 5$ from dach of the originala negatives of the prints they havo given you. If they decline this, I'd ask for an $8 \times 10$ glossy made from the original negative. Unless the lab that diplicated these was careless, your print is, like that I got in the King naterials suit, excesaively dusty.

If you don't want to do this, which I think you cando by phone, I sugjest that you use a procedure similar to what I did when I finally forced $A P$ to come up with a full Altgens. I was without reluctance in using a Washington lab run by a former FBI agent. His work was excellent and he went out of his way to inform me. For example, the enlarge ment of the print I had was, as could not be detected by the naked eye, slightly out of focus. Anyway, of the parint itself he made me a contact negative. of the parts that particularly interested me he made me enlarged negatives. Good 01' Jim Harrison asked to borrow these when I was there in November. After some delay and correspondence I finally got back the full $8 \times 10$ negative but the others are now gobe. There are advantages in this kind of procedure if you intend to make serious study of such pictures. The nore obvious is that having isolated the parts of the picture that interest you, you can then have prints or enlarged prints made with differing exposures and on papor of different characteristics, rawith added or diminished emphasis on varying parts.

If you do not intend doing this, then I'd recomend, if you intend carrying this further at all and desire others to study these pictures (and please, for Christ's sake, keep prints away from sone of your nuts for the kinds of easily-made exaggerations and distortions of what you have can hurt our little remaining credibility too rauch), instead of going through a drug-sthre type of photography, which this post-card size print suçests, that you have a decent kind of $4 \times 4$ negative or negatives made, like those I gave you yesterday in connection with the Ray habeas corpus. Jim has a photographer friend. If he is not equipped with a Speed Graphic or Graphlex, then my local photo shop will make such a negative for either $\$ 1$ or $\$ 1: 50$, I've gorgotten which, if it is a straight copy of the full rpints and doesn't require extra work, like masking out parts, and about the same for each 8x10. In themselves better prints of this kind and size can be more informative. For example, with a better prints you might decide, if it seems important, whether the clock-1ike device that seems attached to a wallet like thing is an ordinary traveller's thing. I have a friend who is a reporter in liexico and fluent in English and Spanish who might bo able to tell from this part alone if this is an ordinary item there.

The other pictures of this CD interest me more.
The sequence of nuabering of these CDs may indicate a relationship with the Irnold Lewia Kessler things, one of which was classified until the recent deciassification. In those early deys Hal Verb had some interested and interviewed someone somehow connected fith all of this. He is a friond of Paul Hoch, as he is of mine. Paul, as you p robably know, had some interest in these pictures, so I'll send him a copy of this in the event ho disagrees with some of it or can add more for you. My recollectionofpart of that story, and Hal's source may have disputed it, is that it inbolved Oswald with a fair sum of money and some leind of claim to more available.

I think it probable the picture you have were mane with a movie camer, and not only because they show the man wallcing, which a still can also do. You have copies of prints that were altered before the rpints were made and you have different exposures on your prints. This influences tone, etc.

It may be that the remaing parts, those not masked, also were touched up. I am not expert enough to know the cause (as lighting, exposure, etc) but detail that would show in an ordinary snapshot seems missing and not likely missing on the original. Look at the ami and shirt of the sleeve, for example, They are a mass of white from which the left aril projects. The same is true of whatever kind of bag is being carried. No detail is visible under magnification. I wonder if it was thought by the Commission staif to be the one they so pursued Mrs. Bledsoe about.

The airbrush work, espectally on the walking shot, seems to have inpinged on the shirt $\operatorname{Hif}^{2}$ not other parts. I can't be certain but I think so. This need not be given sinister interpretation, for the spooks like the pretense that what they do is not known and they'd have done this in hiding the background, which could have been for the sole puxpose in this or sinilar cases of disguising the point from which the picture was taken.

These pictures were taken close to midday from the lighting, in broad daylight, and under these conditions I find it hard to believe anyone but a zany would have been ongaged in anything clandestine. whit

If you can get Hal interested, he used to have the kinds of contacts who could have a reasonable chance of saying if the man was a known pro-Castroite.

If he was of any real interest, I don't doubt he has been indetifled. But either way, I thinis the likely response to an inquiry for identification would be invocation of the exuptions in a way that would be difficult to contest.

I'll give this to Jim whon he is here ilonday.

## Dear Js,

## 3/8/72

Hope this isn't too disjointed. I've aoved the mill to near the 'IV because diss' IV a.m. news is to include an intervion I was to sec, with tho guy who has done the expose on the CI and SFAsia narcotics. Hy innediate ourpose is another linl nes age. It is a futility for $w$ to writo hin and if Jo can find tine to call hin, sh: can et a reading not msworine lettors denies ne. He long asd had an irterest in an aspect hingins on one Amold Lowis Kos:ler. Bud and Jin have gotton sovoral differont prints of the guy in Odum ibe. 1 (CN237). I huve asled that they nake a couple of prints for lial to study. The docurant I couldn't get hin then he wanted it has boen doclas ified. Pl has it or I can copy for him. With the interview he conducted, he nay be able to se in these pix what others can't. These are difie ont pictures, taken av'different times than that printod. The guy is dressed difierontly. Tjey have beon exposed difforently, over-exporure deliberately ruining sone detail, 30 F wonde if on purpose.

I have suegested these things, not becuuse I think sone hine will come of it but to prevent waste of what has boun done: that Duct ask for an $8 \times 10$ print nade frow the negative (which they can crop as they aill with a scissors) or, fajline in that, to let ne huvo the print thoy gavo lian for a friond to wive a. $4 \times 5$ negative and difiorut oxposures on different onlragonents as woll as oulargmonts of sognents. Th cost will bo slijut. Jin Iikes tho idea and will cive it to Bud. If this luppens, I'll so: to it that ilal dets a copy o each print rade-if ho wants it.

But with experiance teaching me that with Budl whimical use of his woalth I nay Bet stuck for the cost of giving Hal copies, although in $t$ is case I don't expect it, I would like to keo: in he is interosted before I get into it ant take the tire and perhaps get stuck for the cost. Can you please ask him? At the worst I'll bo lail to lend hin the postcard si:e printa I have. They are not good, are dusty, I presure because the original prints are, but are not entirely incomprohon:ible.

On another subject, ny bird whow caje is not sildod has provided another erample of his innate in ptress. We had told we that he was woing to jet we sow exposed filn that in tine I micht be abl to use to recoup some of that ho hud cost io. I rogardet this as a rather touching sign of ap.reciation and concomp. He appoars to have chan od his uind about the uItinate usc. Nut ho has gotten the filln to No. By hunc. In tho typucal alininun can $i$ which 35 wassettes are packaged. BUI, he has labelled it not to be oponod, and. shaling indicaton the can has no cassette in it, for on shakins thore is no motultic sound. Ho has labollod it with sufficient care not to have it accidently exposed to li. eht. But what ho has not done is idontify the film! Incredible as it seems, this did not ocurs to hin. It is filn with which I'li hav to atand durinc all tho stages of procesuing. So, not lnovins the kind, this is what will be required for security:I'll have to take it to a friend who processes color, in the ovent it is color. Ifo'll make arrangemonta to process what ordinarily he docsn't, black , mi white, just in case it is $b$ ond $w$ filu. And if it turns out to be pu:itive color filn, he'Il retum it to the can and I'1l have tho problen of finuing soncone who processes positive color filn in whon I can fuve som trust. lothine is sinple with that bird, especially not what can be so vo y simple! I proswa they are personal pictures. If I'd rally hal any interest in heviny ary, I hat pervis:ion to take sone belore the closing of the place in which he thon was. But I've ben andious to avoid the taint of com oreialism and I dian't have flash with ne, that is, when I fot tho o.k. Fact is I can't afiorl a decent Plash autachaent for my haniya Secour PL1000, which is not a bad canera. And in my subminerature Ataron, Japanese copy of tic aimox, which I ase for souvenir dictures for Lil only, I had slow filn (ASA25), which precluded inside pix. I suppose that it auk when I go to sec hin again, I'll have to borrow flaik omuionont and be roady. I huve a cood sapport with the former keuper of the cage and it he main fills that role, there shoult be no problcin.
 loarn. They havo thale a propublication beptesellor of hecoy's book by the attention they'vo
 prior restraint.

Odun pictures - Bud - Cwrott: yo, toic Jin or both
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 In moxico. Is sont a con or the mo I cave you whe have dord that if there is way way
 spotty, and has started a business of his onf on tha side. it shows siats if succes.,
 eat of minta and the declassinied hebsier moport. he has ton that wew huver clasoifed, but I coulun't solk lize the ons that wa then rencrictoci. You have it, wob is arobably fa iliur inth it, for it wa fneluded in the 1970 declassification. Hally aners is $733 \mathrm{t} / 2$ darizald avce, Vakland, Gelif. 94610. Hid


