Withholding - suppression - honesty and genuineness of classification. For court use.

Cosmission Exhibit is the same as Commission Document in this case, CE 2943 and CD 945 are one and the same thing. Except that the notations were masked when the Commission rpinted it. Not only did the Commission print it, but I also did. And, three years after I printed it, then the Archives went over the list I bought from them to bring it up to date on declassification, they remarked CD 945 as still withheld!

If the Commission printed this, as it did, can it possibly have been subject to withholding to begin with, or in any form?

But, if the Commission, in the belief of somene else, should not have printed it - and the Commission was the only organ then qualified to make this judgement, as it mid-can its subsequent withholding be justified on any ground?

on the integrity with which this matter of classification and declassification was approached and performed? When there are hundreds of such cases, quite literally, can it be assume to be no more than an oversight?

All of this is quite aside from the content. Another file represents the person the interview with whom is here reported as entirely non-existent:

Non-existent? I found him by using the phone book, interviewed him when he had no reluctance for me to tape record it, and learned that the official representation of what he is said to have said is not faithful to what he did say.

* Mich can, porhaps, explain such "oversights".