## Dear Bill,

After the four hours on CAU were over last night or sether this moring. I drove on home hoping I' i be in alert and aweks onough on nition to acemplicht sow thing. ${ }^{1}$ his has not bon the case, athough es the day wears on $I$ am becining to revive. I feel I dicn't do as well. as I coull hsive, but the andionce reaction seens to hove been good. Ixx wes reminded of Joe Dolsn's fescription, for I could see the switchboard snd fro the moncnt hey tunounced the y'd teke cells evory licht toe constantly flashing. e chatted at the bezin ing of the program, and here i wes less good then I should heve been. Before we left ot $29 . \mathrm{m}$. I esked hin what the count of the celis was. He never did tell me how meny cells we to k, but there were 4,700 thet did not come throuh, fro whatever kind of countin device they use. Lo not lmovin this means anything. I do lmow that they announced the phone numbers but once on hour, not the usuel frecuency. Thare wes a call from Nichigen, shother from curolina, asd only I from a detectable Bircher. I heard it driving home, for they repest it begining two esil. They are having Lene the 23rd. I mets couple of inoremors, but t pueas When we ad lib we have to expect $\varepsilon 0$ of that. They had for me sometining I'm surpriaed
 have a copy of this end the topes. I spoice to hini briefly by phone before the show. It is the megaine croster hiladelphis for agust with a lon exticie in the propuration of which inse suld the helped, with ouotee from on interview witit specter and an interesting drewing made by simulteneous proiection of Fremos 313 汸th 315 and 316 superimposed. If this is correct, end I cannot say otherwise, I em at a loss to understand whet the siguificance of whot I whaxt saw 13. I definitely son an oppoaite and sharps but less violent backwerd reaction at a point richt before 513 when I had these projected in slow motion an I definitely sow the formerd motion, or at lenst 2 am certain I did, in both the Zanruder ond $\overline{\mathrm{I}} 1 \mathrm{x}$ ifims at the time of Erame 323. I prosume ${ }^{1}$ hed eotten no aony of this from $V_{i n}$ an and hou hourd nothin- fram him bremse this artcile praises Lipstein, drees Lene in by the heels, quotes Sylvia's review of Hepteing but makes no roiercace to MIPEMAH. Daspite this, I think the bocic will not do voll in ${ }^{+}$hilacialphi, but I wish I could undorstand the uniatural reason. Vince hes a friend Tom Katen cy katiri (vho te is me his fother tuinks we may bo diatint cousions) to whom I spoke by achident when he phoned in to speak to, the show'a producer late in the pota . Wen I was ihere to zet this asgazine. I didn't have achance to raed it until I bot beck todey. He has a tape of the show in which Vince and Curiis urawford and I were, but he says the nuality is not cood. His also hos a cood sound truck of the Burke show, in case you are interested. Dic I tell you Neil told me these lawyers were sumposed to represent the hmericen Irial Lswyers' asan. But the misl purpose of this 1 t'er, which I would not now adiress to Lillien, is the failure of illils tonanswer
 Just as hany is he doesn't, for I cen see on the slides thet the alteration is on the film and not beceuse the illm is covered by the ceraboard. That means the iterstion is not ac idental and took some trouble to bring the altered frames up to standard J5m size. is lone as I can cet what illion prombsed, roaning oll she can let me hive on the fourth on firth, I do not care ebout him if he will not answor. in that ovent I sholl aond hia e remisterod latter and if there is no response rint the letter, nd I shali so inform him. I will return her color print if she'd like but I'd like the nost complota bleok na whites to uee. Hope things are boter ith her husbend.

