Return in or Toole file

Ezzzzzzzzzz Ed, in your 4/9 you say "publishers are becoming receptive to assassination material." Is this deduction from Romero, Executive Action, etc., or based on knowledge? If latter, I'd like to be able to follow. My experience is that there can be interest only in trash. This is not new. One of the reasons Doubleday gave me for turning WW down is that they caouldn't fault it! They were quite honest in all reasons.

I'd still be interested in everything Plon sent you. I think it foolish to regard this as no more than expensive commercialism. Including stationery. I have found the name Plon (M.Plon) written on one of the intelligence cards in the Carrison stuff on Farewell America.

You say, "I hear Lane is entering the Watergate sweepstakes". Any details? He'd commercialize his wife's placenta! These buzzards will not stop messing everything up!

I find particularly fascinating what you say about Sylvia and Ned:"I remarked that the foundation was perhaps/C.I.A. [True!] front. 'Probably', she replied, 'but Ned couldn't tell the front from the back'." Yet in the face of her own estimate pf him and his judgement she loaned herself to his project, or if he was joining hers, she had no doubts?

Of what use is a good head if it is so employed?

PW Prouty ad: he appears more and more to be the liberal military answer to Ellsberg. Pub date advanced, think 2 months. Note that coinciding with it the military is trying to take over CIA, to control intelligence 100%, as it did prior to WW II.

George O'Toole, gaithersbyrg and his new kinf of vocal lie-detector: He laid court to CTIA. When I heard of this I asked Jim what we are doing considering helping with any police-state device? He agreed. They seem to have dropped it. If what O(Toole has is what he says, the one think he won't need is Bud's help.

Who needs a lie detector to know which witnesses are lying? Or Commission members? That is what makes me wonder more, because the members knew so little. Thanks HW 4/13/73