Mr. John F. Morris 7616 Northern Ave., Glenn Dale, MD 20769

Dear John,

You are in touch with Hickey's family, I have no desire to intrude upon their privacy so I write them through you because of several developments that may be of interest to them.

Specking to my lawyer friend who handled my FOIA lawsuits I mentioned Hickey's situation, that lawyers want more front money than he can afford to handle the case. He and I both know a lawyer lawyer who would be quite good for it, he much better than I, and he said that when he returnes to DC he'll speak to that lawyer. Or, what I may saying, is yhhat it may be possible for the Hickey's to get a good lawyer. My belief is that if they do, St. Martin's would be quite willing to make a settlement that the Hickey's might find satisfactory out of court. I have several reasons for thinking this.

What Dongue and Menninger say about me in their terrible book ranges from twisted and distorted to just plain false. While I cannot and do not pretend to be able to known what was in their minds on this, I believe it is because they were trying to lay a basis for discounting any criticism I might make of the book. There is a reason for this and I think it would be dynamite for the llickeys and would encourage the publisher not to want any publicity. Remember, I've not read the book but was sent pages referring to me. On one of them they say that the pictures + gave Donahue were of no value. That is false. One that I remember clearly that I loaned him, and I have his letter with which he returned it, does disprove his cockamanie explanation of the shooting. But more than that, I referred him to the part of the Zapruder film after those frames published by the Commission and I also told him that there is no question about it, the limo did not speed up until after the head shot, or on that basis alone his theory is entirely impossible. There is no problem proving that. I think but after all these years wannot be sure that the Commission's interpretation and that of the FBI is that this is true and obvious to them from that film.

This is to say that Donahue had reason to believe that his theory was impossible yet proceeded with it.

I also remember telling him that proper interpretation of the Altgens picture published that Cormission also proves his theory to be impossible. His interpretation of it is phony.

Now publishers have responsibilities. I've been used by at least three that I can recall as an expert to evaluate a book, three books, that is, so the publisher could know if they were phony. It is clear that St. "artin's made no can effort even though they had to know that the book damaged Hickey. and on that I have proof that they knew, or should have known, that wickey at the least could be damaged emotionally very much.

Donahue hired a private eye to investigate Hickey. He blabbed. Among the things he said is that they knew Hickey had a severe enotional reaction, had had to retire, and was living like a recluse. Yet Ken McCormick, St. Kartin's President, said one of the reasons

they decided to publish the book is that Mickey did not respond to their phone calls. Of course he had no obligation to, but that it was known that he was not taking phone calls wipes out their excuse, especially because McCormick also said they were not sure that the book was accurate and truthful.

While I do not know this to be a fact, I believe that at least one of the critics must have phoned or written St. Martin's or both from what I learned the end of last week. Any one really familiar with the well-known photographis evidence knows what I say about, that it disproves Donahue's crazy and irresponsible theory. On this, and I'm not taking the time to check my file, when Donahue talked the Baltimore Sun into using his nonsense years ago, in the 1970s, I had some correspondence with that reporter and I'm sure the Sun dropped Ponahue's theory then. That man's name is Reppert, as I recall.

It happens that a while back a man phoned me telling me he is a former FBI SA, that he had written a book and could do nothing with it, and asked if I could help him. I referred him to a friend and I then wrote that friend on his behalf. As a result that former SA has a contract for his book that he is quite paeased with. He phoned me to thank me.

During the course of our conversation he told me that he had learned that St. Martin's had in effect withdrawn Mortal Error and that the paperback contract for it has been cancalled and this after selling 80,000 copies of it. He also told me that he had spoken to a book store specializing in books on the assassination and was told that St. "artin's had phoned them to offer the remaining copies at \$1.00 each. This clearly means that they have learned that their book is a fake. And that in turn leads me to believe that if a good lawyer who has the reputation for being vigorous handles the case for Hickey St.

Martin's will be anxious to settle as quietly as they can because with the history I in-

dicate briefly above they do not have a leg to stand on and it can hurt them wery much if there is publicity.

I do not know whether the lawyer I think would be good for Hickey can take the case now but from what I know of him I think he'd like to be able to. If he can't I think that through my lawyer it should be possible to get another one who is good. So, would you please tell the Hickeys what I report and let me know if they'd like me to continue to make these efforts to help him? With the assurance that I want/thothing out of it. I refused anything from the FBI SA so he said he'd send my wife some flowers. He'll be coming here next month to meet me and use my files for further writing.

For the Hickeys, my understanding is that the publisher is responsible and that he can be collected from. He in turn tries to collect from the writer of the book. So whether ponahue has money or not makes no difference. St. Martin's does. And stands to be hurt very much by any publicity on their incredible irresponsibility in publishing that book.

If you or they should call me, I'll be in Baltimore Tuesday and Thursday afternoons, at Johns Happins Hopkins. I'll leave around 11 those days. Pemember, - have to go to bed early, by 7.

The other days I'll be home from my early-morning physical therapy and blood testing by a little after 9 and except for errands that never take much time, will be home the rest of the day unless we go out for early supper, which we probably will at least one day this week.

By the way, if the Hickeys should want to borrow it, I have a super-8 copy of the Zapruder film that is of soso quality but clear on the fact that Donahue's theory is impossible if they would hike to borrow it and I have a 16mm version that is of fine quality. It can also be seen by prearrangement with the Hational Archives and the Secret Service has, unless they eve put it in the archives (as I think Tom Helley told me they intended to do), a good or better 8mm copy made from the original. It once had two such copies.

If Hickey or his family suspect - have some ulterior notive I've done this kind of thing before because I want only the truth to be told and because this is not the first time someone has abused Secret Service agents without any legitimate basis at all. When William Hanchester's book came out and he said the nasty things he did about Greer and Kellerman, I think in late 1966, I asked for time on WTOP, which then had talk shows, to answer "anchester. I was on the Bob Rayford show and did defend those men, who I'd never even spoken to . I also spoke at the "niv. Of "aryland and there also desended them. At the end May Kellerman's daughter came up and thanked me. So it can be learned that this is the way I feel and try to do. Some of the Kellerman and/or Greer neilhbots also may remember because some of them phoned me to thank me after I was on the "ayford show.

The former FBI SA I refer to above is sending me some of my books he has to be autorgapher and to get cipies he does not have. I never asked him his address but I'll then have it. I'll ask him to give me all the details he has on what he learned about St. Marti withdrawing the book and how he learned it. When I hear from the friend through whom I arranged for the book contract for this former SA, I'll also ask him if he knows anything because he is az lawyer in publishing and might. I think that perhaps the Hickeys might want to know this, I'm certain the lawyer will if they get one, and I think it may also indicate to them the possibility of a settlement they can accept without anything in public by Hickey, which I think he'd probably not want. But he was treated atrociously and should be compensated to the degree that kind of damage can be undone.

My best to you all,

Harold Weisberg