

Nizer WOR taping 1/18/67

Two witnesses saw "the rifle" in that window. Whether or not the witnesses are credible, no witness ever saw that rifle in Oswald's possession, prior to or during the assassination.

Said there were two witnesses on the floor below. Three.

Said there was positive proof that the bullets in Oswald's gun killed the President. This just isn't so. The bullet and the fragments were traced to the weapon, which was not placed in either Oswald's hands or possession at that time, and nothing was done to trace either the bullet or the fragments to the assassination. It rests on presumption. It could have rested on proof. Spectrographic analysis.

Oswald lied repeatedly. How can he say that when there was no transcript, and Nizer had no question about the lack of a lawyer or of a transcript, or of contradictory statements about the things Oswald is supposed to have said.

Said the evidence was in the record that Oswald was a photographer. This is false.

"He tried to join the Castro movement". Pure fiction. Oswald's was a one-man, entirely unaffiliated "organization".

Said 30 attorneys were selected to ~~do~~ do the investigating. The FBI and SS did this.

Whatever he likes is "objective, scientific evidence"..

A young man who lied about his scholastic history and a fairy tale about Oswald and \$10,000. Not in the evidence to my knowledge, certainly not about Rowland.

What he says was an exact duplication of the assassination, and he said exactly the same thing, just was false. He earlier (Barry Grey) said the government fired into skulls in Dallas reconstruction.