Nixon, Ex-Aides Could Face More Woes After Morgan's Guilty Plea in Tax Case

By a WALL STREET JOURNAL Staff Reporter

guilty plea in connection with preparing on the project during 1969, according to the Richard Nixon's 1969 tax return could signal evidence gathered by the House Judiciary more woes for the former President and Committee for its impeachment inquiry. some of his one-time associates.

the President signed the back-dated deed man all had at least brief dealings with the for Mr. Nixon's gift of vice presidential pa- President on the subject of the gift of papers to the National Archives, pleaded pers. guilty to one count of conspiring "to impair, of the Internal Revenue Service. The devel-ruary, March and April 1970 "maintained lipapers.

found responsible for fraud. However, IRS made after July 25, 1969. Commissioner Donald Alexander wouldn't comment on the investigation, except to collected \$284,708.16 in back taxes and penalties on the 1970-1972 Nixon returns. If fraud is found, Mr. Nixon also may have to pay the \$148,080.97 deficiency on his 1969 has expired; but Mr. Nixon has promised to prison and a \$10,000 fine. But Special Prosebe liable for it.

The targets of the Special Prosecutor's been disclosed, and the six-page information to which Mr. Morgan pleaded doesn't name the other conspirators. However, last spring when the IRS referred the matter to the speaddition to Mr. Morgan, the agency's investigation had focused on activities of John

House counsel, first assigned Mr. Morgan to WASHINGTON - Edward L. Morgan's work on the gift of papers and who kept tabs That report indicates that Mr. Ehrlichman, Mr. Morgan, who as Deputy Counsel to Mr. Kalmbach, Mr. DeMarco and Mr. New-

Mr. Morgan, according to the informaimpede, defeat and obstruct" the functions tion filed by the special prosecutor, in Febopment means he's available to testify alson with persons preparing the 1969 joint against others involved in the conspiracy. It federal income tax return of Richard M. also means the government has evidence and Patricia R. Nixon" and "discussed with that, at least at some level, fraud was in- these persons the fact that a claim would be volved in the tax deduction claimed for the made on the tax return that Richard M. Nixon had made a gift of prepresidential pa-Mr. Nixon's pardon spares him from pers prior to the effective date of the Tax criminal prosecution, but he could be liable Reform Act of 1969." That act essentially for some \$200,000 in civil penalties if he is eliminated tax deductions for gifts of papers

Whether Mr. Morgan can unlock the full story of the fraud for the prosecutors and confirm that it remains open. The IRS has the IRS isn't clear, and he declined to comment after entering his plea in U.S. district court here.

Mr. Morgan faces a maximum sentence on the conspiracy charge of five years in cutor Henry Ruth said he would recommend as "appropriate maximum penalties" the continuing criminal investigation haven't three years and \$5,000 fine called for in the Internal Revenue Code for the more specific crime of aiding in the preparation of a false income tax return. The fact that Mr. Morgan pleaded to the board conspiracy count cial prosecutor, Mr. Alexander said that, in presumably reflects prosecution plans to build a case against others.

The 36-year-old Mr. Morgan, who had Ehrlichman, Mr. Nixon's top domestic ad-moved to the Treasury Department as asviser; Herbert Kalmbach, Mr. Nixon's one-sistant secretary and "top cop," resigned time personal attorney; Frank DeMarco, from the government last January, telling his tax attorney, and Ralph Newman, the an interviewer, "The tanks are coming. appraiser who valued the papers at \$576,000. They're coming right down Pennsylvania It was Mr. Ehrlichman who, as White Avenue and into the Oval Office."