NY Times
10/8/1973

Why Pay More?

By Anthony Lewis

On an income of more than $200,000, Richard M. Nixon paid $792.61 in federal income tax for 1970. The tax year he paid $87,303. Those figures published in the Providence Journal-Bulletin have not been chal-

[illegible]

[illegible] they would be [illegible] "final sorting, classification [illegible] pricing."

5. An appraiser named [illegible] selected 392 cubic feet of [illegible] ments out of the original 1,217 [illegible] "gift" and put their value at $[illegible] Mr. Newman said he could [illegible] the detailed valuation in early [illegible] and could have had no way of [illegible] the figure in April, 1969.

3. The Archives learned precisely which papers were said to constitute the gift in a letter from Mr. De[illegible] January 27, 1970.

[illegible]

[illegible] Washington Post [illegible] organiza[illegible] Advocate [illegible] involved [illegible] confirmed [illegible]

[illegible] Presi[illegible] July 26, [illegible] only briefly [illegible]

[illegible] March [illegible] a letter [illegible] dated [illegible] signed by the [illegible] and not delivered to [illegible]

[illegible] indicate that a gift [illegible] and it is accepted [illegible] has not shown [illegible] signature.

[illegible] effective [illegible] property has not really [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] Alexander, as [illegible] the [illegible] Mr. President's papers [illegible]

A PROP AT HOME

[illegible] But it was [illegible] and a good gift.

[illegible] President of the United States [illegible] the document [illegible] as President [illegible] Richard L. Sa[illegible] According [illegible] Harry's testimony [illegible] signed on [illegible] 21, 1969, but the document was [illegible] March 27, 1969.

2. Mr. Nixon did not [illegible] purported deed. Nor, to the [illegible] advice from the General Services Administration, signed the document [illegible] official G.S.A. handling. [illegible] signatures on