ixon Had Checked His Tax Ret

By Jack Anderson

President Nixon checked over man, states: his controversial 1969 tax reing it on April 10, 1970.

The event was witnessed by Frank DeMarco, who also carefully explained the tax figures to him.

Their testimony could determine whether the President was a party to claiming a \$576,000 tax deduction after the legal deadline had passed. The claim was based upon a back-dated deed, which granted to the government one-third of his vice presidential papers.

Tax authorities have told us that the back-dating of the document appears to be fraudulent page before signing the return. and could lay the President open to charges of income tax evasion.

White House spokesmen have insisted that the President left the preparation of his tax returns to his lawyers and that he and cookies. was too preoccupied with the presidency to bother about the details.

This has been disputed, however, by the statements and documents DeMarco has given to the Joint Congressional Committee on Internal Revenue Taxation, which is investigating the President's tax liabilities at his invitation.

The documents show that the President took a personal inter16, 1969, memo from his aide falsely stated the deed had been against the censure of the late

"The President holds the view all allowable items. For instance, wedding gifts to conat funerals, etc. . ."

Even more damning were De-Marco's confessions behind closed doors to the committee staff. As he recounted the crucial April 10, 1970, meeting with the President, the two attorneys spent about 30 minutes explaining the tax return to the President. He carefully checked each

Then DeMarco and Kalmbach proceeded upstairs to the White House living quarters and explained the tax return to Mrs. Nixon, who added her signature. She served them coffee

DeMarco would not relate the substance of his discussion with the President about taxes, claiming attorney-client privilege. This leaves up in the air whether DeMarco told the President that the \$576,000 deduction was based upon a backdated deed.

admitted he had notarized the opinion." But Long, whose fa-otherwise. But developments deed at the White House when ther was hounded by investiga- are rapidly closing in on Richhe came to discuss the tax re- tors, has always championed ard Nixon. est in taking every possible tax | turn with the President on April | people under investigation. He

and confidante, John Ehrlich- signed in California a year ear- Sen. Thomas Dodd (D-Conn.). lier.

turn, page by page, before sign- that a public man does very lit- Nixon has called in to handle tle of a personal nature. Virtu- his tax problems, H. Chapman ally all of his entertainment and Rose of Cleveland and Kenneth dent is the attitude of Rep. Wilhis personal lawyer, Herbert activity is related to his busi- Gemmill of Philadelphia, have bur Mills (D-Ark.), the former Kalmbach, and his tax lawyer, ness.' He wants to be sure that made anxious inquiries about chairman, who started the inhis business deductions include the April 10 meeting in the oval vestigation. After studying the office. They have expressed preliminary findings, he offered concern that DeMarco or Kalm-to introduce legislation grantgressmen's daughters, flowers bach might testify about what ing the President immunity was said.

> Committee sources have told us there are no tapes of this important meeting, because the automatic tape system hadn't conversations with DeMarco and Kalmbach, have been held criminal tax fraud. up by the White House as "privileged communications" between a client and his attorneys.

This is disputed by White House press secretary Gerald Warren who told us no information has been withheld. "We are mental fully cooperating with the joint tax evasion is strictly a private committee," he said. "Informa- crime unrelated to the Presition has been supplied as soon dent's "governmental capacity," as it could be put together."

Sen. Russell Long (D-La.), the committee chairman, has dismissed the possibility of presidential tax fraud, calling the controversy over Mr. Nixon's dent like everyone else must be But significantly, DeMarco taxes an "honest difference of deduction. For example, a June 10, 1970. The notarization led the fight, for example,

The Senate, nevertheless, voted The two crack lawyers whom to censure him by a 94-to-5 vote.

> More ominous for the Presifrom prosecution if he would resign. The offer implied that prosecution is a possibility.

We have also learned that the yet been installed. The sources Internal Revenue Service has also say that notes and memos, assigned the case to its Intellidealing with the President's gence Section. These are the people who usually investigate

> It may also be significant that the President's lawyers are arguing the President cannot be impeached for a crime unless it is committed in his "governcapacity." Since the lawyers might be anticipating tax charges against Nixon.

> It should be stressed, of course, that no charges have been made and that the Presi-

1974, United Feature Syndicate