The Washington Merry-Go-Round

THE WASHINGTON POST

Monday, March 11, 1974 B13



ixon Took Personal Interest in T

Jack Anderson

Confidential White House documents show that President the White House has tried to with the Internal Revenue Serv-Nixon, contrary to the state- portray of a President too busy ice's deputy chief counsel, ments put out in his name, has to bother with the details of his Roger Barth, who served as potaken an intense personal inter- tax returns. Press spokesman litical impresario for Julie and est in wangling every possible Gerald L. Warren has implied Tricia Nixon during the 1968 tax deduction he could get away Mr. Nixon was so preoccupied campaign and is now the Nixon with.

He even inquired in 1969 about deducting his payments to his daughter, Julie, as a business expense. He wanted to lawyers were really to blame for count money he was contribut- claiming the ing to her support as salary for her work as a White House tour gift of the Nixon papers to the guide. Yet the White House had government. already put out -publicity that she was a "volunteer."

The President also wanted a tax break for using the den at his San Clemente estate for an office. He asked what tax writeoffs were available, too, for permitting others to use his California and Florida houses.

He thought he should be permitted to charge off wedding gifts, funeral sprays and similar items, because he felt these were "business expenses" for a politician. Most of his entertaining, he also contended, should be deductible. "He wants to be sure," instructed aide John D. Ehrlichman in a confidential summer and deduct it as a busi-

tions include items."

This differs from the picture with the presidency that he left man inside the IRS. the preparation of his returns entirely to his lawyers.

The implication was that his stamped \$576,000 tax deduction for the pense for a salary paid to Julie

Joint Committee on Internal mend that this should not be Revenue Taxation, however, prove that the President was not at all removed from the preparation of his returns. On the contrary, he pulled every possible string to increase his tax deductions.

Ehrlichman relayed the President's wishes to Edward Morgan, the White House aide who handled the President's taxes. For example, an Ehrlichman memo, dated June, 16, 1972, asked Morgan:

"The President proposes to personally pay Julie for her work in the White House this memo, "that his business deduc- ness expense. Would you please has been acting as a 'volunteer.'

all allowable, determine whether he can properly do this'

Morgan took the problem up

Barth responded a month later with a memo, carefully "Confidential." advising: "Legally we might juscontroversial tify deduction as a business exas a tour guide this summer. However, for the following rea-Documents in the hands of the sons, I most strongly recomdone:

> "(A) the amount involved is rather small; (B) this is always a factual question which could be raised on audit of whether she is necessary to the taxpayer's 'business';

> "(C) In addition to federal withholding data which would get into the files at the IRS, information would have to be given to the (state) tax authorities and to the Social Security people. There are too many entities involved for this to be kept confidential;

"(D) The newspapers have made much of the fact that she

.I think the risk of exposure of a business deduction attempt is too great"

Barth advised instead that the President should "make a gift at the end of the summer to Julie. Although it would not be deductible to him, it would be taxfree to her."

The President apparently heeded this advice, for the White House press office tells us that the President never put Julie on salary.

In another memo, Ehrlichman asked Morgan: "The President intends to use the San Clemente house for official visits, and he intends to use his den as an office for presidential activities. What write-offs are available to him?"

Barth was also approached on this question. He advised that "a deduction would be permitted for depreciation and maintenance expenses."

Ehrlichman also wanted to know about "the tax consequences of permitting others to use the Florida and California houses." Barth responded: "We would have to establish the business purpose for the President with regard to each person invited to use the homes.'

1974, United Feature Syndicate