White House Assails Ervin Arrest Threat

3/20/73 By Carroll Kilpatrick

sharply yesterday to Sen. Sam attempt to arrest an aide to J. Ervin's threat to seek the the President. arrest of any White House aides who refuse to testify be- was commenting on the North fore the select committee in- Carolina Democrat's statevestigating alleged political es- ment on Sanday that "I'd recpionage and the Watergate ommend to the Senate they bugging case.

Ziegler, charging "sensation-ness who refuses to appear." alism," said the real issue is not whether an aide testifies Face the Nation program, also but whether the administration provides full information,

President Nixon has promised to cooperate fully with the investigating committee which Ervin heads and to supply information to it, Ziegler emphasized.

On Capitol Hill, Senate Minority Leader Hugh Scott (R-Pa.) said he did not believe r see FBI's Watergate files, the issue would reach the

The White House reacted point where the Senate would

"I do not believe it will happen that way," Scott said. He send the sergeant-at-arms of the Senate to arrest a White Press secretary Ronald L. House aide or any other wit-

> Ervin, who was on the CBS charged that the President "has some peculiar notions about executive privilege."

Senators criticize agreement to permit only two cenators and two aides to Page A6.

Ervin's Threat of Arrests Blasted as 'Sensationalism'

PRESIDENT, From A1

House counsel John W. Dean sional committees. III to testify before Ervin's Ervin has said he would not committee.

vin's statement Scott told appear in person to answer signed by the president of the reporters: "That's a small bit questions. of TV fustian. I can't imagine Asked if he was calling Ersomeone walking into the vin's statement sensational, White House and putting Ziegler said he was not adhandcuffs on a White House aide. The public reaction would be terribly adverse."

what would happen if the Sensergeant-at-arms attempted to arrest a White House aide, he replied that he did not know that would hapcomment on Ervin's remarks.

added that ers," Ziegler said. Then he "sensational statements about forcing White House aides to the report Dean prepared for spondence dealing with airtestify should not obscure the the President on the Water- mail contracts. central fact that the President sistent with" the President's responsibility to "uphold the doctrine of separation of pow-

Ziegler suggested that Senate Democratic Whip 10 days in jail. some critics have ignored the Robert C. Byrd (D-W. Va.) McCracken a written answers to questions witness.

while declining to permit aides to testify before congres-

be satisfied with written an-Commenting further on Er- swers to his questions but required and the warrant for

> specific individual." There was connection with an arrest procedure.

a form "consistent with the in 1800. The most recent case pen and that he would not President's responsibility to was in 1934, when William Mcuphold the separation of pow-

gate case would be made availwill provide information con- able to the committee. The en's arrest was issued by press secretary said he did not the president of the Senate afhas declined to try to find out.

President's repeated offers to said he would support Ervin if cooperate fully in providing he sought the arrest of a balky his case, and then served the

Before the sergeant-at-arms could be ordered to arrest a person who refused to testify, a vote of the Senate would be signed by the president of the Senate.

That would not necessarily require the signature of Vice dressing his comments "to any President Agnew, however. If he did not want to sign it, he no other individual but Ervin could ask the president pro When Ziegler was asked being discussed, however, in tem, Sen. James O. Eastland (D-Miss.), to sign.

The first time the Senate Information will be pro- sergeant-at-arms was sent out vided the select committee in to arrest a balky witness was Cracken was taken into custody after refusing to answer He declined to say whether questions and provide corre-

The warrant for McCrackknow whether the report was ter a vote of the Senate, and made orally or in writing. He he was ordered to testify. He refused and was sentenced to

McCracken appealed to the Supreme Court, where he lost 10 days. He never testified.