Dear Js, 3/16/74

What I will attach below is not the purlpined letter. I hope full comprehension does not poes too great a problem.

I was reminded of it by the real value in Je's enclosures from her chron.

Try it on SFC5/12/72 [WXP] - E 4/12/73, 1 as you identify.

If you do, you'll dig the Hig, as nobody else seems to have done.

There is so much to remember is is far past not being easy. But maybe you will recall that I asked Barry to get something for me, telling him I expected to find nothing of salue for myself in it but perhaps for others.

e did not produce, although once he told me it was being obtained from the court that day. So I nudged indsay in a carbon I sent.

These were the two pages.

Had it not been possible to say, "that's no problem," the memory hole would have yawned.

Quoth the rave, ever more.

Je, what a labor!

If all those over-staffed and under-disposed had been capable of such simple method how different it all could have been!

In none of this am I flying into the wisdom of the ancient Chinese sages. However, I would dare suggest that Ockie had a little going for him too He could be sharp as his razor.

FRIEDRAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
Washington, D.C., June 16, 1972.
Assistant to the President,
The White House,
Washington, D.C.

Dear Mr. Haldeman: Enclosed is a memoraridum containing the results of investigation of the burglary of the Democratic Party National Heavenburgs Watergate Apartments, Washington, D.C. on June 17, 1972.
A copy of the memorandum has also been forwarded to the Attorney General and investigation by the FBI is continuing.

E. Patrick Grav. 11.

Not to change the subject: recent developments and non-developments and diversions and digressions have prompted my starting two new files. I will not go back and fill them in from the past but henceforth I will have them in mind. They orient around two dates, one recently given some significance (more by others than by me) and one widely ignored, save for STM, 8/21/73 and 6/20/72.

I will, of course, add to them.

Perhaps you can better understand now why I was not all that enamored with those eight alumni or with Leon.

Did I note write about the new covering up a fortnight ago, prior to reading the text? If one knows doctrine, one does not always need text. * * *

In earlier comment on what 'e marked and referred to in her note of March 11, I said I had not recalled or was not aware of the reconstitution to status quo. If you will now consult the same source, penult graf col 3 and what follows, more will appear. 'f it hasn't. As you abbreviate, AP SFC 17-1-74.

If only the solon's had Alice's glass and the alger text. Or those for whom the Barrys and the Lindsays labor!