10/9/69

Dear John,

In your letter of 10/6 you say, "I do not understand the proition of such things in New Orleans. Do you have any ideas?" Yes, as you would also if you had had longer experience with them. But this is hardly the time or place for that. Just figure them that way, figure them to do not what is to their interest but what at any given time and under any given circumstances they may consider to their interest, and do not essume they will do what ordinarily people who work together would do.

Since whiting you I have heard from Moo. I essume Jim told him to cell. They are not happy with my bluntness, but as they know I can help them, as I have in the past, often see what they do not. Moo has promised me transcripts (as he did before the trial was over). Now he wants something. I may get them. If I do, of course, we'll all have copies. Not knowing they hedn't given you yours (they have given me none-not even my own material back!), I did not specifically ask for it. I expect more (fodlish). I esked specifically for Sheneyfelt and R Kennedy. We'll see what I get.

The Archives told me the sutmorization they finally provided is from the JFK 4-1 file, that it has always been there, and this is a lie.

My own work in this general area continues to go forward, though I have been more occupied with other things lately.

Soul, who knows who wants what transcripts, will take care of distribution. Many thanks. Please excuse haste and delay in meiling earlier response.

Best regards,

Harold Seisborg