In discussing how so much was missed and how I picked up some of it I said that while I feared it would be misunderstood, the answers were relatively simple: the changed nature of the craft, particularly with the focus on TV, which can survive on leaks alone; the hangepas of ownership/management; and that I was some kind of unique cenius, which I am not, but that I am the beneficiary of experiences few if any reporters today have, as a enate investigator, as an intelligence analyst who has to learn to take spot analyses, and most of all, from a decade spent in investigating the assassinations and the official investigations of the assassinations. This apprenticeship is what makes it possible, not special or unusual talents, nor professional incompetence. While the reporting was good, it is fuction that there ever was any real investigation. What was called this was the dilig nt search for leaks.

I pointed out the when he had said agencie officials were going to be indicted I had given him three names, immediately. e recalled. I said that I had written this months ago and he could see it, that the case I had was public domain and had been missed.

When we were talking about the Dektor report I reminded him that he had promised to get the Haldeman memo on the 6/20/72 resting. e said he had not forgotten and had asked the staffer who could, that he did not get there. I told him that my desire for it was not to find something new in it but the hope that I could give the media something from it. I told him that this was the one remaining record of that particular day. He correctly understood the significance of that day and conjectured accurately what had to have happened. My response was what would it be worth to prove it, to be able to give the minimum. His response was almost anything.

He tocked off reasons for believing that what Heldeman did was lay out the case that had to be covered up, which is right. His analysis of why this had to be the first time it could be done definitively was on target, and he had looked into this carefully enough

to be able to tick off times and dates.

I told him I wasn't going to give everything away but I had and everybody missedthat in fact I had written that chapter months ago and he could see it—exactly what
Haldeman knew by that 6/20 series of meetings. He said, Wow!" ar something like that.
I felt I had to explain this and I did by saying that because the nature of my work
requires attention to minor detail and following things down with greater care because the
work is so unwlecome, I have to trace such things. I added that I was close to unpublishable
so that in recent years I hadswitched to making a comprehensible record, which did not
mean including everything but including what was needed for understanding in the future.
Thus I had studied something nobody clse had.

(Note-Gray confirmation hearings, chapter This Is Your FBI, document Gray's 6/19/72 letter to maldeman with enclosed summary of FBU work to that date.) think it is quite a commentary on the press and the Congress that with the coverage those hearings got and with the knowledge of the Congittee members and more, the staff, that this remains

entirely unreported when it can break the whole thing open.)

When we were talking about TV reporting and he was contemptuous I pointed out that they had a different scene, that the show biz aspect and the important to sources kept them going because their sources curried their favor and fed them. But as an example, in confidence, I told him the story of my meeting with CBS' Plismer before I saw him last Saturday, of the call and my offer of the check. I did this by retracing what had happened. There was this \$10,000 in \$100 bills. Right. 't was given to must, but not those bills. A check. I had the check. It went to Bittman, from a lawyer he knew. 'e was impressed and believed this still to be a story. I then continued that there was more and nobody had thought to check it and without giving my sources, dod give the essence. I asked what happened to Dorothy Hunt's baggage. 'And he seen anything on it. He hadn't. So I said that Kalbach's client, wight Chapin's employer—he laughed—had taken good care of it. They had kept it with solicitude and care until after the investigation was completed and they turned it over to Bittman's friend via a private investigation. I told him I had the date and the correspondence, and that there was every reason to believe that no officials had checked her luggage.

This got us into her and Hunt. "e did not know that she had been CIA or how they had met or any of that. I told him. "e did know that Buckley had been with Hunt in CIA and that

sample went into the bit about the suppression of Hant's declaration that his ambition was to be Castro's assassin through an agent and what Buskley had done with this and then the history of its unpublishability, with names. • knows this is not the full story

After a series of these things, all in confidence, not to be followed on his own once I gave the leads, I said two things: this is how kinon survived and I was giving him still more to test me and to indicate what I can deliver now. At about this point I said that the proof and the answer to the 6/20 riddle might not be the most important, important as it would be. But I went no farthur except to say that it was apparent to me the minute I saw hunt before the Ervin committee that he considered he had an ongoing deal.

And at one point in our discussing my proposal I said it would cost less than adding a new member to the staff, that my terms, keeping my work going under decent conditions, which would include all I had and all I would do, would come to less than today's fuild rate. By point here was not to tempt him, for he has no power, but to embals him to be an advocate. To this I added that there were two homes for the stuff now, without naming the state a state historical society and a small local college.

In summary, I would guess that the weakness in the prospects lies in Elfin's reluctance to take a counce with his own positions, seeing that he would be in some way responsible

in the minds of his superiors if anything went wrong,

This is an odd position because they would be checking anything they want to check. I did not comment on this but anticipating it address it elliptically, among the things I did was say that my riles indicate all my sources, all dates, and the documents I have are still associated with my sources in their envelopes). I said that when everything is public—the real hangap and my real roblem—there can be no doubt.

by weakness I mean over and above what I did initiate discussing, the unusual aspects of my proposal, that it just had never been done and people feared the new; that it did not involve a large cash payment, and they like to think they never paid; and that it

really was rather modest, which could make it suspect.

While I was writing this, before daylight, from thicago there was a kind of confirmation of what he had said Kalmbach is doing, in the form of a refusal to comment on the report. But I did not hear it on the radio news thereafter or on the TWO CBS net news reports on the hour. WBNN did have it on their news, as did KYW, CBN and Westinghouse, respectively.