In discussing how so much was missed and how I picked up some of it I said that while I feared it would be misunderstood, the answrs were relatively simple: the chaned nature of the cruft, rurticularijy with the focus on $4 V$, which can survive on leaks alone; the hangups of omarship/managenent; and noty that I was some kind of unique cenjus, which I am not, but that I ant tio beneficiary oi experiences few if why worters tolay lave, as a enate inventicator, as an intollidence analyst who has to iecm to taifo spot aniyses, and nöst of all, irona a decade spent in investigatins the assausinations and the oflicial inveratifations of the assassinations. Nhis apmenticeship is what tukes it pobstble, not special or unuatl talenta, nor profesaional incompetence. While the reportinc was soci, it is fuction that there ever was any real investigation. What was culjod this was the dilig nt search for 2eaks.

I pointed out tha when he had geid abencge officiols wero foing to be indictud I had given hin three naws, inumbately. "e recalied. I suid that I had wifiten this bonthe ado and he owld seo it, that the case I hal was pubjic dohain and had been miscoc.

When we were talkine about the Dektor repari I reminded him tikt he hew prodised to get the Holdoman memo on the $6 / 20 / 72$ zwtinge o satd ho had not forgotten and had askerd the staffor who could, that ho did not got thero. I told his that ny desire for it wari not to find somethine new in it but the hope that I could wive the redia something from it. I told hin that this was the one ranajiring record of that particular dey. fo comectly underatood the siglificanco of that day and conjoctured accurately what had to have hupnened. Hiy response was what woulc it be worth to prove it, to be able to cive the minimum. Ifs response was alloost mything.

He tocked of reasona for believing that what ingleman did was lay out the case that had to be covered up, which is right. Ilis analysis of why tilis hai to be the first time it could bo done dosinitively was on target, an. he had looked into this carbiully dioush to be able to tiok off tinors and dates.

I told hin I wasn 't coing to give everything away but I had and everrybody misissed.that in fuct I haw wriften that chapter nonths aso and he could see it- exactly what Haldeman knew by that $6 / 20$ somies of zostings. He said, Vow!" ar sonething like that. I felt I had 60 explain this and I did by saying that because the nature of ay fork requires attontion to minor dotail and following things down with greater care becavese the work is so unwiecone, thave to trace such things. I added that I was elose to unpublishable so that in recent years I hadswitched to malcing a comprehensible record, which did not mean includjng everything but including what was neoded for understanding in tho future. thus I had studied sorathing nobody olse had.
(Itote-Gray confintaation hearincis, chapter This Is Your FBI, document Gray's 6/19/72 letter to Haldoran with onclosed sumary of FBIJ work to that date. $I$ think it is quite a commentary on the press and the $\mathrm{longress}^{\text {grat }}$ that wh the coverage those hearings got and with the lonowledigu of the bowattee nemabers and more, the utaff, that this remans entirely unreported when it can broak the whole thing open.)

When wa ware talding about in $x$ porting and he was contoraptuous I pointed out that they had a dffisront scene, that the show biz aspect and the jriportant to sources kept them going because thatr sowrees curriod their iavor and led thon. sut as an example, in conilidence, I tol hin tho story of ay meeting with CBS' Plisuner before I sam him last Saturday, of the call and my offer of the check. I dad tias by retracine what had happence.
 A check. I hud tho chock. It wont to Bittman, from a lawyer he knew. ${ }^{\text {te }}$ e was impressed and believed this still to be a story. I then continued that thero was nove and nobody had thought to check it and without siving my sources, dod give the essence. I ank diat happened to Dorotiny Ilunt's baçago: Had ho soen anytiring on it. He hadn't. So I said that Kalbach's cliont, $D_{\text {wight }}$ Chapin's employer-he laufhod-had talken good care of it. They had kept it with solicituds and care witil aftar the invostigation was completod and then turned it over to Bitman's friond via a private investitator. I to d hin I had the date and the correspondence. And that thero was every reason to believe that no officials had checked har Iuscaco.

This eot us into her and liunt. "e did not know that she had been CIA or how they had met or any of that. I told hiv. e did know that Bucicley had been with Hunt in CIA and that
 sample want into the bit siout the supmession of ILunt's declaretion that his sabition was to be Castro's assessajn thoourh an atent and what Bubkley had done with this and thon the history of its unpublinhability, wi in names. "e benwe this is not the iull stoxy

After a sories of there thanes, all in confidence, not to be followed on his own once I save tho leads, I satd two thinzs: this is how ifion survived and. I was giving hin still morr to test me and to indicates what i can doliver now at about this point. I suid that the proof and tho mowar to the $6 / 20$ riddle mipht not be the most importont, inportent as it would be. But I went no farthur axcept to soy that it was apparoit to me the minute I aaw Hunt beiore the invin conisttee that he conaidered he had an ongoing deal.
fand at one point in oux djacussing ray proposal I suid it rovid cost Iesa then addine a new mababer to the staff, that wy temses, keepring my work polng under decont conditions, which would include all i hat and all. I would do, would some to leas than todav's build rate. shy point here was not to terapt hin, for he has no power, but to enbal. hint to be an advocate. Lo this I adued that there wore bwo hames for the stufe now, withont narting the state a state historical somety and a suall local oojlege.

In sursiaiy, I would chenss that the weakuass in the prospects $2 j e a$ in kifin's reluctance to taike a comnce with his om positions, seeing that he would be in sone way mesponsible in the rinda oi his superiong if axythints vont wrons.

Thiss is an oud position because they would be checkins; anything they want to check. I did not comment on this but auticipatinc it address it elliptioaliy. anong tres things $I$ bid wes say that ny ililes inclicato all my sources, all datos, and the docum-nts i have are still assooiated with ry sources (in their envelopes). I said that when everything is publicu-the real hangap and my real roblern there con be no doubt.
sy weaknoss I mean over and above what i did fnityate discussins, the immsun工 asipects of 4 y proposal, that it, just hed nevir been done and people foerer the new; that it did not involve n lamge cash peymat, and they like to think thoy movor moid; end thas it really whis rather nodest, which could make it suspoct.

While I was wanting thjs, botore daylight, irou bluicago there was a kirid of confizvation of what he has said faimbech ie dosig; fil the fom of a refusal to comment on the seport. But I did not hear it of the madic news thereafter or on the wo lias net news roports on


