Her. Paul Colford Hew York Hewsday 2 Park "Ave., Hew York, IM 10016 Dear Mr. Colford,

By old friend Jerry agel suggests I send you this copy of my Case Operwith wither a summary of it: highlights. What was published is about 20-25% of what I wrote and I regret that at 81 and in impaired health I can no longer recall all that is not in it. (This also accounts formy typing, for which I apologize.)

Jerry is so old a friend he may have published the sprightly weekly BOOKS before you were into books. He as enterprising, too. When my Whitewash, the first hook on the Warren Commission, was entirely ignored, he introduced it the same time he did Ralph Hader's Unsafe at any Spred. I don't have to tell you about Hader and Whitewash, despite more taken 100 international rejections, without a single adverse editorial comment, remains the basic work on that Commission and its Report. It dven became a best seller for a publisher who had rejected it three times. After I made a success of it. And in 29 years I've not had a letter or a call from anyone who claimed I treated him unfairly. Or had erred in any way.

In using Posner's own publisher's definitions I wrote of him, using the words, as a shyster, a plagiarist, and so determined a liar he had trouble telling the truth by accident, and as a fraud. The book has been available for three months. I've not had a word from Posner or Random House of any lawyer. Posner know where I am. he spent three days, his limit, not mind, with unsupervised coess to the third of a million pages of once—withheld official records I got as the result of more than a dozen FOIA lawsuits. Some were precedental and one led to the amending of the investigatory files exemption in 1974. He, as do all other writing in the field, also had unsupervised access to our copier. And althought would have made no difference if he had told me the truth, he'd still have had that access, he as shyster and liar enough to describe an enturely different project to me.

As you can see, he used tricky source-noting to pretend that what he literally cribbed from a bright 15-year old boy (who was quite wrong) was the result of advanced computer technology not available to the Warren Commission and done for him. He pretended that a technical presentation prepared for the American Bar Association was done for him. (So successfully that the Phila. Inquirer praised him for it in an editorial!) He said that a shrink who examined Oswald when he was a boy and a truant decided that he was in effect an assassin with Waiting for his historic moment when that shrink testified to the exact opposite (Posner quoted both sides of that page) of the testimony). That shrink, as Posner does not say, we getting free sex from his woman patients until one sued and was awarded \$50,000.

what was eliminated was in offect the defende lawyer's brief in opposition to that of the prescution, which is what most of Posner's book is. In this I disproved all of Posner's allegations about the essassination itself with the official evidence only.

A friend sent me the Jack Sirica story on Posner and his book when it first appeared. Sirica had no questions at all unless they were about how he could do more to puff that fake and his fraudulent book up. Even when Posner told him that he had had to index the Courtswion's 26 volumes, Sirica did not ask even to see it. It was not possible for Posner to index 10,000,000 words in 26 large volumes and still do anything else in the time he says on his entire project.

And what a mass of index cards he would have had!

In compon with all others, reporters and reviewers, Sirica did no checking at all. Posner's book comnot survive even amateur checking.

Even the 200 interviews of which he boast as I said without a peep from him served only one purpose, for bypassing and avoiding the official evidence that disproved what Posner had set out to say in the belief that the market was ripe for an Oliver tone from the other side.

Sincerely,

Harold Weisberg

Two of his clifamed interviewees claim they never spake to him.

And one regarded the conservative Hale Boggs as a Communist and distributed literature saying so.*

I hope you can give the rushed Case Open, with all its typos, a review. It has had no mention of which I am aware.