

George Lardner, newsroom  
The Washington Post  
1150 15 St., NW  
Washington, DC 20071

7/29/95

Dear George,

Kevin Walsh tells me you are doing a review of the Newman book. Bravo!

It is a much worse book that I think you are able to perceive. I know that if you had any questions you'd have called. But if some occur to you or if you want to check anything six-eight weeks ago I finished a book-length ms on it in book form that is now being retyped. I intend it as a record for history, have <sup>no</sup> publisher or agent and who knows, after your review appears some interest in it may develop.

In what I've written I've emphasize<sup>d</sup> its and his dishonesty along with his ignorance. And I see in it his plans for a sequel that may well be worse.

Some of what I showed him and offered to copy for him and what I told him and he got and then suppressed may or may not interest you but I think you'd probably like to know it as a reading on him.

As I do with others I offered him access to all I have, He stayed away and asked nothing. Not one time about any one thing. As a result, and given his intentions I'm pleased, he has factual errors in what is basic.

You once said in some surprise, "Why you are defending the FBI!!"

If what I've written were to be published I can hear you saying, "Why, you've defended the CIA!!" As I do, other than those crazies it had in Mexico City.

Newman attributes the assassination to the CIA and to Castro and he is wrong on both, as is his basis for each allegation. Wrong on fact.

And throughout just plain silly.

Best,

*Hardy*