

1/8/70

Dear Sylvie,

Some time ago, I was given a copy of Newman's (you'll please excuse the expression!) book. I have little time for casual reading, so I've not finished with it. I have found a certain negative value in it, perhaps several. Here I've read the quotes from the hearings, it has tended to make me think of what long ago I'd forgotten. And it was, as the mind resists this torturing, hard to think along lines I hadn't, at least in some time.

It fascinates me, as does the fact that it could be published at all. It is terrible, boring even to one with a deep interest in the subject. It has to have been as it should have been anticipated to have been, on financial disaster to the publisher - if unsubsidized. I doubt that his publication of Bremer's book, for which there was better money, was worthwhile in either, in financial measurement.

Newman had a book done long, long ago. This one bears internal evidence of being, essentially, that book. So, because of the sneaking suspicion I am developing, I write to ask that when it will be no burden to you, what you can spare the time, you tell me what, if anything, you know about him and his book and his publisher-and any connections.

Despite his contrary protestations, that he monitored Radio Moscow and things like that, the possibility, if not, indeed, the probability, exists that he had access to the FBI transcripts. I simply can't conceive of even a really dedicated spy, bugged as this one so obviously is, could have taken the time not only to record but to transcribe such awful pap and so extensively. THIS is classified. The classification used to be low, but it always was classified. Unless there has been a change, it is under CIA.

If you know, does he work for a living now? Is he of independent means? Retired? Formerly connected with either Time or Newsweek?

There is little news here. I stay too busy, straddling myself to, thin on too many things, trying to protect against too many hazards, all to the detriment of more constructive work. The one case I've filed on which I have a lawyer is ~~XXXX~~ due for a hearing in a week. Said lawyer knows little about the subject, hasn't been in touch with me and has been swayed, etc. I hope that for purposes of this hearing the technicalities of the law may be all that is involved - and that he knows enough about them. In response to another of my suits (of which very few has a copy), the government admits the archives has only some of the evidence of the assassination. This I will be exploring further and in my own way. I've prepared two of the (I think) relevant responses. From Paul nothing new. He has yet to make any response to what I sent him, other than to express concern for my ulcer. Hope you are well.

sincerely,