11/14/70

Editor, The Evening Star reshington, D.C.

Dear Sir,

Your Reed Irvine's review of Albert Newmen's book on the JFK assessination proves how sweet to the receptive nose the stench of garbage can be. The review, the book on the space you give both prove Orwell a true prophet.

In one respect is Irvine right. He says Newman "sifted" the evidence. That he did, with, as Irvine says, "great care", eliminating what he knew of it that was uncongenial to his pre-conception, that Osweld really wanted to kill the ractionary former General Walker.

Most of the 600 pages of this tedium are the propaganda of the rightist Dallas Morning News and of Fadio havens. On the busis of no evidence at all, Newman says dawald spent his time reading the first and hearing the second. No single person of the thousand's interviewed reported either, and no accounting of dawald's presumed finances permits his purchase of any newspapers.

The real tribute to Newman's genius is missing in Irvine's desification of him who substitutes the will of the politically-motivated for the fact of real inquiry, then calls is "non-fiction". The nonsense of the official investigation's explanation of what it calls Oswald's movements after the assassination were is all explained by the Newman genius. In Irvine's words, accurate enough, for it is the entire basis of the book, "Oswald set out we with his pistol to shoot Walker. By sheer chance, officer J.D.Tippit anded up being shot by Oswald instead." Newman really goes farthur, detailing new Oswald planned the Walker assassination for that lunstic's doorstep.

Remarkable, considering that Welker was not deep in the heart of Texas, in Dellas, but in distant bouisians:

Thus, SuperOswald to Newmen and super-writer to Irvine.

For conceptual and literary dishonasty, there is nothing in the abundant literature of sycophancy that compares with Newman. Makes one wonder if Potter Clarkson, whose recent publications include other bombs of contrived support of the official sasssination mutuology, has official support, like USIA or CIA. Or, maybe Potter just likes to lose money?

Way don't you do some investigating?

Sincerely,

Berold Teisberg