Dear Jon.

12/15/74

The letter I wrote you earlier bothers as. Before going to bed I must add to it.

We are both at a disadvantage in these matters. If I tell you the truth I come accross like a bitter sorehead. And you really have no way of knowing what is truth and fact. In addition, there is a long history of which you are not aware. I have a considerable file on it and, immodest as it may seem -again you can be satisfied if you are ever here -I think that in the future it will have some value to young people in teaching them how to analyze political situations. In that case I called every shot, with 100% accuracy, long in advance, including telling Fred Graham in advance precisely what he would write.

Here you can satisfy yourself in all respects it you'al be patient with any young friend, who was here, who also held a view other than mine to begin with and persistently, and has first-hand knowledge of the Graham and all the other parts. He is Howard Reffman, one of the few authentic experts in the field. 912 SW 7 Ave., #7, Gaineeville, Fla. 32691. He is in law school, first year. While I tell you that I feel of him as a father does of a son, I also tell you that Howard will be truthful. 'f you arrange to call him, I am certain that he'll tell you quite a story. In fact, if you do, since I do want to heave tapes of these things where the ordinant of others are spontaneous and also respressive, ask him about taping it. He has read all my Cyril file and all the relevant files and was involved in quite a fight in which I alsoe in the critical consumity opposed any one of us being booby-trapped into looking at the no-called sutopsy material because we would insvitably be used for propaganda, especially against the innocent survivors. I have two invitation from the Archives and GSA that I rejected. By money was where my mouth was. Yet in 1966 Iwas the first to apply.

Howard will tell you also that when he decided to disagree with so I did not at any time pressure his and instead as apont such time in long letters showing his how to pressure his own integrity in the matter so that, regardless of the outcose, he'd have no trouble with his own conscience. He can tell you of personal experiences with Tyril. And he just happened to be here then red Graham, then with the "inces, called so. Before the fact. He heard no tell "red what he would write, even how he would angle. He has the story Fred later wrote. I not with his I'm sure he'll remember enough. The net result of the Cyril self-assing was to blass the Kenndys for all the official suppression, and if falsely, quite pressuatively. Write an operation. In this bland of the Kennedys all others were acculated. In the paper of record, too.

After that Cyril wont really crasy. There are interviews where it comes out that in looking at this autopey material (which he still does not really understand) he found ppoof of Cuban exile compliancy. The whole nutty schmeer. Just so he got his name spalled right and, of course, used.

Jim Leanr will remember pretty much that whole affair. He also opposed my position. And the guy Gyrll fixed up with a job with him, Bob Smith, did_more strongly and spent some three southe or nore trying to propare bisself to help Gyrll. Long after that Smith was still nutty about frangible bullets and things like that.Smith came here to look at other files he never looked at. He was then in the same office as wear, at the CTIA. When he was taking such nonsame and not looking at <u>ony</u> files I asked if we could talk as well outside so I could trim out some trees for firewood. I got angrier and angrier the longer the foolishness want on, and it was worse because I folt I had to hide it. The result is that I almost chopped off my left thumb. I still can bend it only half way.

So, if you think that what I wrote is strong, unreashable, unfactual or notivated by any ovil spirit, by all means check no out. I've been honest with you, Perhaps a hit more than my moual bluntness but these have been very trying months in ways I can't go its into on the Ray case. "ivingston is but a glirmer. The problems are anything but over. Nor is the work. And there now is nothing class I'd not rather be doing. This has been true for years. But I really have no principled choice. Aside from our own fucking up (not "esar!) we'll bek lucky is the State doesn't pull some new dirty stuff. I think they have to be desparate and we have the kind of characters who lend themselves to it. At and before the hearing.

I know my fune is a little bit shorter. But I intended no offense. I tend to be fröthright and believe I owe it.

Forhaps some explanation of my constant on your not spotting on your own reason for doubt would be helpful. You are not in a position to know whether when Cyril represents something as fact it is in or is not. This will be true on many subjects throughout your reporting life. So, how can you make a judgement not on fact but an integrity? You have to look at other than the fact you can't evaluate. I think it would be a good exercise for you to go over that some piece not in Excitement because it is good copy from an expert and on a subject you and your andience finds interesting but questioningly. We a nitpicker. Nothing had about that in a reporter as long as he doesn't write that way. He has to learn truth to report truth. And nobody is an expert on every subject in the world.

I've made a couple of specific obsorvations. My recollection ian't clear enough to say there are more. I'll be surprised if there are not. I can thing of something class that is abailar. As you read it count the number of personal pronouns. Now there is nothing at all with either first-person accounts or citing yourself as an authority frem personal observation or actions. However, as you do this, also ask yourself if each thing for which he thus takes credit he really did or is the first to do or knows is repetition of other work of which his reader, for all the footnotes, has no knowledge. Where you may feel you hay have no baats, then ask yourself questions like is it possible that this warst come by anyone for eight years or more?

Encoding the tirust of the place, you might also ask yourself well, if he is this going hol and has done all this great work, why didn't houses. Y I think you may find a couple. I think they are pretty obvious. And I'll' be that I can show you that I did try those things and he did not. Without resider from his. And before this pieces and new ways currently, on which I want no mention. I want success, not publicity. (Entirely off the record, no mention to anyons: the AEC denies the neuron-activation testing. I'm still looking for holes in possible semantics. And I knew it was done and the essence of the results years ago and it is in Post Mortem. That was done before Frame-Up, meaning the last part of three.) (Howard has read it.)

Cyril has no monopoly. There is a wild man in Kanaas, another pathologist, Nichols. He meals other people's work and copyrights it. If you doubt, write Pr. Richard Pernabei, Dopt. Classics, queens "nixe, ingeton, Ontario, Canida. He did it to blex and me when we leaned him work in confidence. With a gicture he did it so effectively I can show you letters from the Archives scearing they took the picture for him, not me.Plue correction. Dick is a classicist but also an outdownsman and a very good manteur ballistics man.

The field is full of those types, especially those who can profit professionally. It makes a very serious problem, new does one cope with it? How does one reply to a reasonable inquiry for say you, a reporter? It is true of the commercializers like that Cambridge gang, too. How can one follow them with reason and fact and not be believed by the young people not to be a copeut? Or some kind of secret federal agent?

It is not an easy mituation. By way may not be the best, but at least I am honest about it, at the risk of people thinking badly of as for it. I see no real choicel

What I'm really saying that probably sounds avuncular and I mean to be sort of that way in a not offensive sense is develop your own critical faculties. Do it until it becomes second nature.You'll learn more, believe less grap and be a better reporter and a wiser man for it. If I did not have this in mind, I'd not have taken this time. The time is shen you are young.

Sincerely,