Dese Ered,
Your note sayirg y ou have found thet wivis f5way taker exantly at $Z_{80} 205$ arrived yesterduy.

I have difficulty sereeine aimply becsuse willis cen be zeen walking atay and taking his comare dorn eit 283202.

Eow did wu actermins acs?
The ss men you refer to 10 olint hitil.
Whet lo there irefutable (neaning acite from such thinge as nernel crown reastions and movenents to prove the "semgthing atertled the cacmd betmeen Zap 185-205". I bolieye thit te pobable. aside from proof of vieible regcion, hom can we compute reastion time, thet is, if the rection is vicible * 7200 , et what 2 woe the ceuse of the resction.

The SS Drlver is Ogeer. How de you setrblath thot he "veers sliftiy to Ł1s left".

If eny use is to be mode of this infometion, these are some of the things we mat know. Eefore siny use is mede, we, of couree, must be certain.

Pith Garison, there $1 e$ an aditionel problem. Fe toe littie time and If ofter ofor-tired. Fe hes neve mede the detalled etucy of the film thet is recuired to uncerstene it sud hes nover zeen it in the form that is mast comproheneible, the elldes. It is vitul net to give him partisl informetion or date thet bs cen reedily misconstrue ( Whet he aess sother reedily).
 With Pose. They have beon usine me on this and I think $1+$ assentinl to know whet

 thet I'll be senculted on. I heve cireay teken one oftis men to the archives end demonstrated the slides, hor to uee them, explsined the film ae it rea being projected, ete., snd olkar things.

Ny recolleation is thet hen I we out here you ked decided that the becic film had not been gltersd. Now I underatent from the incondatex Informetion. I heve thet you hsve switehea back. Is this tirue. If so, on whet basis. Any mann alteration seems to be antirojy impesible mithout a vast conspirsory, for the copies were ine inte: three in Dalles, one in ohicago, be for'o the origingl reached New Yosk. The first cogy wea dispatched to leahington the nirht of $11 / 22 / 65$. Cf course, contes were mate from cash of these origingl copies, und hers there mes more oportuct ty, but thowe ther remeined the originel and the origingl copigs to establish the doatoning a groat risk. In short, both ines anc
 important frames. It would be trugic if Gantison ware to meke serioua mistuca in oomenting on the $Z$ eslu. It saporily for this row that I wy been enxicue to kno everythine be is fed on 1t. The other fellows can tell you thet as a result of my recent work there I hove soked them to furnel evarything not directly releter to the Shan trial through me so a con coordinete aith ctar dete und with the deter from others worling-end see tiat the proper stere amber also knows. The chos ond disoregizetion there or uningineole. Mogtly anly Jim knows mist cones in and often he does not know ancugh sbout what is bohind it. I heve preavented scme but not encugh error3. If you underatand thet he did
 This we mutet all uste troid on io estre cen to croid.

I Goubt if steve Burton was were lore moaga to get th Iswor ofis,



You ean positurs tuis cractly by viewnin wher S. Senvice man's head is (the mon standing on nunnun boand nert to driver) un both filne. sorueting stantled the cuoved between 2 ap 185-205, that ure know.

The s. sidrwen veena stigety to $h i s l e f t$ here. "nurat pubably is his instunctue reactuon away frim source of dangen.
$\lambda \lambda$

Harold -
Hod alneady worked cut when in zap. movie wellis took \#5. eqnowivg any alteratinis in there towo filmax ... here is my fruding'
willis"5 had to be taken eractly at aAO 205
Betzuer photo ar sleown in Jife hal to be taken zap. 185

