Dear Fred,

のないの意味である。

Å.

Your letter of 5'8, just errived, impels me to helt preparetions for the trip for which I will in any event not have time to prepare for adequately to respond and to reassure you. Have no fears, either **abo**ut Thornley's guilt of perjury or about the kind of person he is. He is a very had one. I have unassailable proofs, and in his own handwriting, not just published. He has interested me much for a year and a half and I've never been able to give him the time he warrants. Little by little, I am accumulating the staff. I am certain that Garrisonna to whom I had given only part, has more than enough without what have since the indictment developed.

5/11/68

I wish I could tell you exactly how Lifton fits in this. I fear lttile reasonable argument insaying that the best face he can wear is one of a men almost always wrong. If it is merely a question of his judgement, that is awful. The worst is that he is actively on the other side. The result is the same in either case. I have refused to have mything to do with him, for the least disadvantage is that we will waste an incredible amount of time. He figures in the campellation of the Liebeler debate at "eseds in a way that makes him Liebeler's agent, egain a best, and have this both from him and in writing from the people inpolved. All of the effort he expends is, clearly, on the wrong side. I will not take time for detail, but I assure you of it.

Now, on the pictures: I have made no use of them because you didn't do them the way I wanted and they cannt be used that way. Further, let me tell you that I now have a newsphoto of Thornley, taken the time of the assassination, that shows him, for the only time I can find, parting his hair on thenopposite side, just as in the picture I had printed backward. If this is all I tell, you, it is far from what I have.

When you know what Thornley really is, what he has really written, what he ognuinely believes, you can wonder about Dave, who claims him for a friend. He is a man who, eside from his neanderthal concepts and writings, believe in beating women up, and I have his handwritten gloating over this, in gouging the eyes of a man who tried to defend that women, again in his handwriting, whose great desire wes, apabggies to Marlyn, "to pass on Kennedy's grave", who moved to as close to the Warren Commission as he could get and, with a salary of \$60.00 weekly paid for a \$120.00 month application, meanwhile writing that his move that close hed them, same apologies, "Pissing in their pants". He celebrated the assessination, from what I hear in New Orleans, and this is not in my proofs, gloated over it, which is. Do you require more

Lifton did <u>not</u> arrange for Thornley to testify before the grand-jury. I first tried to avoid this for him, gyite openly, last November, in the presence of a friend of his and through his agent and friend, Clint Bolton. Bolton, nut that he is, thereupon wrote a column on this in a freeky paper in the Quarter but recommended that Thornley accept my offer. Inhed given Garrison enough by that time and Mman Thornley would not, do it the way that was to his interest, he did it the hard way, and by then I had given Garrison more, including transcribed tapes and tapes of his public statements. You realize, there is enough in O IN NO to more than warrant his being called. That Lifton did is to prepare with Thornley a lengthy and deceptive statement that I have read that led Garrison astray with not only "hornley.

Now I have knowledge of Thornley and Marine, but I do not have that picture and would much very much, like a cory as fast as possible.

If Thornley's attorney does call you, which is unlikely, please tape it. If he does not know who Thernley is, we do. I cannot begin to tell you wint - know but on the basis of what I now know he may be one of the more important characters in the entire story.

I regret that Lifton found out about this and that, with all that he has already done, you people still trust him. Did not Steve Burton tell you about him

I think it is now vital that you recount the entire interview as carefully as you can. Here, in perticular, I refer to whather what you describe as "an unusual amount of interest in where I got the picture and what I knew about it."

Recently Lifton has been devoting himself to trying to frustrate what I do. That is not, if he is genuinely on out side, a constructive effort.

Unless Lifton knows the testimony Thornley gave, which is secret, how can he have a valid opinion on whether or not Thornley is innocent, The charge is perjury, not assessination.

If I know Lifton, and if he has any thing to do with it, this will not remain a "tempest in a teapot", and I quote you. This is a other reson for making the most complete record possible. You might also understand, for what it is worth, that others out there believe he has serious psychiatric problems. Why not ask Maggie about him I think you should. Learn what he did to her.

Were it safely possible to tell you more, I would, Please accept we assurances that in addition to what I recount above I have more cupleble knowledge of thernlay and everyresson to cerdit it and that Garrison not only has the indicatent but told me he was aghast that Thornley would so openly perjure himself.

Do you realize that, eside from what is in O IN NO, hornley is the one who pinned the bum red rap on his "friend", Oswald, knowing full well it was false Do you think he did this for nothing. Not a single one of Oswald's Marine mates would do this, only he. Want his writing's saying the same thing I've got them. Does this suggest the possibility that a perjury charge was the lesser evil

He presumeebly hes no income. He seid in Tampa that between withem, and his wife is a teacher, they have a \$5,000 income. How can be afford to take his lawyer to New Orleans and have a vacation for most of a week on this income, I was there when it happened.

If you ment any other kind of assurance that I can give you, esk. And if the lawyer phones you, give him my number!

Hurriedly,

STREET / PASADENA, ADVERTISING AGENCY / 595 EAST GREEN CALIFORNIA / SYcamore 5-5655

4640 NOBLE AVENUE SHERMAN OAKS, CALIFORNIA 91403

May 8, 1968

Dear Harold,

Something is bothering me. Sometime ago you asked me to retouch a Kerry Thornley photograph. I did a very crude job following your instructions and mailed them down to you.

Subsequently, I never heard from you as to what you needed them for or how you were going to use them. It bothered me a little bit to do this as retouching photographs of a person involved with the law could be a very serious matter. I think I mentioned this to you in a note at the time.

Anyway, a couple of days ago, I was talking to David Lifton, who I had just met for the first time and he spotted one of Kerry Thornley's photographs I had - and expressed an unusual amount of interest in where I got the picture and what I knew about it. So I told him.

He then informed me that he was very interested in Kerry Thornley. That Kerry was a friend, that he (Lifton) had first arranged for Kerry to testify before the grand jury and in fact had many conversations with Garrison about Thornley. Lifton strongly believes Kerry is innocent and is being put to trial unfairly.

Next Lotold him that Lohad heard that this sphotograph was a cropped version and that a full print showed Kerry with Marina Oswald. Lifton was astonished and thereupon produced a complete picture as published in a Tampa newspaper showing Kerry Thornley and his wife. I recognized the picture immediately as the same Kerry Thornley photograph you sent to me, even tho Thornley's face was flopped (or backwards) in the newspaper.

I think a mistake **has** been made here and I hope Thorney is not being wronged. You can see my concern. The picture I sent back to you was very obviously artwork and I don't see how anyone could mistake it as photographic retouching.

I would, therefore, be very embarrased if that altered Thornley picture were to be used in any way to convict Thornley.

Because of Lifton's association with Thornley, I would not be a bit surprised if Thornley's attorney called me about this matter. I am as interested in solving this case as the next guy, but I would hate to think that I might have inadvertently hurt an innocent person, as I know you feel the same way.

PAGE TWO

On Thornley's innocence or guilt, I want to remain agnostic. The court room and the adversary system will in time resolve Thornley's guilt or innocence.

Perhaps the whole thing is a tempest in a teapot, perhaps not you'd be a better judge of this as my knowledge of Kerry Thornley is limited to his name and a couple of heresay remarks.

Please don't interpret this letter as being anyway hostile, it's just that, at this point, I want to understand as much as I can about this matter without compromising any case that may be developing.

Sincerely, Ju T Clusiont

ftnmkn