CHAIN COMMENT OF THE RESERVENCE

Programme a programme of the contract transfer.

In the letter I before you yesterday I made mention of one of Lifton's many claims emilest Herold, which you have indicated acceptance of, concerning the Recede debate cancellation, and indicated that I had finally gottes to examine the actual documents (letters) and despace them with Dave's description and extendent claims that Marold was lying. My conclusion, and that of examine description and extendent claims that Marold was lying. My conclusion, and that of examine description is the protagonists, Martily agreed with me: Unlike Dave, I do not easily three around the pass like, although here it would seem to be justified. Earlies I challened some of Dave's comments in other items of which I had some knowledge, and refused his comments and theories shout the osles charges and Powell thing. After doing this, however, I did not follow his suit and restainably (or perhaps literally) ask files how you could associate with a person who would say and so such things. Furtherware, for the second time I made meaning an around the low his suit and theterically (or perhaps literally) sak files how you could associate with a person who would say and so such things. Furtherware, for the second time I made myself a promise not to keep engaging in this dislogue which was consuming encourage amounts of my time to no avail, since if I made a paint, there was little change in attitude at the receiving end. The first time I so restricted myself, I want black on it because of Dave's false charges about the color changes and the foreil thing. This time I go back because by putting questions to Harold as suggested by Dave, I have consell famula to wants such validies time and money sending me copies of much of his confrespondence. Which took a fell amount of disping to get to, with those individuals who Dave cited. Harold having made this kind of a sacrifica in defending himself against both old and new charges prompted me to width you once more on this subject, because what Harold sant me, in terms of his latters, and letters to him from those who Dave cites on the West Coast, have overwhelming! backed up Navaid's descriptions of the incidents or heaple's relationships with him (as he was led backed up Marain's descriptions of the incidents or people's relationships with him (as he was led backed up Service descriptions of the incidents or people's relationships with him (as he was led to believe their, essening no double dealing deplicity, back-stabbing, or learning-like character) and evaluations of his work and appearences on the West Coast. I had previously heard the same descriptions from both Barold and Lillian, and as you may know, she is fairly independent and not infrequently disagrees with him on some things, and felt I had little resear to doubt them, but had not seem such of this effectablence. Herold has offered be his entire files, as he did last summer, this seems but that he has sent if for more than enough. These things are in black and white and no infrastrate to the discrete, allegedly quetted from others, I quote from Herold's last letter to me, having already scalared the correspondence to which he refers, and not having time to construct an elaborate search series occupants by me will follow: (note: I have heard some of this from that the bard some of this from that the bard some

of this from that West, independent of Herold)

Publication date of W. II was 12/2/66. I delayed public use of it until 12/7, on the Jack McKinmay show, but had espected to use some of its content on a confrontation between me alone of the tics and not fewer than four of the Commission lawyers in a "Majority Report" Metromedia show. were to have gotten together in their offices the night of 12/5, and I alone showed up, having a long meeting with Mel Baily, Paul Noble, and a vice-president-in-charge of screething. We then worked out a new formula, me against Nizer and whoever of the Commission lawyers would appear with him as champion, but at least one, as a symbol. He had me tossed off after what I did to him on WOR. "... After the 12/7 McKinney show, beginning 2 AN, I drove home, worked that day and next getting ready for the trip, left early norning of the 18th for Chicago, Madison, Chicago, Milwalkee, thence to SF, using the trip for stopoffs. Liebeler was supposed to have not me in NY, Chi., SF and IA. He didn't show in MY, had the message in Chi he should read my second book before he met with direction immediately). In SF he sent a tape instead. Hal was in the studio and was I tore it apart in minutes. I turned down the lamax show, as Sill and all the others know, an order to face Liebler on it. Some of those characters must have a tape of it (I do not) and This is clear in the very first words. Those intellectuals and personal cowards have no concept of the dramatic, and there was a dramatic impact I think most viewers will remember, when he asked me "what difference does it make" and I gave him proper response, got up, walked out and

cok the sympathies and the applause of the audience with me. Now, with all those copies of WMII to send cert. I list this to Lil, and she tore her hand terribly, were cut all the gloves she had, etc. I was self when it served no purpose to sell the book because it was not on sale, simply the loop this precise to Mili and Maggie. They both called me together, in Gotober or November, and begged me to go these forward offering to pay the cost), and I kept writing them things to do, challenges to issue for anything in my interest for it was against it, with the book to get out so it could be seld. That was very costly. Hal had asked me to go to SF, after the experience I so it could be seld. That was very costly. Hal had asked me to go to SF, after the experience I that he never had such a great or show), and he said the CCI would pay the expenses. When I got that he never had such a great or show), and he said the CCI would pay the expenses. When I got there I learned it has the below after, and since the meeting I took some pretty tough baiting with Kagging sheed of me. Before, after, and since the meeting I took some pretty tough baiting it hads, let be setted. Ball light I was using that for the purpose of taking after Liebler for the IA people. It is in the latters, all discussed in terms of costs that would have to be met. Incidentally, that yet the meeting that for the purpose of taking after Liebler for the cidentally, that yet the meet meeting ever. They charged an admission, mald book but I got not a cast toward at expenses, then or since."

On Lillian's which is said to be payed the open and "Totally false, if also said its wit I

On Islifen's whaten manufacture to have, shout the maps: "Totally false, if she said it, and I have the filen to she place. What I cent her was the healy Plaza utilities blueprints, before and after construction of the plaza. Al Chapman got them for me. She never asknowledged receipt, never asknowledged that the let me know she got them, and a long time later, perhaps now in response for the first request for them, finally returned them." [I will say more on this.]

"On Maggie and ay shealing what she had in her book: I have never seen it non asked to see it, but I did, in writing, offer my help in getting it published, offering the art work, etc., all before I met her. Rather is the contrary true, for again before I had ever met any of them I sent her a copy of the me of We II for her and Bill to read for such knowledge as it could give them and for such suggestions as they could give me. They took much longer than we had and they were supposed to, made no suggestions, merely preised it/me or both. I have the letters. [I have seen them. GRS] Now, this was my second book, I took Post Mortes and the documents to 0 in MO out there on my next rip and gave them to her to copy. She didn't even bother. I forgot to give Paul PM, but he will confirm that he got the appendix to 0 in MO. [Which, although Harold doesn't be didn't even her book. I left the know, he did to me long ago QRSI You tell me what I was going to get from her book. I left the 26 volumes before the offices were through them, what else did she have access to? I turned witnesses over to them, etc., and have the tapes to prove that. That is really outregeous, if she did say it. [Notice Meroid's continued emphasis on this. GRS] You can see my wratten offers to work for her than free at the Archives, my sending her what I knew she could use in her book, etc and in the matire file you will find not one such thing from her. [I guess unknown to Harold, when I spent made fine with him last summer, I saw at least a few of these letters, when he gave me acess to all his files for other reasons. I never mentioned it because it had little meaning, and the only reason I locked at some correspondence files was out of cardosity, since I have often though of the idea of writing a history of the critics. GRS] Bear in mind she had the ms of W II and, if she had saything that could help, and she knew this was being published, she could have offered." "On Ray, who is more ill-mannered and insulting them you can imagine, I met him, drove him around Washington, took him to my place, got him a place to stay, took him there, took him to DC next day, etc., took him to Sam to try and get him to make his projected monographestructive etc. It is merely what we all do for each other, what many have done for me. But far from wanting or using what he had, I had what he didn't, his stuff was then old, and I can show you in writing my suggestions and offers to improve his thing." [Note, Harold, bowever, considers Ray quite brillient and capable, and had written and said so often, including in this letter. GRS]

"Liften knowing he would, I taped that phone conversation. Only because Paul (possible Hal also) encouraged me to did I call him. He was peerile in the futility and imagurity of his pumping efforts, and I call him on them and told him if he didn't stop I'd hang up. I told him that with the the tests that were coming back to me of his and MI's plans to sue me, I'd be foldist to tell him what I had learned of MI, what had interested me. I told him I had neither knowledge or interest in the perjury charge. [And due to have's misrepresentation, I have wasted time continually telling him this, which apparently, Bread already has. I have seen what Harold has an know why he is interested in MI, and consider that interest varranted. GRSI "It bibled down to his taking Thornley's word because he believed him an honorable man. I then challenged him to a check out a few points where he could decide for himself whether or not KI was honest." Harold

then goest on to note Liebzer's silence.

"On taggin and Mill again, they even next as worder of Liebhber tupes for me to tear sport and with them pointed, but he had Lamb themse all of them) on the rupes. He did have lamb, took, for sealing him and like raid was forever propising the peakers had him and like and was forever propising. The peakers had him to Minch Dismits to Emaid. It have independent knowledge of this. In addition, and it was required for the lips, Star-Tribune, one of their top separate a located limits and emission had been called a lair, and it was very separate a forestable in the fine had been called a lair, and it was very labeled as the called a lair, and it was sell where a fine of forestable in the fine had been called a lair, and it was sell where a fine of fine and the second constant of the fine of the second constant of the

Now, for mine of my own motes upon glancing through this occreanordered of which farcula serious oppies. Note: first housest, that thereid did this reluctabily, and only after Lifton insisted that I not believe a word he said and ask him pointed questions. So, against my heat thoughts, I did just this, The results I find Hamald 1900 truthful, not even exaggerating, and others not so. Ironically, I had espected to find some exaggeration of Harold's part, as is common of all of us, but didn't answerter it. Here are able aborts:

Lifton: Heread a letter to him of May 7 indicates the fartility of Dave's consoless charges and claims, and how they hart those investigating, and complains about the unjustness of

charges made against Maggle and others. Dave's latter of July 5, 1967:
"Dear Harold, English & I can hardly wait to get your new book, on the photographic whitewash, so please send it as soon as possible. I'm on pins and needles. Bill O'Cornell was reading me accespts on the phone. It is really assing to so from photographic famine to photographic planty. I have never heard of most of these ossers! Dick Sprague tells me that the Bond slides are of top quality. I have been soing through Whitewash II and creating a small board file so that share Infinally get so the envisives. I will at least have the familiarity that comes with lowering the file formally get so the envisives. I will at least have the familiarity that comes with lowering the file formally send dominants you have sowered already. I recently wrote to Itek and they says meanable to the smallysis of other films and other hypothesis. I think that some tariff work can be dessented by dessifining the Bond series and the Willis series. Whether or not you agree or disagram high the hard slides, high quality color film now exists which can be used to prove or dispraye the file at looking forward to receiving you now book. Regards, David."
To here is a reply to all that I have had to listen to from Dave about Harold's work, in Dave's own

letter to Hereldh me to the From Lillian's normespondence: Two days after Harold got home, with his wife sick, he honored her request and sent her what she added for: plans that she did not have. In her letter to him of 7/24/68; hencharitten, she applogised for the dealy in her acknowledgement of receipt of the things which he sent this first letter is 2/19/68, second on this subject, 6/19/68-she dealiyed over Speculies in actorowledging). She wrote: "Thank you for the plans-I had them in the beauth" of small films sent to me by West which I had given to Steve Jaffe and asked him to have larger copies made but which he never had stone so, I used your larger copies and had copies made for me, Ray; Neggie and Fred Newtonb." So she did use Harolds, and you were even a beneficiary. In the PS we find: "ES. I heard from Outlar asking to use my proof that Z202=Willis 5-I told him Ckey but didn't tell him that no one will ever publish it-no one ever has." This is incredible. It is long after the date of publication of WW II (try about a year for a starter and here is Lillien maying that no one has ever used her proof, long after she read WH II. So she has no reason to say that Harold did, since she has already said in print, that no one has. Furthermore, this backs up Harold's story, which til checked in his book, that he used a different proof. Harold, again, is 100% right, according to what Millian herself whote in no uncertain # terms. Whether she really said what Daveslleges, of course, I connot know.

but the Reasons yes said that she bold you she didn't want Harold using her research are clearly in hose language about no one using hos work, her current version, without beside w it is by to make friendly sufficientable. Million's letter of 7/2/60? Man and pleaded with his to the it with his reme in 150 population or payments—I just wonted the linguagetion presented for name on it when they indicated the tentre it, and wild to the limberth It, and wild to the limberth Stoneborough for my relative to unless." She also notice in dust, flow, Cook, Epstein, and Hamman's did not belowable the with the do septiming with it—feel free. I don't want by rame used or any d given the fact that schooly would publish it. So, will even gave p be use, so Herold would have had a right toldo this, although the time sy man. Maybe it's too dangerous. "If I can hely her the ship you belt if you belt the street. There is the ship of t ting it," and an on, ad infinitum. Well Fred and Marlyon, times have changed a Mindia, with not only so medit requested, but about an amonition not se publication not to use it point blank, and then you tell be that he her could? And she denies seen that in writing, wid I have all of the head of the to Herold of Jan. 9, 1968, contains a dispensionate analysis of responds to what Harold has told me, and conflicts directly with what Mark Totale, too, everyone felt you were a model of police, control, and the perultiment mannet. You made your mane with a minimum of histricaics and manne, logic, and persuesiveness. Lomm, on the other hand, came off very badly". That it was not a fractile contained due to the basic condition: a mioderator predimposed to an away that was personally crude, unattraintive said distancet. So we had what might be called a negative victory here; My father willians we for pirting who on that show, but I countered with the arginent that it was on this show that we had to make some kind of bebuttal to Lieblan. I think all the other appearances speak for themselves and for the most part were consistently effective. I missed hearing the two interviews that I did with you, but the personal at the station were most impressed. Etc. etc. etc. (Comell's letter of \$/22/66: contains much negative and derogatory stuff about Liftum, and these on Lane: [after the Holt man had said that Lane's was the only book]"
I demand and hereight by the back (also in the taping) but they were so introducted with their success that it mistaged little. Line went on and I'm going to have to edit the tape soverely. He started conding our empendence between Lieblar and Reside on the missing road signs. These letterwhere gives him by Lifton. I was so taken about that I didn't have the presence of mind to salt him how these had some into his possession. Earlier in the day he had an binortive configuration with Liebler at the universities Student Union: Liebler challenged him to a debate wid it may be set up, but I think it rather unlikely. Line will sign autographs at Pickwick Monday, night according to the paper this morning and leaves then on Tuesday for San Prencisco. There were a nimber of tentical errors Lane made at the press conference and Liebler wants to answer them. And, get this, David Lifton is helping Liebler prepare his reputtal to? Lame's contentions! I think that this is absolutely shocking. Dave has turned into snother? Jones Harris, He is beyond redemption in my book and I think you must be wery guarded if you continue to and to him. He misrepresented you at Maggie's party on at lieset one occasion. Bill's letter of July 30, 1967, was complimentary also; and said in addition; "Sylvia phoned me yesterday about another matter and had some very were and odedial things to say not only abou your book, but about you as a person." Slove the date-long after she had split from Garrisons So, if Listenia O'Commil's quotes are correct, I would challenge them too, although at least in this case, while with Lillian, which it is possible that there is some minuster standing in terms of what Hammid want buy his descriptions and what Bill interprets. Again, I have it in · 对外性费加点 taggie: July 11, 1966: "She describes WW I as "extraordinarily important" and says that it should be "getting the major attention." and "For your courage and penetrating exposure, we 'researchers" will be forever in your debt--end so should the nation be!" [It is interesting www.july "debts" are acknowledged these days.] July 6, 1966: "Again my grateful felicitations m "Whitemash"! It's the book which needed to be written-and I only with everyone in the U.S. and abroad) could have a copy..." [Sylvia once said that if it were a choice between her book nd Harold's, she would want WW published. April 9, 1967: very warm letter, with thanks, and ind comments for his work, and then: "There is no question in my mind that the work you have

done on the case, in every facet, is of the highest calling and deserves the richest possible preine and subspection and one day this notice may well be made every of its debt to you." That: "he for your question about idebalar, in for as I know, he has been silent but I have heard success that he place he pay for Conference are you ready for that??" And: "Incidentally, faciliti, may it was the management of the form the archives in my published work and if so have stall by a management of the property of the there was much themics for thing? Barelle has been the from the archives in there was much themics for thing? Barelle has been and the single for things have been the form. In a letter of Ang. 1, 1867, after thanking Harold again for things in some, the management of the single stall it is all right with you do not also, do you want us to return the documents or your or sate?"

So find out the passed products the entropendence, in their can handerdring or an their can hyperfluid from the passed products to Hareld, which I have in my hands and have read, and sale which I have gather them is very brief beres in this letter, I would conclude that the charges made against haveld. We black unde shout him, are totally becales. The ones you quoted from Lilia are cutlendiable table in unity respect: he did not stant the work she claimed, and she sheltes it or as least stand if a subject ly, and anyway, she begged him to use it and didn't sent her passed or as account to the sent the passed or as a subject to the sheltest from the passed or a little sent to the passed of the sent to the sheltest from the holians which here exists the passed shout, is not only patently should find the reasons both the self-tend in uses of the could have accounted find the contract of the sent that is sent in passed to the passed of the delay of a subject of a sent to the passed to the sent that the sent of the other things should be passed in the other things should be passed in the sent her and fill o'Cornell. And even infinite accreased with his are today, and I have been been alone his epities of Harold's work (as compared with his) are today, and I have passed in his equipment of his other today, and I have passed in his equipment of his other.

I already suspent that I should have taken Harold's advice, when I got this stuff from him, and simply forgottens the whole thing and not thiom up any sort of defense of him, since he doesn't care at this make it has, as he predicted, cost much in time, anderly, and mailing costs for him he will be has made it far more difficult to deal with you two and have. And it has ended as Marald predicted: with my in possession of convincing info hasking his story, with me having picked apart many of how's arguments without even needing backup info, and with really little recognition of the falsesses of the chappes made about Harold by you people.

In my last littler I milled down the charges that Herold was stealing force you or thinking of it, one by one; and showed that quite the opposite is true, and that with the possible exception of me, no one med but thereld has really put himself out to get you credit for what you have done. And, ironically, Revold, long after you flaggeland him ind letters, continued to do so and in fact, has spent more time, more energy, etc. in doing this then even I. To boot, he has cited you in 3662 Coup District; bringing it in out of contest (as in page 80 which I sent) and also been very complimentary in other parts, just to make a record of it. And then you defend Lane who participated in the single greatest theft of your work and in fact, was the main mover behind it, and say that he cites people, when he is in fact acknowledged by many critics as a man who poses as a loner and witee no one. And he defends continually theft, and has done so twice with me. And them it is Harrold who fights it out with him on this issue, over the theft of your work specifically, on a TV show, and Lane defends his theft of your work and Harold attacks it, and you call Parold the theif! Is there no justice left out there? Well, I would think that then Well, I would think that there has come the time for some memicus thought. I will brook no more changes spainst Harold or myself. It is tiresome to go day after day refuting them or finding them to be false, or charitably goodsly overstated to an almost incredible degree. Whather you or have rely on sources which distort, on the distortions and theories are your own, and whether they now from you two or from Dave, matters little. Repeating gossip and grapevine stuff, screething you yourselfes have been the victim of more times than you know, and against withis which I have strained long standing friendships to defend you against many times, in word and writing, is limite between them making it up yourself, unless one believes in a double-standard. When I which of the work I could have done in this time, aid that the net result is to find Harold 180% in the right and guilty of not even exaggeration of note, and no purpose having been served, I am disgusted. I trust that you will think seriously about these things. As ever-

Jany